1		EFORE THE STATE OF WISCONSIN VISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS	
2			
3	In the Matter of the September 29, 2022, Approval of Howard Bros. Inc.'s Application		
4	of Septage on the Plummer 7 Lot, in the Town of Lac du Flambeau, County of Vilas, State of Wisconsin		
5			
6	DHA Case No.	DNR-23-0001	
7	DNR Case No.	22-045	
8			
9		HEARING	
10		VOLUME 1 OF 4	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC D. DéFORT,		
12		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
13			
14	Location:	Vilas County Courthouse, Eagle River, Wisconsin	
15	Date:	Monday, October 23, 2023	
16	Time:	9:00 a.m. (CST)	
17			
18			
19	REPORTED BY:	Sandra A. Larson, CSR-2916, RPR, RMR	
20		Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter	
21		Registered Merit Reporter Northern Reporters	
22		(906) 226-2706 northernreporters@yahoo.com	
23			
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Petitioner Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians:
3	MR. ANDREW ADAMS, III MS. SAMANTHA T. HERMSEN
5	HOGEN ADAMS, PLLC 1935 West County Road B2
6	Suite 460 St. Paul, MN 55113
7	(651) 842-9100 aadams@hogenadams.com shermsen@hogenadams.com
8	SHETIIISEHEHOGEHAGAIIIS.COIII
9	For the Respondent State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources:
11	MS. ALIXANDRA BURKE
12	MS. KATHERINE HANSON STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF
13	NATURAL RESOURCES 101 South Webster Street
14	P.O. Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707 (608) 264-8991
15	alixandraj.burke@wisconsin.gov katherine.hanson@wisconsin.gov
16	For Howard Bros. Inc.:
17	MR. JOSEPH L. OLSON
18	MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP 790 N. Water Street
19	Suite 2500
20	Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 277-3465 jlolson@michaelbest.com
21	JIOISONGMICNAEIDESC.COM
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 INDEX

2	OPENING STATEMENTS	PAGE
	By Mr. Adams	11
3	By Ms. Burke	15
4	By Mr. Olson	17
4	WITNESS	PAGE
5	JOHN JOHNSON SR.	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Adams	22
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Hanson	40
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Olson	43
7	Redirect Examination by Mr. Adams	56
_	Recross-Examination by Ms. Hanson	62
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Olson	63
9	CELESTE HOCKINGS	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Hermsen	66
10	Cross-Examination by Ms. Hanson	88
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Olson	93
11	Redirect Examination by Ms. Hermsen	98
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Olson	100
12	DEE ALLEN	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Hermsen	102
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Hanson	115
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Hermsen	119
15	JEROME LABARGE	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Adams	123
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. Burke	134
4 0	Cross-Examination by Mr. Olson	136
17	JEAN GORSKI	
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Hermsen	138
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Burke	146
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Olson	148
20	JENNIFER STEPHENSON	
20	Direct Examination by Ms. Hermsen	153
21	Cross-Examination by Ms. Burke	163
22	ANDRE VIRDEN	
Z Z	Direct Examination by Mr. Adams	166
23	Cross-Examination by Ms. Hanson	188
د ی	Cross-Examination by Mr. Olson	189
24	Redirect Examination by Mr. Adams	195
<u> </u>	Rediffeet Examination by Mr. Adams	190
25	STEPHANIE FINAMORE	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Adams	197

EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED ADMITTED Exhibit 102 - email Exhibit 223 - notice of septic site request Exhibit 34 - email chain Exhibit 27 - email 4 Exhibit 26 - letter Exhibit 239 - letter re: septic dump Exhibit 11 - map of Plummer 7 area Exhibit 40 - photo Exhibit 41 - photo Exhibit 39 - memo re: dumping Exhibit 47 - photo Exhibit 48 - photo Exhibit 49 - photo Exhibit 46 - resume of Ms. Finamore 199 Exhibit 8 - land app. site request Exhibit 3 - letter re: site approval Exhibit 36 - document re: Rubicon sand 213 Exhibit 55 - case activity report Exhibit 52 - designed winter spreading Exhibit 200 - land app. site request Exhibit 42 - photo Exhibit 31 - email Exhibit 32 - internal DNR emails Exhibit 30 - soil evaluation report 236 NOTE: Exhibits retained by the parties.

1	Eagle River, Wisconsin
2	Monday, October 23, 2023 - 9:00 a.m., CST
3	THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We are on the
4	record In the Matter of the September 29th, 2022,
5	Approval of Howard Bros. Incorporated's Application of
6	Septage on the Plummer 7 Lot, in the Town of Lac du
7	Flambeau, County of Vilas, State of Wisconsin.
8	I am Eric DéFort. I'm an Administrative Law
9	Judge with the Division of Hearings and Appeals. The
10	Case Number is DHA Case Number DNR-23-0001.
11	Just by way of brief synopsis, on
12	September 29th of 2022, the Department of Natural
13	Resources issued a license to Howard Bros. Incorporated
14	approving Howard Bros.' request to engage in the
15	spreading of septage to land on a parcel of land
16	referred to as the Plummer 7 Lot. And this is located
17	in the town of Lac du Flambeau, County of Vilas, State
18	of Wisconsin.
19	Now, Mr. Kyle Howard prepared the license
20	application on behalf of Howard Bros., which is located
21	at 11548 Lemma Creek Road, Arbor Vitae, Wisconsin. And
22	on October 27, 2022, the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake
23	Superior Chippewa Indians submitted a petition for a
24	contested case hearing to review the approval.
25	And on November 16, 2022, the DNR granted

- that request for a contested case hearing on a single
 certified issue. Now, on January 20th, 2023, the DNR
 submitted a request for a hearing to the Division of
 Hearings and Appeals, along with the Petitioner's
 contested case hearing request and other associated
- Now on March 23rd, 2023, a prehearing

 conference was held where scheduling and other issues

 were discussed. And the issue now for this hearing is

 as follows:
- 11 Whether the DNR lawfully approved Howard
 12 Bros. Incorporated application to land spread septage
 13 on the Plummer 7 Lot, specifically under Wisconsin
 14 Statute Section 281.48(4m), and Wisconsin
 15 Administrative Code Section NR 113.07(3). That's the
 16 issue for this hearing. All right. I've said enough.
- 17 Appearances, please?

documents.

6

- MS. BURKE: Alixandra Burke, on behalf of the
 Department of Natural Resources.
- 20 MS. HANSON: Katherine Hanson, on behalf of 21 the Department of Natural Resources.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh. And I

 will need people to speak up because I have a court

 reporter here. I can't hear as well as I used to, so I

 will just need everybody to speak up clearly. And that

- goes for the witnesses, too, when they come up. So I
- 2 heard Katherine Hanson. And go ahead.
- MR. ADAMS: Andrew Adams, on behalf of the
- 4 Lake Superior -- or the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake
- 5 Superior Chippewa Indians.
- MS. HERMSEN: Samantha Hermsen, also on
- 7 behalf the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior
- 8 Chippewa Indians.
- 9 MR. OLSON: Good morning. My name is Joseph
- 10 Olson. I am with the law firm of Michael, Best and
- 11 Friedrich. I represent Howard Bros. And with me at
- 12 the table this morning is Kyle Howard, the
- vice-president of Howard Bros.
- 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- Now, you heard me announce at the beginning something.
- 16 I'm just going to double up on that announcement.
- 17 If anybody is here as a member of the public
- 18 who wants to participate by making a statement, that's
- 19 going to happen via testimony, but that's going to
- 20 happen towards the end of the hearing.
- 21 Why? Why are we doing that? Well, because
- 22 that way you benefit from the efficiencies of the
- 23 hearing. You get to hear what the testimony is; you
- get to hear what the issue is; you get to hear the
- 25 questions. It helps sort of sculpt your thinking, your

framing of the issue so that when you come up and you say something, it is actually very relevant to what we have been talking about all week. That's the thinking behind it.

Now, the -- I think that the reason why the law requires that you make an appearance at the beginning is that for the judge and the parties, we get to actually plan on, okay, how many people are we going to have who are interested in making a statement and how much time do we have to set aside for this.

So I have a log up here at the -- on this desk that's just under this clock near me. So if anybody is here and they are considering on making a statement, you should please come up right now and put your name and your address on this log because your appearance has to be noted at the beginning of the hearing.

If you decide not to, then you won't be able to make a statement later on. So just calling out, this is your last chance to come up. I say last chance, because the code says I have to do this at the commencement of the hearing. And we are at the commencement of this hearing right now. So if anybody is thinking about doing that, please come up and do that right now.

- I see no movement. So I am thinking that
 most people have already signed the log who decided
 that they want to make a statement. All right. And
 the parties and I will look over that log to figure out
 how much time we are going to have to set aside,
- All right. Housekeeping, any issues over the weekend that came up for the parties that you wanted to bring to my attention?

probably during a break later today.

- MS. HANSON: No, Your Honor.
- MS. BURKE: No, Your Honor.
- MR. ADAMS: No, Your Honor.

20

2.1

22

23

- 13 MR. OLSON: One issue, Your Honor, you will
 14 note on our witness list is Mark Wallen, who did the
 15 soil borings as part of the application. He has a
 16 childcare issue. And based on the flow and some of the
 17 conversations about how long we think the witnesses are
 18 going to take, I think it is going to be just fine, but
 19 he is not available on Friday.
 - He is planning to be here on Thursday. So as we go through this week, if we could all maybe just be in contact about where we think we are. I think he could get here Wednesday, too, if possible.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Now,
 25 you're the -- in the order of parties, the Petitioner

is going first. Then DNR is second. You're third. So it's likely that you would be hitting right on target with Wednesday or Thursday anyway, but I appreciate the info.

2.2

2.4

And just in case anybody sort of read over it or glossed over it when you were reading, Thursday, that's the 26th, that's the day that we will be using a video room. I don't know where that room is yet, I have got to go talk with the clerk's office here to figure out what is the specific room, what does it look like, what's the capacity, what's the setup.

But I'm thinking we're just going to set up that day and do the -- that day of hearing in that room. So I will probably put a sign up on the wall or the door here for anyone who is a late comer and doesn't realize that we have moved for that particular day. But that's the plan for Thursday.

So if you have witnesses who are planning on showing up, you should tell them that, that we're going to be in a different room and that you'll know more -- hopefully I will know that room number by later today.

Any other last minute issues for the parties?

Okay. All right. I realize this is a contested case hearing but, you know, I have done a number of trials in my life, and I always benefit from having a brief

- statement from the parties' perspective of the issues,

 at least in their minds, and what they expect that

 their witnesses will be contributing during the

 hearing.
- So I'm talking about opening statement. And
 I'm thinking short opening statements are in order
 here, especially for the members of the public who are
 here. I would like folks to limit their comments to
 five minutes or less because I don't want to spend all
 day in opening statements either.
 - So because the Petitioner gets to go first, and the Petitioner has the burden here, the Petitioner gets to make their statement first, followed by the DNR, followed by Howard Bros.
- So please go ahead.

11

12

13

14

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

- MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. And may

 it please the Court, my name is Andrew Adams, as I

 stated before. I represent the Lac du Flambeau Band of

 Lake Superior Chippewa Indians.
 - And in no disrespect to the Court or to you, as the Honor, I believe it is telling that in the preface by which you set up the location of where the Plummer 7 site is situated, you identified it as being in the Town of Lac du Flambeau, in the County of Vilas and in the State of Wisconsin.

But from the tribe's standpoint, Plummer 7 is located at Waaswaaganing, which is in the heart of the Lac du Flambeau Indian Reservation, which was created by way of treaties with the United States in 1837, 1842, and the boundaries of the reservation were defined in 1854.

2.1

2.3

2.4

The reservation consists of 41 -- more than 41,000 acres of forested uplands, 24,000 acres of wetlands, and over 17,000 acres of lakes and rivers.

And, Your Honor, from the tribe's standpoint, what happens at Plummer 7 does not just impact Plummer 7 or Plummer 6, which is the parcel just north of Plummer 7 that Howard Bros. uses to conduct their septage.

Their activities there of spreading pollution as defined by Wisconsin state law not only impacts

Plummer 6 and 7, it impacts the lands that are immediately adjacent and contiguous to those lands. It impacts the non-tribal member landowners that also are neighbors to that parcel. And it impacts the greater tribal community at Lac du Flambeau.

The tribe will put on testimony and exhibits that will show that Howard Bros. has demonstrated a history of incompetence in terms of its land application of septage at Plummer 6 and 7 that would disqualify them from continued applications of septage.

The DNR had ample record of this incompetence at the time of reviewing their application for Plummer 7, which should have been -- which should have heavily factored into their review process under 113.07.

2.1

2.3

2.4

Howard Bros. failed to report correctly and the DNR failed to verify correctly the recreational use of the surrounding lands, which should nearly disqualify the septage land spreading on both of those parcels. They are and they have been spreading copious amounts of garbage and biohazardous materials with their septage in violation of Wisconsin law.

The available water holding capacity of their land does not meet the standards under Wisconsin law, which means that septage is likely to seep off the property into other areas of the reservation. The sole permeability rate of their land does not meet the standards under Wisconsin law, which again means that the earth is not able to hold this toxic septage material.

They have not provided evidence that the slope is low enough for the septage to be safely applied, again, in violation of Wisconsin law. They are not using the land for hay harvesting or another beneficial use, as required under Wisconsin law. They are not preventing animals from grazing on the land, as

required under Wisconsin law, and animals are highly likely to regularly graze on their lands.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

All of these violations are creating conditions that are extremely hazardous to human life and health, again, all in violation of Wisconsin law.

After the hearing and this testimony and receiving the related exhibits, which have already been provided to the Court, you will have ample basis to conclude that the DNR did not abide -- abide by its own regulations in approving Plummer 7 for septage land application. And that Howard Bros., their incompetency and the unsuitability of the land for septage land application extends to both Plummer 6 and 7. Thank you.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

All right. Just give me a second. Okay. DNR, your turn.

MS. BURKE: Thank you, Your Honor. As mentioned earlier, my name is Alixandra Burke. And together with my co-counsel, Katherine Hanson, we represent the Department of Natural Resources.

This matter concerns the department's lawful approval of Howard Bros.' application to land spread septage on the Plummer 7 lot in Vilas County. In order to receive this approval, the Howard Bros. had to make

a number of demonstrations to the department to show
that the soil on the Plummer 7 Lot, a property that
they owned, was appropriate to receive septage.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

You will hear that land preparation prior to septage spreading included tree removal, grading, planting hay, and soil evaluations, among others. Only after this work was completed and the soils properly evaluated was an approval issued by the department.

The Howard Bros. had purchased an adjacent site, Plummer 6, in May of 2021 from a previous septage hauler. Testimony and evidence will show that since ownership was transferred to the Howard Bros., this Plummer 6 site has not been the subject of any department enforcement actions. And there have been no department substantiated complaints regarding that site. However, Plummer 6 is not the site certified for issue at this hearing.

In this hearing, focusing on the Plummer 7 lot, the evidence will show that the Howard Bros.' land application site request met all of the eligibility criteria required by code and DNR appropriately approved the site for land spreading septage.

The department will present several witnesses who will testify regarding the site approval process and the Howard Bros.' application specifically. Alison

Canniff, a department wastewater specialist, reviewed and issued the site approval for the Plummer 7 site.

She will testify to her site review process, the communication -- the communications she had with the Howard Bros. throughout the process, and to the compliance assistance she offered the company as their business was starting up in 2021.

2.3

2.4

Fred Hegeman, an advanced wastewater engineer, will testify regarding the department's land spreading program and his involvement in helping craft NR 113, which is the chapter of administrative code that covers regulation of septage.

As a septage expert, you will hear that

Ms. Canniff conferred with Mr. Hegeman on the Howard

Bros.' application prior to the approval.

Steve Warrner, an advanced wastewater specialist and statewide land application site review coordinator, will testify as to the types of information that is reviewed when a site approval request comes in, and his communications with Ms.

Canniff and Mr. Hegeman while Ms. Canniff reviewed the Plummer 7 site.

Finally, Michelle BalkLudwig, the wastewater field supervisor for the northern region, will testify as to her outreach to the Lac du Flambeau Tribe when

- the application for Plummer 7 was received by the department.
- These witnesses will also testify to the fact
 that they found the Howard Bros. to be receptive to
 comments from the department and responsive to
 compliance concerns.

The evidence will show that the department
followed the provisions of Chapter NR 113 of the
Administrative Code when issuing this approval, and
that the department was transparent in the
decision-making process.

The evidence provided in this proceeding will show that the DNR properly approved the Plummer 7 lot for land spreading septage. And we respectfully ask that you withhold -- or uphold the DNR's decision.

Thank you.

17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
18 Howard Bros.?

12

13

14

15

16

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. OLSON: Thank you, Your Honor. If it please the Court, I would begin my opening statement by echoing everything you just heard from the department. And as a lawyer in private practice that represents a lot of regulated entities in this state, I don't get to say that very often.

But this -- the facts of this case are pretty

stark. You will see that Howard Bros. made its application for septage spreading in January of 2021, and that that application was not granted until September of 2022. During that time, there were extensive communications between Howard Bros. and the DNR about the condition of Plummer 7, about the requirements of NR 113, and about the work that Howard Bros. needed to do to make sure that that site was appropriate for septage.

2.1

2.3

2.4

You will see that at the very beginning of this process, one of the very first things that the DNR did was reach out to the tribe and provide them with a copy of the application that was submitted by Howard Bros. That occurred no later than January 25th of 2021. And what you are further going to see is that the tribe never made a single comment to the DNR about that application until October of 2022, after the application had already been approved.

What this case is actually about was made very clear in the Petitioner's original petition for a contested case in which they spent three-quarters of that time raising issues with the idea that the DNR has any authority to regulate my client at all. That's what this case really is, this is a stalking horse for the tribe to try to assert jurisdiction over my

client's land. It's not actually about the issue that
was certified for approval. That's a very narrow
issue.

2.1

2.3

2.4

And the DNR files make it abundantly clear that the process was followed, that Howard Bros. is operating consistent with the requirements of the code. And that while it is not everybody's favorite business, the land spreading of septage is an absolutely necessary part of rural living in Northern Wisconsin.

Quite simply, once somebody's private septage holding tank is pumped out, the septage has to go somewhere. There is not enough municipal wastewater treatment facilities in the State of Wisconsin who will take that septage, which leaves us with the need for land spreading.

And what you will see is that on Plummer 7, the septage is being spread on a hay crop, and that hay crop is being continually harvested. You will hear that at the beginning of this process, Plummer 7 was wooded. And the tribe will tell you that Howard Bros. lied on its application, saying it was already a hayfield. But the records, the letters from the Howard Bros. to the DNR in January of 2021, at the same time that they submitted their application, states in plain language that the lot was currently wooded and was

being cleared and was going to be converted to a
hayfield. There was no deception, there was no lie,
there was no misstatement.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

You are also going to hear a lot of complaints about sanitary wipes and other garbage on -- in the septage. And what you will also hear is testimony that Howard Bros. has been working diligently to try to rectify and minimize any of those types of problems. Those problems begin with the individuals who choose to put those things in their septage tanks. And it is only Howard Bros. who have to deal with that at the end of the process.

You will hear how Howard Bros. sent employees out there to pick these things up by hand on their fields and to walk the roadways and make sure they weren't leaving waste behind. You will hear how they upgraded their equipment to do a better job of catching these things as the septage is being spread.

And the overall story you are going to hear on that point is from the moment that Howard Bros. bought the septage company in the spring of 2021, things have improved and they continue to improve; and that Howard Bros. is committed to improving even more. Thank you.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

- 1 Okay. I think we are ready for some evidence.
- 2 So, Petitioner, are you ready with your first
- 3 witness?
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So
- 6 I will have witnesses come and sit up here in between
- 7 me and the court reporter. I will swear them in, I
- 8 will have them spell their names out, and then we'll
- 9 get rolling.
- 10 MR. ADAMS: Okay. And we -- the first
- witness we would like to call is Mr. John Johnson,
- 12 Senior, who is the president of the Lac du Flambeau
- 13 Tribal Council.
- 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Raise your
- 15 right hand. Sir, do you swear or affirm under penalty
- of perjury, that the testimony you are about to give
- 17 will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
- 18 truth?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 21 Please state and spell your first and last name for the
- 22 record.
- 23 THE WITNESS: John D. Johnson, Sr. J-o-h-n,
- 24 D, J-o-h-n-s-o-n, S-r.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.

- 1 Thank you so much. Please go ahead.
- JOHN D. JOHNSON, SR.
- 3 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 4 testified as follows:
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 7 Q Okay. Good morning, President Johnson.
- 8 A Good morning.
- 9 Q President Johnson, can you provide your job title?
- 10 A Tribal President of Lac du Flambeau Reservation.
- 11 Q Okay. And how do you become the president of the Lac
- 12 du Flambeau Tribal Council?
- 13 A Through elections.
- 14 Q Okay. Is the election conducted by the tribe itself?
- 15 A Yes, sir --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A -- tribal membership.
- 18 Q And how long have you served in that position?
- 19 A Third year.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A Going on third year.
- 22 Q And how long have you been on the Lac du Flambeau
- 23 Tribal Council?
- 24 A Since 2013. There was one year I wasn't in there, but
- I had surgery that year so I took off so --

- 1 Q Okay. And what other positions have you held on the
- 2 Lac du Flambeau Tribal Council?
- 3 A I was a roads department manager for a long time, and I
- 4 worked for our housing authority. And I had numerous
- 5 jobs. I actually was an electrician at our casino
- 6 also.
- 7 Q Okay. And do you -- when were you first elected to
- 8 Tribal Council?
- 9 A 2013.
- 10 Q Okay, thank you. And can you describe a little bit of
- 11 your educational background?
- 12 A United States Army. I went to technical college at
- 13 Nicolet. I had some electrical training also.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A That's about it.
- 16 Q Okay. And have you -- did you grow up on the Lac du
- 17 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 18 A Yes, I did, since I was 7 years old.
- 19 Q Okay, thank you. And can you describe, President
- 20 Johnson, your overall duties as President of the Lac du
- 21 Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians?
- 22 A To protect everything in the boundaries of the
- reservation, and that means for the future generations
- of our children and our elders and everyone in between.
- 25 Q And, as tribal president, are -- does that mean that

- 1 you are also an enrolled member of the tribe?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q And as an enrolled member of the tribe, what type of --
- 4 what type of benefits do you get to enjoy on the Lac du
- 5 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 6 A Hunting, fishing and gathering. And that would either
- 7 be maple syrup, birch bark, hunting, trapping, fishing.
- 8 Q And how was the -- how was the -- from your
- 9 understanding, how was the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 10 Reservation created?
- 11 A Back in I think it was 1836 when they ceded all of
- 12 their territorial lands to the United States for our
- reservation, so 1836.
- 14 Q And based on your understanding of the history, the
- 15 tribe signed treaties with the United States that
- 16 essentially created the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 17 Reservation; correct?
- 18 A Yes. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And based on your experience working for the tribe, are
- 20 you pretty familiar -- and growing up on the
- 21 reservation, are you pretty familiar with the geography
- of the reservation?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q Okay. So do you know where the Plummer 6 and 7 sites
- are located?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Can you just generally describe where they are located
- 3 on the reservation?
- 4 A Out in the East Boundary off of Highway 47. The road
- 5 goes all of the way back through all of the way to
- 6 Highway H. A couple other roads come back out on
- 7 Highway 47.
- I grew up hunting in that area. We learned
- 9 from our grandfather. That's where we learned how to
- 10 hunt and fish and stuff like that, through all of the
- lakes that are back in that area. So we hunted, fished
- and gathered in that area, along with snaring rabbits
- and trapping.
- 14 Q And, President Johnson, do you know of any surface
- waters near the Plummer 6 and 7 sites?
- 16 A Warrior Lake, Plummer Lake, and there is a couple of
- swamps here and there in between.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A And Mishonagon Creek.
- 20 Q And does Mishonagon run on the east -- east of Plummer
- 21 6 and 7?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And, President Johnson, are you -- are you
- 24 generally aware of the fact that -- that Howard Bros.
- does a land application of septage in that area on the

- 1 reservation?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And based on your understanding of what septage
- 4 is, can you explain what a land application of septage
- is, from your perspective?
- 6 A Well, I have seen the sites, I have been there a few
- 7 times and I have just checked on the sites myself and
- 8 the spread dumping of it. And I guess what goes on
- 9 after, there is a lime that helps it deteriorate, the
- septage.
- 11 And we have a lot of ground surface waters
- 12 there that we are trying to not contaminate because we
- 13 have 260 lakes on our reservation, 20 miles of rivers
- 14 and streams. And we are trying to keep that, I guess,
- 15 the bodies of water, the air and everything for our
- 16 next seven generations of our children and so they have
- a place to call home later on in life.
- 18 Q And why is that important? Why is it important that
- 19 your tribal members have a place to call home?
- 20 A When we were given these allotments and somehow they
- got switched around, they got sold into land to
- 22 privatize, and we're trying to get our lands back at
- this time, de-privatize them so we have a place to call
- home for our children.
- 25 And I know the allotments, a lot of them had

- 1 been sold off in the past. And, you know, they could
- 2 have been for mere pennies. But, you know, we are just
- 3 trying to survive there. That's the only piece of land
- 4 that my tribe is ever going to own unless we purchase
- 5 more off reservation.
- 6 Q And, President Johnson, can you describe how big the
- 7 reservation is?
- 8 A 12-by-12 square miles.
- 9 Q And can you explain the different types of land, like
- 10 the different classifications of land on the Lac du
- 11 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 12 A Yeah. We have a lot of lakes, we have a lot of rivers,
- 13 streams. We have a lot of -- we have some hilly land
- in there. And, you know, a lot of it all comes back to
- 15 where it makes it back to the ground surface waters and
- 16 the waters surrounding each one of our pieces of land
- there.
- 18 It has some connection to the land, all of
- 19 that water we have. And this is what we are trying to
- 20 keep safe for future generations.
- 21 Q And does the tribe own all of the land on the
- 22 reservation?
- 23 A No, we don't.
- 24 O And who else owns land on the reservation?
- 25 A There is a lot of -- there is a lot of people that own

- 1 different parcels of that reservation, and I would like
- 2 to say we own like 72 percent of it.
- 3 Q Okay. And the land that the tribe owns, is some of
- 4 that in fee simple status?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And then is some of it in what's called trust
- 7 status?
- 8 A Yes, allotted lands, yep.
- 9 Q Okay. And the lands that are in trust, are those --
- does the United States have some type of ownership
- interest in those lands?
- 12 A Yes, they have the -- they have the ownership and
- 13 protecting all of these lands that we have in these
- 14 agreements that we have for our people.
- 15 O Okay. And, President Johnson, in terms of the work
- 16 that you do, do you ever have to interact with the
- 17 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources?
- 18 A Yes, I do, sir. And that date that you were giving
- 19 earlier, September 29th, 2022, we just happened to have
- 20 a Chippewa Federation meeting that day. All three
- 21 states were involved -- Michigan, Wisconsin and
- 22 Minnesota.
- 23 And my Tribal Council had me bring up the
- fact that this was going on with the State DNR Liaison
- 25 Kris Goodwill. And that morning it was mentioned that

- 1 10:30 in the morning up in Red Cliff, another
- 2 reservation north of us, and by 2:30 or 3:30 that
- afternoon, they already had their application. We
- 4 didn't find that out for almost a month later, but it
- 5 was mentioned that morning in that meeting.
- 6 Q And, President Johnson, did you -- did you say anything
- 7 during that meeting to any DNR officials concerning the
- 8 application?
- 9 A Yes. Kris Goodwill was there. And she said they would
- get back to us, because we knew that application was
- going in but it hadn't yet. And, you know, it was kind
- of like we got the runaround from the DNR.
- 13 And I believe it was at the end of December
- or the beginning of January we had consultation with
- 15 the DNR in Danbury, Wisconsin, and it was also brought
- 16 up again. And that's when we found out the application
- 17 had been accepted.
- 18 Q And so, President Johnson, I am curious if you could
- 19 describe -- let me take a step back.
- 20 The lands -- the land where Plummer 6 and 7
- 21 are located, can you generally describe the lands that
- 22 surround Plummer 6 and 7? Are you familiar with those
- 23 at all?
- 24 A Yeah. That's all woodland area around there.
- 25 Q Okay. And is that land that is owned by the tribe?

- 1 A Yes, a lot of it is allotted lands. One of my
- 2 relatives owns land just to the south of there, right
- 3 next to it.
- 4 Q Okay. And those lands that surround Plummer 6 and 7,
- 5 what do tribal members do with that land?
- 6 A They basically use it for hunting. You know, we --
- 7 this is where -- I guess there is not too many places
- 8 left on the reservation where we take our kids out, we
- 9 go hunting and we hunt birds and everything else like
- 10 that.
- And most of it is all hunting land. But, you
- 12 know, the lands that are allotted there from our
- 13 relatives, they let us cross it all of the time. And,
- 14 you know, we can go do what we want in there.
- 15 O Okay. And why is hunting important, you know, for Lac
- 16 du Flambeau tribal members?
- 17 A That's how we sustain our way of life. A lot of people
- don't hunt -- or eat out of the grocery stores where
- 19 I'm from. And, you know, the deer and rabbits and
- 20 everything else that inhabit that area, that's where we
- grew up hunting and, you know, trying to feed our
- families.
- 23 Q And from your standpoint, what -- one of the purposes
- of the reservation and it being a permanent homeland is
- 25 to provide for tribal members; correct?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q And that would include, you know, hunting animals, you
- 3 know, where it is available to do that on the
- 4 reservation; correct?
- 5 A Yes. Yep.
- 6 Q And where else do tribal members hunt, if it is not on
- 7 the reservation?
- 8 A Well, we have the ceded territory. But as we can see
- 9 moving forward, a lot of this land is being privatized
- 10 by the State of Wisconsin, the land that we once owned.
- 11 And as that gets privatized, you know, that
- 12 cuts our territories down for hunting and gathering.
- 13 Q And so the lands that surround Plummer 6 and 7, are --
- 14 do you know if there is any cultural like activities or
- 15 like any cultural significance to those lands also?
- 16 A Yeah. A lot of times, you know, around I guess the
- swampy areas and stuff like that is where we gather a
- 18 lot of our medicines that we use back home.
- 19 And, like I said, the animals that we do
- 20 consume and eat, those animals are what carry the
- 21 essential vitamins and -- that we need as Native
- 22 American people, because this is what we grew up on.
- 23 And that's actually, the medicine in those animals is
- 24 what we consume.
- 25 Q And you yourself, President Johnson, through like

- 1 growing up from your time of being 7 years old and
- 2 growing up on the Lac du Flambeau Indian Reservation,
- 3 have you personally used those lands around Plummer 6
- and 7 for any of these activities that you've
- 5 described?
- 6 A Yes. We used to snare rabbits during the winter. We'd
- 7 make drives there in the fall time. And my
- 8 grandfather, Bill Poupart, that's where -- he used to
- 9 be the one that would take us out to make these drives.
- 10 And this is where we were taught how to hunt. And, you
- 11 know, then we would have the state season because our
- 12 treaty rights weren't reaffirmed yet, and then we would
- 13 go off the reservation during the State of Wisconsin
- 14 hunting season.
- 15 And that's where our skills were utilized
- 16 then. Those were kind of like our training grounds out
- there in the East Boundary.
- 18 Q And, President Johnson, when it comes to the trust
- 19 lands that are on the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 20 Reservation, is it your understanding that those lands,
- 21 they are owned by the United States Government and they
- are held for the beneficial use of the tribe itself?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 O Okay. And the lands that surround Plummer 6 and 7,
- even if the -- even if the United States owns them,

- does the tribe have some duty to maintain and protect
- 2 those lands?
- 3 A Yes, we do, just like the rivers and streams we also
- 4 take care of. We take care of those. We are in
- 5 constant contact with the DNR. But, you know, they
- 6 have a lot of say-so on what happens to our water and
- 7 land within our reservation.
- 8 And I don't think that's very good because
- 9 there is hardly any collaboration with the two of us at
- 10 any time on our lands within. There is always talk
- 11 with lands without, but not within. And, you know, our
- 12 water rights that we have there, we actually have to
- ask them, you know, what we can and can't do on our own
- 14 reservation with our water.
- 15 And, you know, we set our standards a lot
- 16 higher than the state does in the protection of
- 17 everything that we have. And sometimes it doesn't work
- 18 with them and we are at each other's throats once in a
- 19 while, you know, just trying to preserve what we have.
- 20 Q And from your standpoint, President Johnson, why is it
- important to protect the environment and the resources
- around the Plummer 6 and 7 site?
- 23 A As I look into the future and as our people grow and
- our reservation gets bigger, we run out of land. And,
- you know, this is why we are here today is to protect

- 1 that land, you know, for future generations of the
- 2 upcoming youth that we have.
- 3 And it would be nice that we had a clean
- 4 reservation for them. You know, clean water, clean
- 5 air, clean soil, everything.
- 6 Q And, President Johnson, do you personally believe that
- 7 the Howard Bros.' application of septage at their 6 and
- 8 7 site, does that -- does that threaten the surrounding
- 9 trust lands?
- 10 A Yes, it does. I would say, you know, once it gets into
- 11 the water system, you know, we are all going to pay for
- it later on.
- 13 Q And do you believe that activity threatens individual
- 14 tribal members on the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 15 Reservation?
- 16 A Yes. Because, you know, what we have there in that
- 17 area, a lot people won't go back out there and hunt and
- 18 gather any more because of the smell, because of the --
- 19 they know what's in the land. They're seeing trucks in
- and out of there all summer and they know what's going
- 21 on.
- 22 So a lot of people just walked away from that
- area. And, you know, I was always wondering how like
- this lawyer over here -- attorney said this morning,
- 25 how it got from woodland to farmland, and I would like

- 1 to know.
- 2 Q And, President Johnson, the reservation, the folks that
- 3 make up the residents of the reservation, it is not
- 4 just limited to tribal members; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Yeah, there is a number of non-tribal members that live
- on the reservation, either full time or part time;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And how do you -- from your perspective, as the
- 11 president of the Tribal Council, have you heard from
- 12 any of those non-tribal members in terms of like the
- application of septage on the reservation?
- 14 A Yes, we have. We had a --
- 15 MR. OLSON: I'm going to object. This is
- just straight hearsay.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Well, they came to our council.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a second.
- 19 There is an objection.
- 20 Well, the Rules of Evidence don't actually
- 21 apply, the Wisconsin State Trial Court Rules of
- 22 Evidence, what is it, Chapters 901 to 911, so hearsay
- is allowable.
- 24 It is not the greatest source of information
- in any hearing so that means it is not the most

- 1 reliable source of information in any hearing. But it
- is allowable. So you can go ahead and tell us what you
- 3 were going to tell us. And if you need the question
- 4 repeated, go ahead and repeat the question.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. We had a lot of people
- from I think it was the Plummer Lakes, Lakes
- 7 Association come over to one of our council meetings
- and present to us, knowing that we had the same
- 9 concerns that they do.
- 10 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 11 Q And, President Johnson, have you had tribal members
- 12 express -- your like constituents, have you had tribal
- 13 members express some type of concern to you about the
- land application?
- 15 A Yes, we have.
- 16 Q And what's been kind of the nature of both the
- 17 non-tribal members and the tribal member kind of
- 18 opinions?
- 19 A I guess they would say they don't like to see that on
- 20 the reservation because of the watersheds that we have
- 21 there and the contamination that it can possibly
- 22 present later on down the road, with all of the COVID
- and the opioids and everything else that we've seen in
- the last few years since COVID, and not knowing where
- 25 all of this is coming from off the reservation onto our

- 1 reservation and being dumped.
- 2 Q Okay. And I believe you testified earlier that you
- 3 have been physically out at the Plummer 6 and 7 sites
- 4 recently?
- 5 A Yep.
- 6 Q Okay. And in the past, have you ever seen any garbage
- or debris, say, on the roads that lead to Plummer 6 and
- 8 7?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And what's been kind of the type of garbage that you've
- 11 seen out on the roads?
- 12 A Toiletry articles.
- 13 Q Okay. And stuff that wouldn't necessarily be there but
- for the land application; correct?
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 Q And has there been anything that's like -- that's
- 17 concerned you in terms of -- that you have seen out on
- 18 the roads?
- 19 A Yes. And this is kind of why we had -- in that
- 20 Chippewa Federation meeting, why we brought this up to
- 21 Kris Goodwill, talking about all of the things that we
- 22 are talking now and the concerns that the Lac du
- 23 Flambeau Tribe had, plus the Lakes Association.
- 24 And somehow that day that permit made it out
- by 3:30 in the afternoon, so I don't know what was

- going on there. But they swore up and down that they
- 2 didn't have nothing to do with it, and it was a
- 3 coincidence that it was brought up that morning in Red
- 4 Cliff and then -- at 10:30 in the morning and their
- 5 application was finalized at 3:30 in the afternoon.
- 6 Q And from your -- from your personal opinion, President
- Johnson, do you believe that Howard Bros. is conducting
- 8 this land application safely?
- 9 A I don't think so. In my opinion, no, I don't.
- 10 Q And, President Johnson, what happens -- again, based on
- 11 your knowledge of kind of the law and the federal
- 12 government's policies concerning treaty-bounded
- 13 reservations -- but what happens when a -- a
- 14 reservation becomes damaged, like the lands or the
- 15 waters become damaged or contaminated? Like can your
- tribe get a new reservation?
- 17 A No, we can't. And the reason I say that is because the
- 18 water issues that we have there. And like I said, our
- 19 standards are really high. And we have purchased land
- in the past in that area to reclaim it and, you know,
- 21 try to do the things we can to get that kind of cleaned
- 22 up back in that area so maybe some day we can inhabit
- that again, hunt and fish and gather in there; but I
- don't see that happening any time soon with what's
- going on now.

- 1 Q And, President Johnson, just -- I guess you don't have
- 2 to provide like explicit numbers, but generally how
- 3 many -- how many tribal members live on the Lac du
- 4 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 5 A I would like to say around 2,200 or 2,300, 750 youth.
- 6 Q And so from your -- from your standpoint, do you
- 7 consider the Lac du Flambeau Indian Reservation to be
- 8 the permanent homeland of the Lac du Flambeau Band of
- 9 Lake Superior Chippewa Indians?
- 10 A Yes, I do. And you look at it from my standpoint,
- 11 there are 4,200 plus tribal members right now. And the
- 12 first descendancy is a little bit higher. It would be
- around 4,200. So you are talking 8,500 people, where
- once when this land was allotted, we were probably
- 15 lucky to have 200 tribal members at the time of the
- 16 treaties.
- 17 Q And just so we're clear, despite the fact that the
- 18 reservation is 12-by-12 and it's the acreage that it
- is, that doesn't mean that tribal members have access
- to every square inch of the reservation; correct?
- 21 A No. No, we don't. Even some of our lakes in there are
- 22 landlocked, you know, that we can't get to them.
- 23 Q And so would it be fair to say that that land -- the
- 24 trust land that surrounds Plummer 6 and 7, it's unique
- in the fact that tribal members have access to it to

- 1 hunt and to gather?
- 2 A Yes, it is unique.
- 3 Q And is there a lot of that type of land on the Lac du
- 4 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 5 A Not any more. There is -- we have a place called East
- River Road out there where there is no development yet,
- 7 but that's kind of like our safe haven right now where
- 8 the Bear River runs. But, you know, the land that we
- 9 used to utilize out in the East Boundary area was
- almost the same until, you know, it got bought up at
- 11 some point.
- 12 But we were still working with the people
- that live around in the areas, and being respectful to
- them also when we go out that way and hunt.
- 15 MR. ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. That's all of
- the direct questions I have, Your Honor.
- 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
- Just a second. Okay. Who will be doing the
- 19 cross-examination for the department?
- 20 MS. HANSON: I will, Your Honor.
- 21 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Remember to
- 22 speak up.
- MS. HANSON: Thank you. I will do my best.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MS. HANSON:

- 1 Q Good morning, President Johnson.
- 2 A Good morning.
- 3 Q My name is Kate Hanson. I'm an attorney for the DNR.
- 4 And I just have a few clarification questions about
- 5 your communications with the DNR and when they took
- 6 place.
- 7 So I recall some of your testimony about the
- 8 Chippewa Federation meeting that took place on Red
- 9 Cliff Reservation; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q Okay. And DNR was in attendance at that meeting?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry to
- 15 interrupt, but I'm really having trouble hearing you so
- 16 I am going to need you to dial it up to, you know, 10
- 17 or 11.
- 18 MS. HANSON: All right. Of course, thank
- 19 you.
- 20 BY MS. HANSON:
- 21 Q And I believe you testified that you learned an
- application was finalized at that meeting?
- 23 A No. We had brought it up. Our Tribal Council members
- asked me as the tribal leader to bring up what was
- 25 happening in that area at 10:30 that morning. And we

- didn't find out till a few weeks later that at 3:30
- that afternoon, you know, that application went
- 3 through.
- 4 Q Okay. Thank you. And when did that initial meeting
- 5 take place, the Chippewa Federation meeting?
- 6 A It was September 29th, 2022.
- 7 Q And then do you recall when about you found out later
- 8 that the application had been finalized?
- 9 A That probably would have been a week or two later.
- 10 Q And do you recall receiving any communication from the
- 11 DNR before that initial meeting --
- 12 A No, I don't.
- 13 Q -- about an application on Plummer 7?
- 14 A No, I don't.
- 15 Q Okay. And I also heard you testify about a Tribal
- 16 Council meeting at which the Plummer Lakes Association
- 17 came and presented. Do you recall that testimony?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And do you recall when that meeting took place?
- 20 A I do not know the exact date.
- 21 Q Okay. Do you recall the year?
- 22 A I would like to say within a year from now back. I
- can't, you know, really tell you the specific date, but
- it was within the last year.
- 25 Q Okay. So sometime within 2023?

- 1 A I would say 2022 to 2023.
- 2 Q Okay. And do you recall if the Lakes Association spoke
- 3 about Plummer 6 or Plummer 7 specifically?
- 4 A Plummer 7.
- 5 MS. HANSON: I have no further questions.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 9 Counsel for Howard Bros?
- MR. OLSON: Sure.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. OLSON:
- 13 Q President Johnson, first of all, thank you for being
- 14 here today.
- 15 I'm going to ask you if you could grab --
- 16 there is a skinny binder on that stack of three binders
- 17 next to you there.
- 18 A This one?
- 19 Q Can you grab the skinny one?
- 20 A Um-h'm.
- 21 Q Can you flip that to tab 102. If you go to the third
- 22 page in on that tab, you will see a letter dated
- January 25th of 2022, addressed to --
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel, can
- 25 you direct me to whose exhibits are we looking at?

- 1 MR. OLSON: I'm sorry, these are the DNR's
- 2 exhibits, Exhibit No. 102.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Thank
- 4 you. Appreciate it.
- 5 MR. OLSON: Yep. Sorry about that.
- 6 BY MR. OLSON:
- 7 Q You will see that that's a letter from the Department
- 8 of Natural Resources, addressed to President John
- 9 Johnson. That's you; right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And it's dated January 25th, 2022; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And after the salutation where it says, "Dear Mr.
- Johnson," the letter reads, "This letter is to notify
- 15 you that an application for septage spreading has been
- 16 received for property located within the Lac du
- 17 Flambeau tribal boundaries."
- 18 Did I read that first sentence correctly?
- 19 A Yep.
- 20 O So isn't it in fact true that you learned about the
- 21 application for Plummer 7 nine months before the
- 22 September 29th meeting on the Red Cloud [sic]
- 23 Reservation?
- 24 A It depends on if this was sent in regular email.
- Because sometimes, you know, people do send me emails

- 1 and I don't get them.
- 2 Q Well, you --
- 3 A And it would just be from like a mess up from like a
- 4 lower case letter or something --
- 5 O Sure.
- 6 A -- in my emails, and a lot of times I don't get them.
- 7 O Sure.
- 8 A And they are cc'd to Dee Allen, James Yach.
- 9 Q So let's flip to the first page of that tab.
- 10 If you go to the bottom half of that, you
- 11 will see an email addressed to JJohnsonsr@ldftribe.com.
- Do you see that, at the bottom half of the
- page, sir?
- 14 A Yep, that's where I'm looking. Yep, there's a capital
- J there.
- 16 Q Sure. But that email is dated January 26, 2022; is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And in the CC line, you see Dee Allen, James Yach; is
- 20 that right?
- 21 A Yep.
- 22 Q And Dee Allen and James Yach both also are officials of
- 23 the tribe; is that correct?
- 24 A No, just Dee Allen.
- 25 Q I'm sorry.

- 1 A James Yach is the DNR.
- 2 Q You are right, I apologize for that. But Dee Allen,
- 3 what is her job with the tribe?
- 4 A She was interim DNR staff at the time when one of
- 5 our -- the deputy administrator retired.
- 6 Q Okay. So if you look at the top half of that page, you
- 7 see another email -- never mind, it is the wrong
- 8 exhibit, sorry.
- 9 But in any event, the tribe was notified in
- January; isn't that correct?
- 11 A Um-h'm. I wasn't, but the tribe was I guess.
- 12 Q Do you ever talk to Dee Allen?
- 13 A Yes, we do.
- 14 Q And, in fact, if you are the president of the tribe,
- and there was an email sent to the two of you regarding
- 16 land application for Plummer 7, would you expect
- 17 Ms. Allen to come to you and talk to you about it?
- 18 A She probably did, but I'm a busy person because we're
- 19 fighting treaty rights daily, on a daily basis, in Lac
- 20 du Flambeau. And do you want my card? It has the
- 21 right email address on it.
- 22 Q No. Do you have any reason to believe that that letter
- wasn't put in the U.S. Mail?
- 24 A If it was, I didn't see it.
- 25 Q Well, if you look again at that first page of 102, the

- email says, "I have attached a letter of notification
- 2 regarding a septage site request, a signed hard copy of
- 3 this letter will be sent to you as well."
- 4 A Yep.
- 5 Q Did I read that correctly?
- 6 A Yep.
- 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe a signed hard copy
- 8 wasn't sent to you?
- 9 A I don't know, unless it went to our secretary, or my
- 10 secretary for the Council, Ms. Jamie Allen.
- 11 Q All right. I am going to need you to switch binders
- for me, and I apologize for that.
- 13 There should be one in front of you labeled
- 14 Exhibits Howard Bros. Do you see that?
- 15 A Yep, yep.
- 16 Q Now, if you flip to tab 223.
- 17 A All right.
- 18 Q It shouldn't be a sideways document if we're on the
- 19 same document.
- 20 A This one?
- 21 Q No, tab 223.
- 22 A Yeah. Oh, wrong page. Sorry about that.
- 23 Q No problem. If you look at the bottom half of that
- 24 page, you'll see an email dated Friday, January 28th,
- 25 2022, from Michelle BalkLudwig at the DNR.

- 1 Do you see that?
- 2 A Yep.
- 3 Q And that email is addressed in the "to" line, to Dee
- 4 Allen; is that correct?
- 5 A Yep.
- 6 Q And in the CC line, there's a whole host of people?
- 7 A Yep.
- 8 Q We see a Jessie Peterson. Do you know who that is?
- 9 A Yep.
- 10 Q Who is that?
- 11 A She's our land management officer.
- 12 O A tribal official?
- 13 A Yep.
- 14 Q And then we see Kristen Hanson. Do you know who that
- 15 is?
- 16 A Yep.
- 17 Q And who is Ms. Hanson?
- 18 A She is in the back of the room right there. She works
- 19 with our DNR for the Brownsfield project.
- 20 Q A tribe official or employee?
- 21 A Yes, she's an employee.
- 22 Q And then you see Celeste Hockings. Do you see that?
- 23 A Yep.
- 24 Q Who is Ms. Hockings?
- 25 A She's our deputy administrator right now at the DNR

- level for Lac du Flambeau. She is in the back room.
- 2 Q And do you see Jodie Bednar?
- 3 A Yep.
- 4 0 Who is that?
- 5 A Tribal attorney.
- 6 Q All right. Then you see J. Johnson?
- 7 A Yep.
- 8 Q And it's a lower case J that time in your email
- 9 address; isn't it?
- 10 A Yep.
- 11 O So that would be the correct email address?
- 12 A Yep.
- 13 Q Okay. And if you look at the text of that email, it
- 14 says, "Good afternoon, Dee. I have attached the
- application that was submitted for the site. The
- application has not been reviewed for completeness. It
- will be checked for all required information and
- 18 processed under our normal review procedures by the
- 19 land application specialist prior to any decision being
- 20 made."
- 21 Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q So at least as of January 28th, it got to you with the
- right email address; isn't that correct?
- 25 A Yep.

- 1 Q And it went to, what did we count up, like six or seven
- 2 other tribal officials or employees?
- 3 A Yep.
- 4 Q All right. So it is fundamentally not true that the
- 5 first time you learned about this application was
- 6 September 29th of 2022; isn't that correct?
- 7 A Yep. I probably read it so --
- 8 Q And from the time of this email on January 28th of
- 9 2022, until September 29th, 2022, to your knowledge,
- 10 nobody from the tribe reached out to the DNR about this
- 11 application; isn't that correct?
- 12 A This would have went to our tribal natural resources
- building, this letter. And they are probably going to
- 14 -- you're probably going to hear from them later.
- 15 O Okay. From the date of this email, January 28th of
- 16 2022, till September 29th of 2022 --
- 17 A Yep.
- 18 Q -- did you reach out to the DNR to talk to them about
- this application?
- 20 A I probably did with Preston Cole. We used to have
- 21 phone calls like every couple of weeks just to check in
- 22 with one another. And I --
- 23 Q Do you remember any specific conversations?
- 24 A Yeah, we had talked about it once. And, you know, like
- I said, I'm so busy every day, you know, doing

- different things. I'm traveling, and a lot of times I
- don't get the things right away.
- 3 Q All right. So if I am understanding you correctly,
- 4 what you are saying is this wasn't a big enough deal to
- 5 put aside some of your other issues to deal with?
- 6 A Well, it was a big enough deal if Preston and I had,
- 7 you know, talked about it. And we were going to get it
- 8 put on further agendas down the way. But, you know, it
- 9 was talked about, at GLIFWC also, Great Lakes Indian
- 10 Fish and Wildlife.
- 11 Q You don't have any documents between you and Preston
- 12 Cole about this?
- 13 A No, just conversations. I do the same thing with the
- 14 new secretary, Adam Payne.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Every couple of weeks we check in.
- 17 Q Earlier when you were testifying and answering some of
- 18 Mr. Adams' questions, you answered one of his questions
- 19 generally talking about the reservation by stating,
- we're trying to get our lands back.
- Do you remember saying that?
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q Is that a stated policy of the Tribal Council, to try
- 24 to get back as much of the original reservation land as
- possible?

- 1 A Yes, it is. And a lot of it has to do, you know, like
- 2 the LaFrenier site that we bought so we could reclaim
- 3 it and put it back to its natural state. That's what
- 4 I'm talking about.
- 5 Q Sure. I think I heard -- and I know the numbers might
- 6 not be perfectly correct -- but is it correct that
- 7 about 18 percent of the land inside of the boundaries
- 8 of the reservation is owned by individuals as fee
- 9 simple land?
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 Q Yeah?
- 12 A It might be a little bit more than that.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A Like 28.
- 15 Q I think -- I think in your petition you say -- it says
- 16 18 for fee owned land?
- 17 A Okay, okay.
- 18 Q Would it be the position of the tribe that they want
- 19 all 18 percent of that land back?
- 20 A Well, at some point in time, yes.
- 21 Q That would include all of these people's land, too;
- 22 right?
- 23 MR. ADAMS: Objection. How is it relevant?
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I mean, that's
- what we have been talking about throughout his entire

- 1 testimony so --
- 2 MR. ADAMS: Not particular to the individuals
- 3 that are sitting behind us.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I am going to
- 5 allow the question. And are you going to spend much
- 6 more time on this?
- 7 MR. OLSON: No.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So
- 9 go ahead, answer the question and then move on.
- 10 THE WITNESS: So you want to repeat your
- 11 question?
- 12 BY MR. OLSON:
- 13 Q Sure. So your stated policy of getting back all
- 14 18 percent of the land that's currently owned as fee
- simple land would include the land of all of these
- 16 people sitting behind you; right?
- 17 A Probably at some point in time, yes, because, you know,
- 18 a lot of agreements are made with different tribes
- 19 right now and the land back. And we see it on other
- 20 reservations, you know, people come there, they retire
- and, you know, we make them a good offer for their
- 22 land, for the future use of it for our own tribe.
- 23 Q Okay. Do you have any education or training that you
- 24 have received related to soil composition?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Any specific training related to water retention of
- 2 different types of soil?
- 3 A No, just from what I learned from our DNR staff.
- 4 Q Any education or training related to surface water
- 5 flows?
- 6 A Can you repeat that?
- 7 Q Sure. That was a terrible question, I'll just start
- 8 over.
- 9 Any training or education related to the
- 10 mechanisms by which surface water flows from one point
- 11 to another?
- 12 A Just what I've learned from our staff, in which
- 13 direction it goes, you know, the surface down low.
- 14 There is different directions it does flow.
- 15 O Does the tribe have its own wastewater treatment
- 16 facility?
- 17 A We have our own lagoon system.
- 18 Q And what is that lagoon system used for?
- 19 A Our township for pumping. It pumps in from our water
- 20 mains -- or not our water mains, but our septic mains
- 21 throughout the town of Lac du Flambeau. And it sets in
- 22 different pools out there.
- 23 O Collects the sewer waste from the town of Lac du
- 24 Flambeau?
- 25 A Yep.

- 1 Q Does that lagoon system accept septage from companies
- 2 like Howard Bros.?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q How many -- if you know, how many of the homeowners on
- 5 -- within the boundaries of the reservation use private
- 6 septic systems?
- 7 A I wouldn't know that question, sir.
- 8 Q Do you?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q You would know that some of the tribal members have
- private septic systems at their homes; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q In fact, sometimes when the Tribal Housing Authority
- builds new housing, they install septic systems; isn't
- 15 that right?
- 16 A Yep.
- 17 Q So I think earlier you testified about a concern of
- 18 septage coming from off the reservation to be spread on
- 19 the reservation. But it is very likely that a lot of
- that septage that's being spread on Plummer 7 is
- 21 actually from sites on the reservation; isn't that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yeah, I think it is coming from both.
- 24 O From both.
- 25 A I see trucks coming into the reservation, everybody

- 1 sees it. It's always on Facebook, so it must be true.
- 2 MR. OLSON: All right. Thank you. I don't
- 3 have anything else. Appreciate your time.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Any
- 6 redirect?
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead.
- 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 11 Q So, President Johnson, when Attorney Olson was asking
- 12 you questions about your knowledge of the DNR's
- 13 activities, I'd like to ask you in terms of being the
- 14 President of the Tribal Council, do you have authority
- 15 under tribal law to act independent of authority that's
- provided to you from the Tribal Council?
- 17 A No, I don't.
- 18 Q And so when these letters come in from the DNR, whether
- 19 you know about them or not, do you have the authority
- 20 independently to tell the DNR what the tribe wants to
- do and what it doesn't want to do?
- 22 A No, I don't. It has to go through a council meeting,
- and there is twelve people on our council board.
- 24 Q And so, President Johnson, can -- what's the most
- 25 powerful way that the tribe can essentially voice its

- decision, like when it relates to something like this?
- 2 A We would have to have a special meeting from our
- 3 council. And we probably would have to do -- get
- 4 people's comments throughout the community also on it.
- 5 Q Okay. And would the Tribal Council have to take some
- 6 type of vote?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And, President Johnson, from your standpoint, do
- 9 you believe that a letter -- or actually, let's, if we
- 10 could, can you go to that same skinny binder?
- 11 A Yep.
- 12 Q Yep. And let's go to Exhibit 102.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q I think it's in that skinny binder.
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 Q Yeah. And so that letter that Attorney Olson had you
- 17 take a look at, it is on the third page of Exhibit 102,
- it is dated January 25th of 2002 [sic]?
- 19 A Um-h'm.
- 20 Q The one from Michelle BalkLudwig that's addressed to
- 21 you?
- 22 A Yep.
- 23 Q In your review of that letter, does Ms. BalkLudwig, at
- 24 any point in that letter does she say that if the tribe
- objects, that the DNR will listen to the tribe?

- 1 A Nope.
- 2 Q Does she say that she is just notifying you?
- 3 A Basically, yeah.
- 4 Q And, President Johnson, from your standpoint, do you
- 5 equate notice as being an opportunity to -- for the DNR
- 6 to listen to the tribe in terms of complying with what
- 7 the tribe has to say?
- 8 A They never have. We have never had consultation with
- 9 them on something like in this capacity.
- 10 Q So from your standpoint, President Johnson, are there
- 11 other -- are there other instances of, say, like
- 12 environmental regulation on the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 13 Reservation where the DNR makes a decision that the
- tribe doesn't agree with?
- 15 A Yes. And that would have to be with our water
- 16 resources, with our fishing. You know, we establish
- 17 things on our reservation. And if we are going to
- bring up fishing right now, we own our own fish
- 19 hatchery, but we have never been supported by the DNR.
- 20 And they tell us what our bag limits are, who
- can fish there, who -- who can't, throughout the
- interior of our boundaries of our reservation. And
- it's pretty sad that we have no input on any of this,
- 24 but yet we stock all of these lakes with fish and
- everything like that. And, you know, the consultation

- 1 that we do have never goes our way.
- 2 And it's always, I'll get back to you, and
- 3 then we never hear anything.
- 4 Q And, President Johnson, despite all of these letters
- 5 and emails and phone calls with Secretary Cole and all
- 6 of that stuff, is it your understanding that when the
- 7 tribe did have an opportunity to raise an objection by
- 8 filing, you know, for this challenge of the
- 9 certification, did the tribe actually do that?
- 10 A Yes. And when we started talking about it, and soon
- 11 after that, that's when Preston retired. Not too long
- 12 after that.
- 13 Q And, President Johnson, would it be fair to say that
- 14 the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa
- 15 Indians is typical of a lot of other governments, like
- 16 the State of Wisconsin and the United States of
- 17 America, that as a government it doesn't make decisions
- 18 quickly?
- 19 A Yeah, we never do. We never make decisions quickly,
- and we are always trying to research what we have in
- 21 front of us. It might take time. But if you come in
- 22 with something like this and say, hey, this application
- is going to be done in a week, what's your comments,
- how are we supposed to comment on that when our
- 25 meetings are usually set a few weeks apart, you know,

- for our reservation? So we would never be able to call
- 2 all of our council in to review it.
- 3 Q And, President Johnson, would -- so in the same -- in
- 4 the same way that you, as the president of a federally
- 5 recognized Indian tribe, that you lack independent
- authority to act on behalf of the tribe unilaterally,
- 7 is it fair to say that Ms. Dee Allen and Ms. Jessie
- 8 Peterson, that they also lack the independent authority
- 9 to act unilaterally on behalf of the tribe?
- 10 A Yep. They cannot act on their own for any reason. It
- all has to go through our Tribal Council, everything
- that we do, every decision that we make.
- 13 Q And, President Johnson, Attorney Olson asked you some
- 14 questions related to your technical expertise when it
- 15 comes to the soil.
- And I don't mean to pry, but would it be fair
- 17 to say that you possess perhaps maybe not degrees
- 18 related to environmental or like environmental
- 19 protection or soil, like technical soil expertise, but
- 20 do you -- do you possess some cultural and spiritual
- 21 expertise when it comes to protecting the environment?
- 22 A Yes. And, you know, I want to say this happened like
- 23 15, 20 years ago, we had a State DNR issue, we had a
- 24 gravel pit. And, you know, the -- I think it was Bud
- Bowman's pit was right next door to us.

- But he could do anything that he wanted with
- 2 the state application, but we had things that we had to
- adhere to on our own reservation, you know, things that
- 4 we couldn't do that somebody else could do, you know,
- 5 without our permission, no collaboration with the tribe
- at any point. And a lot of it had to do with, you
- 7 know, reclamation of blacktop and stuff like that,
- 8 where we would dump it.
- 9 And, you know, these guys could bring in any
- 10 kind of equipment they wanted in their pit area. And
- just on the tribal side of it, right next door, we
- 12 couldn't do the things that we wanted to do, you know,
- without permission or talking to the DNR.
- 14 Excuse me, I am kind of dry. But a lot of it
- 15 had to do with the air quality and water quality, but
- it was in the same location.
- 17 Q Okay, thank you.
- 18 MR. ADAMS: I don't have anything further,
- 19 Your Honor.
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Any
- 21 recross?
- MS. HANSON: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- Let's be brief, please.
- MS. HANSON: Of course.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I have a couple of
- 2 these books here. Could I pass them out to each one of
- 3 the individuals here? They're just treaty books.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: To the
- 5 parties?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, the three parties.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sure.
- 8 THE WITNESS: If you guys would like a copy
- 9 of that.
- 10 MR. ADAMS: President Johnson, she had just a
- 11 couple of questions.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- MS. HANSON: Just one or two.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 15 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MS. HANSON:
- 17 Q Thank you. So I have some questions about your
- 18 testimony that you lack independent authority to act
- 19 unilaterally, and that all actions must go through the
- 20 Tribal Council.
- So in between January 25th, 2022, when the
- 22 tribe was notified about the Plummer 7 application and
- 23 September 29th, 2022, when that application was
- 24 approved, was there any tribal meeting regarding that
- application?

- 1 A I don't remember, because we were in transition with
- losing one of our people that ran that department.
- 3 That would have been Larry Wawronowicz. And he had
- 4 constant contact with James Yach all of the time, and I
- 5 don't know how the relationship went after that with
- 6 the DNR.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A But I know doing -- during COVID, he had retired and we
- 9 had an interim staff in there until Ms. Celeste
- 10 Hockings was hired.
- 11 Q But in that time period, you don't recall any meetings?
- 12 A I don't recall. We might have had a conversation over
- the phone, but I don't recall.
- 14 Q But a tribal meeting regarding this application?
- 15 A No.
- 16 MS. HANSON: Thank you. Those are all of my
- 17 questions.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 19 Anything from Howard Bros.?
- MR. OLSON: Yeah, two quick questions.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. OLSON:
- 24 Q I see you put it away so I won't ask you to pull it
- back out. But once again, going back to that letter

- that's at 102. And if you want to look at it, feel
- 2 free.
- But I'm going to read you the last sentence.
- 4 "If you have any questions regarding this application
- 5 or its review, please contact me at..." And then
- 6 Ms. BalkLudwig gave her phone number and her email
- 7 address.
- 8 Do you recall that being the final sentence
- 9 of that letter?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. So you were asked if you had any comments or
- 12 questions; isn't that correct?
- 13 A I quess not personally asked, but in an email.
- 14 Q It was a letter addressed to you?
- 15 A I mean in a letter. Like I said, I might not have got
- them because we have a secretary that opens all of our
- 17 mail in Lac du Flambeau.
- 18 Q Okay. And you just answered a question for the other
- 19 lawyer that you don't recall any meetings about this
- 20 application between January of 2022 and September of
- 21 2022; is that right?
- 22 A Um-h'm.
- 23 Q Do you remember any Tribal Council meetings at all in
- those nine months?
- 25 A Yeah.

- 1 Q So any one of those meetings was an opportunity to
- 2 discuss this application; right?
- 3 A I guess it could have, if it was on the agenda. We
- 4 have an agenda set a week before.
- 5 MR. OLSON: Okay. Thank you, that's all I
- 6 have.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 9 Anything else from the parties on this witness?
- MS. HANSON: No, Your Honor.
- MR. OLSON: No, Your Honor.
- MR. ADAMS: No, Your Honor.
- 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you so
- 14 much.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do we need a
- 17 break? Maybe five minutes. All right. Let's take a
- 18 five-minute break.
- 19 (Recess taken from 10:23 to 10:30 a.m.)
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So, Counsel,
- do you have another witness?
- MS. HERMSEN: Yes, we are going to call
- 23 Celeste Hockings.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So I'm going
- 25 to ask you to speak up because people are having

- 1 trouble hearing in the back.
- THE WITNESS: Troubles in the back, yes.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So please
- 4 raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm under
- 5 penalty of perjury that the testimony you are about to
- 6 give will be truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
- 7 truth?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 9 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. And I
- 10 will need you to state and spell your first and last
- 11 name for the record.
- 12 And please speak up during this and the rest
- of your testimony.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Celeste Hockings.
- 15 C-e-l-e-s-t-e, H-o-c-k-i-n-g-s.
- 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
- Okay. Counsel, go ahead.
- 18 MS. HERMSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 CELESTE HOCKINGS
- 20 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 21 testified as follows:
- 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 24 Q Ms. Hockings, can you please tell us what your title is
- on your current job?

- 1 A I am the Natural Resource Director for the Lac du
- 2 Flambeau Tribe.
- 3 Q And can you give us an overview of your educational
- 4 background?
- 5 A I graduated from University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point
- 6 with a wildlife and ecology management degree in 2014.
- 7 Q And do you have any sort of ongoing education or
- 8 licensing or trainings that you participate in?
- 9 A I do a lot of -- I did a lot of aquatic plant --
- 10 aquatic plant survey -- or aquatic plant identification
- trainings, USGS training with lake survey salmon
- 12 protocols, both groundwater and surface water, basic
- and advanced wetland delineation with the UW system.
- 14 That's as far as I can recall.
- 15 Q So it is safe to say you partake in a lot of
- 16 environmental-related trainings as part of your job?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q And what was your -- or how long have you had this
- 19 current position?
- 20 A I was -- since December 2022.
- 21 Q Okay. What was your job before that?
- 22 A I was the water resource program manager and aquatic
- 23 ecologist from 2014 to 2022.
- 24 Q What are your professional responsibilities now as
- 25 Director of the Natural Resource Department for the

- 1 tribe?
- 2 A Working with other natural resource programs, I help
- 3 manage all the natural resources within the reservation
- 4 boundaries.
- 5 Q And as part of your professional experience, are you
- familiar with the process of septage land applications?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And are you aware of any septage land applications on
- 9 the reservation in the past?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And were those from an outside company?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Can you tell us a little bit about how that affected
- the land on the reservation?
- 15 A They -- all of them impact it or will have impact to
- the natural ecosystem by providing excess of -- well,
- unnatural levels that weren't there normally,
- 18 physically, chemical and biological.
- 19 Q And are you aware of the tribe participating in
- 20 restoration or cleanup efforts after septage land
- applications on the reservation?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can you just describe that a little bit?
- 24 A So our GLRI Habitat Management Program with forestry
- and a few other of our programs worked on a property

- that was near Spring Creek, and they pretty much
- 2 restored it to more of a wildlife corridor.
- 3 Q Okay. What does that restoration process entail
- 4 generally?
- 5 A So it generally will have to -- this way we -- it has
- 6 been a while, sorry.
- 7 To -- they pretty much took an inventory of
- 8 what was there, and then they -- from what I recall, I
- 9 think they tilled it and then they planted some quick
- seeds, that would grow quicker. And then now they're
- 11 getting more further into the restoration process,
- 12 adding more species.
- 13 Q Is that a several years long process?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And are you generally familiar with the layout
- of the reservation?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q That's where you currently perform all of your job
- 19 duties?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And have you lived in that area?
- 22 A I grew up on the reservation.
- 23 Q So you're familiar with the different types of land
- 24 ownership within the reservation?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And is it fair to say that the tribe owns some of that
- 2 land and then some of it is private?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And when we are referring to trust lands, is that
- 5 referring to land that the tribe is the owner of --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- along with the United States?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you please describe the natural resources of the
- 10 reservation, starting with generally how the land is
- 11 laid out and what type of land you will find there?
- 12 A It's going to be a mixture of upland and the majority
- of it is actually considered wet.
- 14 We have as previous -- as President Johnson
- 15 says, we have pretty much 24,000 acres of wetlands and
- 16 approximately about 17,000 acres of lakes and 71 miles
- of rivers. So we are about 50 percent wet on our
- 18 reservation.
- 19 Q And what about the types of animals, wildlife you'll
- find on the reservation?
- 21 A We have a variety of species. We have bobcat, bear,
- 22 black bear, ospreys, American bald eagles, deer,
- white-tailed deer and grouse.
- 24 And there's a variety of species, I mean, we
- can find normally in the Northwoods. I could go on but

- 1 --
- 2 Q Are you aware of how the tribe sort of relies on those
- 3 animal species?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Can you just describe that briefly?
- 6 A So all -- within the resources within the reservation
- 7 are all for the hunting and -- hunting, gathering and
- fishing for the tribal members' lifestyle.
- 9 Q And how does the Natural Resource Department work to
- 10 protect those resources?
- 11 A We use current science, research and cultural knowledge
- 12 to kind of inform us in our management decisions.
- 13 Q Do you believe, as Director of the Natural Resource
- Department, that land applications of septage threaten
- 15 those natural resources?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Can you briefly state why?
- 18 A Without the proper due diligence, if you do it wrong or
- in improper amounts, that it can impact the chemical,
- 20 physical and biological ecosystems of the reservation.
- 21 Q All right. I'm going to talk to you a little bit about
- the people involved in this case.
- 23 Are you familiar with the Wisconsin DNR?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And have you worked with them in the past at all?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 O Does the tribe's Natural Resource Department have any
- 3 control or authority over the Wisconsin DNR?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Can the tribe's Natural Resource Department veto
- 6 something that the Wisconsin DNR is doing?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Does the tribe have a permitting system for septage
- 9 disposal under tribal law?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And is that process different from the Wisconsin DNR's
- 12 process?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Is it safe to say that the process that the tribe would
- use to permit a septage land application project would
- require different approvals?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And do you know who from the tribe would have to
- 19 approve that?
- 20 A It goes through our LUA, our Land Use Application
- 21 permit process where multiple departments --
- 22 departments in our tribal -- tribal entity is a part of
- land management, the Tribal Historic Preservation
- 24 Office. Well, all of our natural resource programs
- 25 went into it. There is a few others that I can't

- 1 recall off the top of my head.
- 2 Q That's okay. And why do you think that's a
- 3 multi-department review process?
- 4 A Because we don't just see it as one program, we see it
- 5 as a whole. We like looking at the whole picture and
- 6 not just one narrow.
- 7 Q And I believe you heard the testimony earlier about the
- 8 Wisconsin DNR's notice to the tribe regarding Howard
- 9 Bros.' application?
- 10 A I did hear that, yes.
- 11 O As the Director of the tribe's Natural Resource
- 12 Department, do you believe that receiving that
- notification means that the tribe is consenting to
- septage land application on the reservation?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q As part of your job, do you sometimes communicate with
- 17 Vilas County about zoning issues and land use issues?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And did you ever ask the county to verify the way that
- 20 Howard Bros.' property is zoned?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q I'm going to have you look at -- I think it's going to
- 23 be the biggest binder there. It says LDF exhibits on
- 24 it.
- 25 A Tribal?

- 1 Q Yeah. There should be a tab for Exhibit 34, if you can
- 2 flip to that.
- 3 Looking at Exhibit 34, is that an email chain
- 4 that you are involved in?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And who are you emailing in that?
- 7 A With the town zoning, Mike Zimmerman, the clerk.
- 8 Q And in that email, were you asking the county whether
- 9 Howard Bros. had forestry zoned land?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q What did the county say about that?
- MR. OLSON: Your Honor, I am going to object
- to this entire line of questioning. The zoning status
- of the land at issue is not part of the Chapter 113
- 15 review process. It is wholly irrelevant to the
- 16 question that's been certified to be answered at this
- 17 hearing.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. What's
- the offer of proof on this?
- 20 MS. HERMSEN: Your Honor, the DNR and the
- 21 Howard Bros. case is going to essentially blaming the
- tribe for not objecting to this application.
- But the tribe has been verifying the
- 24 different processes that this application touches on,
- such as zoning permitting, such as the tribe's own

permitting scheme, and none of those things were

complied with either, which I believe is relevant to

counteract the Howard Bros. and the DNR's arguments.

2.1

2.3

2.4

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: But I mean with regard to the specific code -- statute and code provisions that we are dealing with in this hearing, 281-48(4m) and 113.07(3), what's your offer of proof on its relevance to that -- those statutes and codes that are here for hearing -- I mean, that's the issue for the hearing?

MS. HERMSEN: Well, Your Honor, the -- the statutes and codes at issue go to whether the land is fit for this type of practice, whether it is fit to absorb septage.

And I believe that other governmental determinations about the way that that land should be used is relevant to the question of whether this type of septage land application would be damaging to the surrounding areas, damaging to human life and health, and those things are directly considered under the DNR's code.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Where?

MS. HERMSEN: I would have to pull up the citation. So under NR 113.07(3)(c)3b.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Give me

- 1 a second so that I can actually get there, too.
- 2 113.07. And then you were saying, what was the last
- 3 part of that?
- 4 MS. HERMSEN: (3)(c)3 I believe it is b.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: (3)(c)b?
- 6 MS. HERMSEN: (3)(c)3b.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry,
- 8 (3)(c)3b. Site approval may be rescinded if
- 9 information is provided or available to the department
- showing the information used by the department to
- approve a site is not consistent with the new
- 12 information and the site is deemed by the department to
- 13 be inadequate to protect public health and the waters
- of the State.
- 15 Well, let me first ask, what is the
- 16 department's position on that interpretation of this
- 17 code provision?
- MS. HANSON: We disagree.
- 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I cannot hear
- you. I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
- MS. HANSON: Sorry, I'll speak louder. We
- 22 disagree with the Petitioner's interpretation of this
- 23 code section.
- 24 The department approves a site based on
- 25 information available to it at the time. And the email

and exhibit that the Petitioner is referring to was exchanged on -- in October of 2022. So this is all information that came after the site was approved. We don't know how it is relevant to the site approval and the information that was available to the department when it approved the site in September of 2022.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. And Counsel for Howard Bros., what is your position on the cited language as being the doorway to this evidence that's just been discussed?

MR. OLSON: I don't think the doorway is that broad. It talks about receipt of after the fact information that directly relates to the factors that were to be considered in the first approval, not a separate county or township zoning issue.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So, Counsel, is there some — for the tribe, is there some part of this language that talks about other governments, for example, zoning board decisions or what language are you referring to that actually makes other determinations by other authorities relevant to these provisions here?

MS. HERMSEN: Well, Your Honor, not specifically to other governmental authorities, including the tribe's government.

But the tribe is going to testify to the ways

that animals migrate through this land, through the

ways -- through the types of plants and animals that

can be found in this land.

- And I believe that the zoning determination

 which takes that into consideration is relevant to that

 testimony. I also believe that it's relevant to the

 information that the Howard Bros. provided on their

 application where they labeled this land as permanent

 hay lands and to provide evidence as to the tribe's

 understanding of that land in contrast to what the

 Howard Bros. provided.
- And the citation regarding animals that would be on the land is NR 113.07(3)(d)2e.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.

 16 Can you run that last part by me again? 07 and then

 17 (3)(b)?
- 18 MS. HERMSEN: (3) (d) 2e.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: (3)(d)2e. All right. Sub three is land disposal of septage. Sub

 (B)2 is septage may not be surface applied in soils that have -- it refers to permeability. Maybe I misheard your cite.
- MS. HERMSEN: Let me pull it up to verify.
- 25 But I said (3) (d) 2e.

1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Did you say B 2 as in boy or D as in David? MS. HERMSEN: D as in David. 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, okav, 5 that's the problem. All right. I have (3)(d)2, what was the last part of that? MS. HERMSEN: 2e. 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okav. All 9 right. Animals may not be allowed to graze on the land 10 for 30 days after the application of septage. Is that 11 the section? 12 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So that would be the criteria at the time that the 14 15 application was made; right? 16 And so can you give me an offer of proof as 17 to what you are trying to introduce relates to this 18 particular criteria? 19 MS. HERMSEN: In regards to the specific 2.0 section about animals grazing, based on Ms. Hockings' 2.1 communications with the county at the time the 22 application was approved, the Howard Bros. land was 2.3 zoned as forestry. 2.4 And I believe that it's relevant to consider

the way that the county has designated the land use

25

- when considering the type of activities that might
- 2 naturally occur there, absent some sort of variance.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Well,
- 4 for that limited purpose, I would say that it is
- 5 relevant. So I'll allow the question.
- And I am going to close this door, because
- 7 I'm hearing a lot of noise coming in and it makes it
- 8 hard for me to hear you.
- 9 MR. OLSON: Your Honor, I think before we
- 10 finalize that ruling, I think we need to consider
- 11 Statute 281.48(5p).
- 12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let me get
- there.
- MR. OLSON: On local regulations.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let me get
- 16 there. Hang on. All right. Give me that again.
- 17 MR. OLSON: 281.48(5p), P as in Paul.
- 18 MR. ADAMS: I'm sorry, could you repeat that
- 19 citation again, please?
- 20 MR. OLSON: Sure. 281.48(5p), P as in Paul.
- 21 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And it is 5P.
- It is not sub 5, sub P; it's sub 5P?
- MR. OLSON: Yes, correct.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And it says
- limit on local regulation. No city, village, town or

- 1 county may prohibit or regulate through zoning or any
- 2 other means the disposal of septage on land if that
- disposal complies with this section and rules
- 4 promulgated under this section or with an ordinance
- 5 adopted under Sub (5m) A or B. Plenty of subsections.
- But the question here really is not whether
- 7 it's being regulated. I believe the argument is that
- 8 this, I guess, zoning designation is simply proof of
- 9 what we were referring to under 113.07(3)(d)2e.
- 10 That question being whether animals were
- 11 allowed to graze on the land for 30 days after
- 12 application of septage. So it is merely just a
- 13 question of some evidence that may or may not be
- 14 relevant to that particular criteria. And so for that
- 15 limited purpose, I think it's -- I think it's relevant.
- 16 But that's it. So I will allow the question and
- answer. And, please, let's move on.
- 18 MS. HERMSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 20 Q So, Ms. Hockings, in Exhibit 34 there, what did you
- 21 learn from the County about the way the land is zoned?
- 22 A It was zoned forest and there was no permits issued by
- the county. And all the town did was to issue the tree
- 24 cutting permit.
- 25 Q All right. Thank you. And why is it relevant to your

- considerations as Director of the Natural Resource
- 2 Department that a piece of land is zoned forestry?
- 3 A Normally, you have any disturbance more than one acre
- 4 requires a stormwater prevention plan. And that's
- 5 issued by the EPA for anything within Indian Country,
- 6 which is the tribe, the reservation.
- 7 Q So are you saying that there would be a -- that the
- 8 fact that it was zoned one way means that there would
- 9 be other types of requirements you would expect Howard
- Bros. to comply with?
- 11 A Um-h'm, yes.
- 12 Q Okay. Are you familiar with where Plummer 6 and 7 are
- located on the reservation?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And they are surrounded by trust lands?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q How are those trust lands used generally by tribal
- members?
- 19 A Hunting, fishing and gathering. Mostly hunting and
- 20 gathering.
- 21 Q Would you consider those cultural purposes?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Would you consider them recreational purposes?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What about subsistence purposes?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And does the tribe maintain those lands?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Would you find animals like wildlife in those trust
- 5 lands?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And those would be animals that the tribe is hunting
- 8 for food?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you believe that the Howard Bros.' land application
- 11 threatens the tribal members' ability to use those
- surrounding lands for those purposes?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Can you describe how, briefly?
- 15 A There would be concerns about the -- how safe it is to
- 16 eat the white-tailed deer, you know, because deer don't
- 17 know boundaries.
- 18 And just the factor of their concerns about
- the area on Plummer 6 and 7, whether they can properly
- 20 eat any or gather any plants that would be on the --
- 21 our lands, the trust lands.
- 22 Q As director of the tribe's Natural Resource Department,
- are you familiar with the soil composition in different
- 24 parts of the reservation?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And do you believe that soil composition is important
- when considering whether land should be used for
- 3 septage land application?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q What do you know about the soil composition at Plummer
- 6 6 and Plummer 7?
- 7 A In that general area, it's sandy soils.
- 8 Q And does that concern you at all?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Why is that?
- 11 A The rate that the water will flow through it, the sandy
- soils.
- 13 Q Would that mean that possibly septage that's being
- 14 applied to that land would seep off of the specific
- land it is being put on?
- 16 A Possibly.
- 17 Q Into the trust lands surrounding?
- 18 A Possible.
- 19 Q As part of your job as the Director of the Natural
- 20 Resource Department, and your prior job, which was --
- 21 I'm sorry, can you repeat the --
- 22 A Water resource program manager and aquatic ecologist
- 23 for the tribe.
- 24 Q All right. As the water resource program manager, do
- you receive complaints or reports from tribal members

- or other locals related to environmental issues?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And have you received complaints regarding Howard
- 4 Bros.' land application or been made aware of such
- 5 complaints?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Was that related to both parcels?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you generally describe some of the reports you have
- gotten? And if you remember when, that would be
- 11 helpful.
- 12 A Generally, they're talking about the levels of trash
- 13 that they've seen. The smell that comes from it. And
- 14 the potential over applying of the septic and the
- ability the land can take it, the -- I don't remember
- 16 the timelines. The past three years, though.
- 17 Q Okay. Throughout the past three years?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q Have you still been receiving complaints like that
- 20 recently?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And can you take a look at Exhibit 27 in that same
- binder. Or, I'm sorry -- yeah, 27.
- 24 So it looks like this was an email that
- 25 George Thompson received and then forwarded to you,

- 1 among other people?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And the date on that was September of 2022?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And is it safe to say that in this email there is a
- 6 complaint coming from Joanne Wandsneider regarding
- 7 Howard Bros. activities?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you know who that is?
- 10 A She is a property owner on Wishow Lake.
- 11 Q That's near the Plummer 6 --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q -- and 7?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And do you see the part that's about seven lines
- down in her message, it says: Toilet debris along the
- 17 road and an unpleasant odor?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And then can you turn to Exhibit 26, which is
- just before that one. Was this the letter that was
- 21 attached to that email?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And it looks like this letter is outlining with
- 24 more detail the concerns from that local resident?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what are some of the general concerns that she had?
- 2 A Traffic, road degradation, noise issues, surface water
- groundwater impacts, E. coli, algae blooms, well
- 4 contamination, et cetera.
- 5 Unbearable odors and breathing health issues,
- 6 septic waste debris, human waste, toilet paper,
- 7 needles, et cetera, carried out of site and spilled on
- 8 roads.
- 9 Wildlife habitat, property values, expansion
- impacts, septage application site oversight and
- 11 communication.
- 12 Q And when you receive complaints like that, does that
- 13 concern you?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And why does that concern you?
- 16 A Clearly, it has -- it has impacted the local residents
- of the area. And, you know, we take those concerns
- into our management and considerations as well.
- 19 Q And when she is saying that in her letter --
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Which exhibit
- 21 are we on now?
- 22 MS. HERMSEN: This is 26, Your Honor.
- 23 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 24 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 25 Q She is seeing debris such as human waste, toilet paper,

- and needles, would you consider needles to be something
- that is harmful to the health of people in that area?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And why is that?
- 5 A Well, that's human -- or human -- it's a biological
- 6 hazard for the local residents. And they have children
- 7 that play and walk those roads. And children will pick
- 8 up anything. That's a very, very big concern.
- 9 MS. HERMSEN: All right. I don't have any
- 10 further questions. Thank you, Ms. Hockings.
- 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right,
- 12 cross-examination?
- 13 MS. HANSON: Yes, just a few questions, Your
- 14 Honor.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And I'm sorry
- 16 to be pedantic about this, but please speak up.
- 17 MS. HANSON: Sure. It's okay. I do have a
- 18 problem projecting so I don't mind. Tell me every
- 19 time.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. HANSON:
- 22 Q Ms. Hockings, I have a few questions about the previous
- line of testimony, those questions that you were just
- 24 answering about this Exhibit 26.
- So when you receive letters like this, what

- is your typical response?
- 2 A Typical response is to follow our command. I would --
- 3 I would have pushed it to the director at the time.
- 4 O And who was the director at the time?
- 5 A When was that? I think that was Larry Wawronowicz. It
- 6 was in a really weird transition.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry,
- 8 what was the name?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Larry Wawronowicz.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I can spell it.
- 12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you know
- the spelling?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, W-a-w-r-o-n-o-w-i-c-z.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
- Sorry to interrupt with that, but go ahead.
- 17 THE WITNESS: No, it's no problem.
- 18 BY MS. HANSON:
- 19 Q And are you aware if there was any follow-up to the
- 20 receipt of this letter?
- 21 A I can't recall. My apologies.
- 22 Q After receiving this letter, did you visit the area
- around Plummer 6 and 7?
- 24 A Yes. I have been in the area.
- 25 Q And do you recall when you made that visit?

- 1 A No, I don't.
- 2 Q Is it safe to say that it took place at some point
- 3 after January -- I'm sorry, September of 2022?
- 4 A I can't recall.
- 5 Q Do you recall making any other visits to the Plummer 6
- 6 and 7 site?
- 7 A To the surrounding areas, yes.
- 8 Q And do you recall if that was before or after you
- 9 received this letter?
- 10 A It was -- I believe it was before.
- 11 Q Would it have been in 2022?
- 12 A I think so.
- 13 Q Could you say if it was spring, summer, fall?
- 14 A I'm sorry, I don't recall. I have a lot more -- a lot
- of activities that go on during summer.
- 16 Q But you are pretty sure that you did make a visit at
- 17 some point --
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q -- in 2022? Okay. And what did you observe during
- 20 those visits -- during that visit?
- 21 A Shoot, I can't recall.
- 22 Q Did you stand directly around the Plummer 6 and 7
- 23 sites?
- 24 A I know at one point I -- there was a site visit that I
- attended, but I can't recall when the date was.

- 1 Q Do you know if that was in 2022?
- 2 A I -- like I said, I don't know the date and the year,
- 3 sorry.
- 4 Q Was there anybody with you at that site visit?
- 5 A It would have been Alison, I think Kyle. Okay, Kyle.
- I know there was probably one or two more people I
- 7 can't recall.
- 8 Q And even though you can't recall the date of that
- 9 visit, can you describe your general recollections?
- 10 A We seen -- I did -- I did a tour, explained what was
- occurring on the site. I think from -- showed us a
- 12 trailer -- a trail -- hookup to the -- their trucks.
- 13 Explained what that was about, and then how they go
- 14 make -- they go back and forth with their pattern with
- 15 their truck.
- 16 O And was --
- 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm just going
- 18 to interrupt for a second. There is the term "site"
- 19 being thrown around. Which site are we talking about?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, I know I was on Plummer --
- I think -- no, that was Plummer 6. That was Plummer 6
- 22 that we were on. That was -- oh, yeah, that was -- I
- think that was before Plummer 7 was clear-cut. So
- 24 whenever that date was, I don't recall.
- MS. HANSON: Okay.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sorry, just a
- 2 clarification. Please continue.
- 3 MS. HANSON: I appreciate it, thank you.
- 4 BY MS. HANSON:
- 5 Q And during that particular site visit to Plummer 6, do
- 6 you recall seeing any garbage or debris on the site or
- 7 on the surrounding roads?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you describe it?
- 10 A A couple of tampons -- tampon applicators.
- 11 Q Anything else that you can recall?
- 12 A Huh-uh, no.
- 13 Q And were they on the fields or were they on the roads?
- 14 A One was on the -- they were mostly in the field.
- 15 Q I am just going to take a beat to review my notes, see
- 16 if I have any further questions. Okay. I just have a
- 17 couple more.
- 18 And this is about your educational
- 19 experience. Do you have education, any degrees or
- 20 specific training in soil science?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q In geology?
- 23 A No, it's just only classes.
- 24 Q You took classes in geology?
- 25 A And soils. I don't know if that counted but --

- 1 Q And that was for your undergrad degree at Stevens
- 2 Point?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What about hydrogeology?
- 5 A I don't know if there was a specific course on it.
- 6 O You don't recall?
- 7 A No.
- 8 MS. HANSON: I have no further questions.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Just a
- second. Okay. Any cross from Howard Bros. Counsel?
- 12 MR. OLSON: Yeah, just a couple of questions.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. OLSON:
- 15 Q Would it refresh your recollection about that site
- visit if I told you it happened in October of 2021?
- 17 A I can't -- I don't remember. Like I know it happened,
- I don't know the date. I mean if I had something in
- 19 front of me, maybe.
- 20 Q Okay. And do you recall that that was actually a DNR
- 21 audit of Howard Bros, ' operations?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And you asked to be present; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And Mr. Howard said that he was fine with you being

- 1 there --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- isn't that right?
- 4 A Yes, sorry.
- 5 Q And after visiting the site, talking with Ms. -- is it
- 6 Conniff or Canniff?
- 7 MS. HANSON: Canniff.
- 8 BY MR. OLSON:
- 9 Q Ms. Canniff from the DNR and Mr. Howard, you, in fact,
- 10 stated everything looked good; didn't you?
- 11 A From my recall, I can't remember what I said or not
- 12 said during the whole thing.
- 13 Q Okay. Did you, after that site visit, lodge any
- 14 specific complaints with what you saw that day with the
- 15 DNR?
- 16 A I can't recall, sorry.
- 17 Q The exhibits that you were asked to look at before, 26
- and 27, you received those in September 8th of 2022; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you send them to the DNR?
- 22 A I don't think so. I'm not -- I don't remember.
- 23 Q And this is in that nine-month period of time after the
- tribe had been notified about the application and
- 25 before it was approved; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Were you aware of the application for Plummer 7
- 3 as of September 8th, 2022?
- 4 A I can't recall.
- 5 Q When you received that letter which mentioned the
- 6 concerning statement that there were needles on the
- 7 side of the road, did you contact anybody in the tribe
- 8 and just have somebody dispatched to immediately clean
- 9 up the area?
- 10 A I can't recall.
- 11 Q Did you contact Mr. Howard and tell him he needed to
- 12 clean up the area?
- 13 A I don't recall.
- 14 Q All right. I need you to switch binders. If you go to
- 15 the one that says on the cover Howard Bros. If you
- 16 could flip to Exhibit 239, second to last.
- 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Take your time
- 18 with it.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm there now.
- 20 BY MR. OLSON:
- 21 Q Okay. If you look at the second page of that, you see
- an email from an email address that is Dickens,
- 23 D-i-c-k-e-n-s, 34@netzero.com. Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And that email was addressed to Alison Canniff at the

- DNR. And you were cc'd on that email; is that right?
- 2 A Um-h'm.
- 3 Q Do you remember getting this email?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And if you look about just a little bit more
- 6 than halfway through that email, in the middle of that
- 7 paragraph that starts "Just east of Fence Lake there is
- 8 a septic dump." Do you see that sentence?
- 9 A Oh, yeah.
- 10 Q Okay. If you jump two sentences forward, it says, "A
- 11 property owner contacted Celeste Hockings, water
- 12 specialist for the Flambeau tribe. She has tested the
- 13 water and has recorded a ten times increase..." -- I
- 14 assume it's supposed to be in -- "...in E. coli
- 15 bacteria in the lake." Do you remember reading that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you had a pretty strong reaction to that claim,
- 18 didn't you?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q In fact, if you flip to the first page of this you see
- 21 a response to that email chain written by you; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And if you go down to the paragraph that starts now,
- comma, do you see that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q You wrote, "Now, with the current water quality
- 3 testing, there is no way to determine if E. coli in
- 4 Wishow Lake is from the septic land spreading site or
- 5 if it's from septic systems on the lake or even
- 6 wildlife waste." Is that correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And at that time, that was your opinion, right, that
- 9 the source of any E. coli in Wishow Lake was just as
- 10 likely to come from private septic systems around the
- 11 lake or from wildlife waste?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And that's still your opinion today, isn't it?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q If you go down to the final paragraph there, you write,
- 16 "Take a look at our beach samples that were taken on
- July 28th, 2021. Same day as Wishow samples. E. coli
- is already present at Big Crawling Stone Lake and all
- 19 of our lakes. The most probable source for the
- 20 majority of these sites are due to Canadian geese, but
- I can't say 100 percent that geese are the main reason
- 22 either as there are many septic systems on those
- lakes." Did I read that correctly?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And so it's your opinion that the main source of E.

- 1 coli in the lakes on the reservation are private septic
- 2 systems and wildlife; is that correct?
- 3 A Other factors, yes.
- 4 MS. BURKE: Okay. I have no other questions.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a moment.
- 6 Okay, any redirect?
- 7 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead.
- 9 MS. HERMSEN: Thank you.
- 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 12 Q Ms. Hockings, you testified that there are -- there is
- a difference between the tribe's process for approving
- septage land application and the Wisconsin DNR's
- process for approving it; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And the Wisconsin DNR is in charge of handling their
- 18 application process?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And the tribe does not have control over what they do?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q And do you believe that the tribe should take the blame
- when something goes wrong in the Wisconsin DNR's
- approval process?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Why is that?
- 2 A If they're following their own procedures and we have
- 3 no say, then we're not to blame.
- 4 Q And is it the tribe's job to clean up after septage
- 5 land applications that are approved by the Wisconsin
- 6 DNR?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Is it the tribe's job to clean up after Howard Bros.?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q When you discussed the E. coli testing in the email
- 11 that Attorney Olson was referencing, you said that
- 12 there were many factors that might contribute to rises
- in E. coli levels; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And was it your general conclusion that you could not
- determine what the cause was?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is it safe to say that the tribe's Natural Resource
- 19 Department is concerned about E. coli levels rising in
- 20 light of septage land application in the area?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And by conducting those tests, you are monitoring the
- levels and seeing what happens next?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And do you still have a concern about Howard Bros.'

- land application possibly contributing to or leading to
- 2 E. coli levels going up in those lakes?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 MS. HERMSEN: I don't have any other
- 5 questions. Thank you.
- 6 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Any
- 7 recross?
- 8 MS. HANSON: No recross from the DNR.
- 9 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any recross?
- 10 MR. OLSON: Yeah, hopefully one question --
- or it is going to be at least two.
- 12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. OLSON:
- 14 O You are aware of the fact that there is a wetland
- 15 between Plummer 6 and 7 and the nearest lake; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q I mean you did E. coli testing there, in fact, didn't
- 19 you?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And that test came back at a no detect level; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- MR. OLSON: Okay, thank you. I don't have
- anything further.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. And I
- 2 missed the lake name, what you referred to the wetlands
- 3 near.
- 4 MR. OLSON: I said the closest lake.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Did you
- 6 name the lake?
- 7 MR. OLSON: I didn't name the lake.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 9 MR. OLSON: I think it's Wishow; right?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- MR. OLSON: Wishow?
- 12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 13 Anything else from the tribe?
- MS. HERMSEN: No, Your Honor.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All
- 16 right. Thank you very much.
- 17 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you have
- 19 another witness?
- 20 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor. We're going
- 21 to call Dee Allen.
- 22 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Go
- 23 ahead. And while you're doing that, I'm going to see
- about locking the casters here.
- 25 All right. Please raise your right hand.

- 1 Ma'am, do you solemnly -- do you swear or affirm that
- 2 the testimony you are about to give shall be the truth,
- 3 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth under
- 4 penalty of perjury?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 6 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 7 Thank you. Please state and spell your name for the
- 8 record.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Dee Ann Allen. D-e-e. A-n-n.
- 10 A-1-1-e-n.
- 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you very
- 12 much.
- 13 And as I have told at least the previous
- 14 witness, please make sure that you speak up so that you
- 15 can be heard.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Go
- 18 ahead.
- 19 MS. HERMSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 DEE ALLEN
- 21 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 22 testified as follows:
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 25 Q Ms. Allen, can you tell us what your current job and

- 1 title is?
- 2 A It is Environmental Protection Program Manager with the
- 3 tribe.
- 4 Q And is that within a certain department of the tribe?
- 5 A Environmental Department.
- 6 Q Okay. Can you briefly describe your educational
- 7 background?
- 8 A I attended Nicolet College. I obtained a tribal
- 9 business management certificate. I also had -- I was
- 10 required to obtain a lot of different trainings and
- 11 certifications in the job responsibilities I do.
- 12 Q Can you tell us what some of those trainings and
- 13 certifications are?
- 14 A A lot of those are federal agency trainings from the
- 15 BIA, EPA, US Army Corps of Engineers, USGS, to do HUD.
- 16 Even some state emergency management training I have.
- To do environmental reviews, assessments, stormwater
- 18 runoff, pollution control, pollution prevention type of
- 19 trainings.
- 20 I have some tribal citation court training,
- 21 as well as our historic preservation, archeology
- 22 paraprofessional training, radon mitigation measurement
- training, asbestos inspector training, certifications.
- 24 There is probably -- there is Phase I, Phase
- 25 II, different types of site reviews.

- 1 Q Okay. So it is safe to say that you have a lot of
- 2 training and education related to environmental and
- 3 natural resource issues?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q In your current job, what are your general professional
- 6 responsibilities?
- 7 A So a lot of it is like doing the research,
- 8 environmental reviews, looking at different projects
- 9 that are occurring within the reservation, a lot of
- 10 tribal projects.
- 11 Solid waste, illegal dumping management. I
- 12 have to oversee the Chapter 24 Solid Waste Code. Do --
- 13 I have been involved with a lot of different surveys
- 14 with studies that we've done. I have worked with our
- 15 fisheries technician. I started out there, worked as a
- 16 water resource technician, so I was involved with a lot
- of different studies and management there.
- 18 And then I was the interim Natural Resource
- 19 Director for like 15 months. And then returned back to
- 20 my environmental program manager position.
- 21 Q Okay. When you said the Solid Waste Management Code,
- 22 was that it?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O Is that the tribe's code?
- 25 A Yes. Chapter 24.

- 1 Q And is that the code that the tribe uses for
- 2 consideration and/or approval of septage land
- 3 application?
- 4 A Yes. It had to be included in there after the previous
- 5 LaFrenier case, to put more specific reviews that would
- 6 be -- that would be needed or required to be conducted
- 7 for land spreading.
- 8 Q Okay. Are you aware of septage land applications that
- 9 have taken place on the reservation in the past?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was that the LaFrenier situation?
- 12 A Yes, in the '90s, yep.
- 13 Q Okay. In the '90s?
- 14 A I was a water resource tech at that point.
- 15 Q And how did that affect the land and natural resources
- on the reservation?
- 17 A So the area out there was towards one of our
- 18 outstanding water, resource water, Spring Creek that
- 19 leads into Mishonagon Creek. It's in the Tomahawk
- 20 watershed. We have four watersheds on the reservation.
- So we had gotten several solid waste
- 22 complaints was the first thing that came across, you
- know, they would find a lot of debris out there,
- 24 hygiene materials. There is access in and out so a lot
- of our hunters were still utilizing it, and then they

- 1 would come in and complain.
- 2 So, of course, it'd come to our area and we'd
- 3 work with the wardens or other areas or departments
- 4 within the res to address that situation.
- 5 Q What are your general concerns in your professional
- 6 capacity about septage land application taking place on
- 7 the reservation?
- 8 A So because of that first LaFrenier site, it took a long
- 9 time to get -- you know, when we amend our codes, it
- 10 takes a long time to get wording into our code. But
- also, the concerns were coming from our elders, our
- 12 hunters. I mean I even hunt out in that area. My dad
- 13 still hunts out there, he's 85 years old.
- 14 So they were going in and out of there and
- 15 the stuff was, you know, a lot of debris. There's
- 16 runoff towards Spring Creek which is outstanding
- 17 resource water.
- 18 I take my grand -- I took my kids and my
- 19 grandkids out to pick all of the berries out there.
- There is all kinds of berries, hazelnuts. And that
- 21 whole area is -- we designated like four different
- 22 areas within the reservation to try to limit the type
- of development that occurs on there.
- 24 Like you heard from President Johnson, we
- 25 have like the Bear River area, we have Wild Rice area,

- 1 East Boundary and Prairie, and Trout River set aside.
- 2 There is resolutions for no development to occur in
- 3 those areas.
- 4 So that's kind of some of the management
- 5 plans that we developed for the tribe, the integrated
- 6 resource management plan. We have done a solid waste
- 7 management plan. You will see that -- our climate
- 8 resiliency plan. So it has those issues related to it
- 9 within that area.
- 10 I also would like to -- I think I should lay
- 11 out there, I was on our Tribal Council for like 20
- 12 years, a lot of it with our -- as vice-president and
- 13 then a council. I haven't been on there for like the
- 14 past three years, but so I do have that background.
- 15 And being on the council, I was appointed to the State
- 16 Tribal Relations Committee. And it is in that
- 17 committee that we study a lot of the laws and
- 18 regulations that are affecting tribes, where there is
- 19 gaps in DNR code versus tribal codes.
- 20 So that was a way to study ways we can
- incorporate, you know, our concerns that we were --
- that we were needing to address.
- 23 Q Is it safe to say that the tribal approval process for
- 24 land application takes into account factors that the
- 25 state DNR does not take into account?

- 1 A Correct. Like, you know, something like this would
- 2 have required an environmental assessment to be
- 3 conducted and alternatives sought so that there is
- 4 different -- maybe there is a different way we're going
- 5 to all have to look at of treating septic. Because all
- of these affects our -- it is just going to be a
- 7 cumulative.
- 8 And we only have one homeland. We have a
- 9 12-by-12, where that's all we have. And we have got to
- 10 look at all of these different issues occurring within
- our reservation and make -- you know, try to work
- towards the protection of those things.
- 13 Q But the Howard Bros. applied through the state DNR;
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Not through the tribe?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Are you familiar with the location of Plummer 6 and 7
- 19 on the reservation?
- 20 A Yes, I am.
- 21 Q And are you familiar with the bodies of water
- 22 surrounding those parcels?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can you take a look at Exhibit 11. It should be in
- whatever one is the tribe's exhibits, yeah.

- 1 Can you tell us what this exhibit shows?
- 2 A It shows a map of the area out by Plummer 7. Warrior
- 3 Lake, Wishow Lake. The different -- this doesn't show
- 4 the ownership but --
- 5 Q And was this map created by the tribe?
- 6 A I'm not familiar with it.
- 7 Q Okay. But does this correctly show the location and
- 8 layout of the water around the parcels Plummer 6 and 7?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Do you have concerns about septage land
- application at Plummer 6 and 7 affecting those waters
- we see on that map?
- 13 A Yes. Those surface waters, groundwater. I was really
- 14 concerned during the COVID period because we were
- 15 losing a lot of our members. And here, you know, we
- 16 had concerns from tribal members saying, why are we
- 17 letting people come onto the land, period. But why are
- 18 we letting everybody dump their septic out there?
- 19 So that is a concern, as well as, I was out
- 20 to Plummer 6 when it was Arbor Vitae as a complaint. I
- 21 went out there, I took pictures, observed all of the
- 22 hygiene products.
- 23 MR. OLSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor,
- 24 based on your ruling that you've made. Operational
- 25 problems with Plummer 6 when it was controlled by Arbor

- 1 Vitae have already been deemed irrelevant.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That is true.
- 3 Any offer of proof that you want to make on that?
- 4 MS. HERMSEN: No, Your Honor. I think I'll
- 5 just ask her some clarifying questions about when she
- 6 has received complaints since that change happened.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All
- 8 right.
- 9 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 10 Q Ms. Allen, have you received complaints or witnessed
- 11 garbage at Plummer 6 and 7 since Howard Bros. took it
- 12 over?
- 13 A Yes, I have.
- 14 Q And can you just describe generally some of the
- 15 complaints you have received?
- 16 A Some were from, again, hunters or my relatives out that
- 17 way, saying there's a bunch of septic trucks that come
- in and out of there, they are dumping out there. I
- 19 drove out there and I didn't -- I could not probably
- 20 say the debris flew off from the land spraying area out
- onto the roadway but there was, you know, litter.
- 22 You could see the tracks from the trucks
- coming in and out of there. That was a -- was the most
- thing that I could see before they -- after we've had
- so much rain. So we have people out there checking,

- our wardens are out there checking for different things
- 2 like that, that occurs out there.
- I did get notification from an attorney that
- 4 was representing some homeowners out there, that I had
- 5 to refer up. But I mean most of what we could say in
- 6 response was it's in the DNR's process.
- 7 Q And are you familiar with the tribe's trust lands
- 8 around Plummer 6 and 7?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q How are those trust lands used?
- 11 A Mostly hunting, gathering. We have people just out
- there walking. There is a lot of plants, berries and
- 13 things like that out there.
- 14 Q And are there trails through the -- those lands?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O And the tribe maintains those trails?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Would you say the lands are used for cultural purposes?
- 19 A Yes, that, too.
- 20 Q What about recreational purposes?
- 21 A I mean we don't consider hunting recreation. But I
- 22 mean they're walking it, if you want to say that's
- recreation. Biking, there is a lot of bikes, bikers
- out there.
- 25 Q Okay. And what about subsistence purposes?

- 1 A Yes. That's one of our high areas for pretty much any
- 2 kind of species you can think of, plants and wildlife.
- 3 Q And you said you have personally been out to that area;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Have you used those surrounding trust lands personally?
- 7 A Oh, yes.
- 8 Q How have you used them?
- 9 A Hunting. Me and my -- like I said, my 85-year-old dad
- 10 still hunts. You will find him down there almost every
- 11 day. He is taking his rounds, looking for turkey,
- 12 partridge, whatever deer he can try to get. And like I
- said, it just is through generations that's one of our
- 14 hunting lands.
- 15 Q And so people like your dad, are they eating those
- animals that they hunt on those lands?
- 17 A Yes, we do.
- 18 Q Are you concerned about tribal members eating animals
- 19 that come from that area now?
- 20 A Yes. And again, there were studies going on with the
- 21 COVID transferring over to the animals and wildlife.
- 22 So that right there was a big issue. There is so many
- things affecting our subsistence resources.
- 24 And it is just constant, there is always
- something. And something like this, they have access

- 1 to. It is just free. There is no -- like I said, I
- 2 could have drove in there and drove out. There is
- 3 nothing to stop anybody from going in there. So it's a
- 4 concern.
- 5 Q What about the animals in that area, is there anything
- 6 that is stopping them from crossing onto Plummer 6 and
- 7 7?
- 8 A No, there is no fencing.
- 9 Q Anything that is stopping them from grazing on Plummer
- 10 6 and 7?
- 11 A No, there is not.
- 12 Q Do you believe that wildlife in that area is likely to
- graze at Plummer 6 and 7?
- 14 A Yes, I seen one.
- 15 MS. HANSON: I'm going to object to lack of
- 16 foundation.
- 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry,
- 18 what was the objection?
- 19 MS. HANSON: I am going to object to the lack
- of foundation.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And what was
- the question?
- MS. HERMSEN: Whether wildlife from the
- 24 surrounding lands is likely to graze at -- on Plummer 6
- and 7.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So I guess lay
- 2 some foundation, does she know if that's the case in
- 3 the first place.
- 4 MS. HERMSEN: Sure.
- 5 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 6 Q So you testified about the types of animals that are in
- 7 those surrounding trust lands. Do those animals graze?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And are you familiar with the grazing patterns of those
- 10 animals?
- 11 A Yes. They traverse through that whole area out there.
- 12 Not only like -- I mean, you will see bear through
- there because of the berries right along that whole
- 14 roadway out there, right in the right-of-ways.
- 15 I mean, it is just constant. Like I said,
- 16 that was one area that we say is -- we wanted to leave
- 17 undeveloped.
- 18 Q So do you believe that based on your knowledge of the
- 19 animals and their grazing patterns, is it likely that
- the animals would graze on Plummer 6 and 7?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Thank you. In your professional capacity, do you have
- other concerns about the ways in which Howard Bros.'
- 24 septage land application is or could affect the
- 25 environment or natural resources in that area that we

- 1 haven't covered?
- 2 A I guess that's all unknown. I mean, that was one of
- 3 our concerns is there is -- pretty much you can go
- 4 ahead and do it and not go ahead and monitor or do any
- 5 kind of well tests or any of that type of -- to see
- 6 what the real and true impacts are going to be.
- 7 Q You have seen some impacts; correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Including garbage and debris?
- 10 A Um-h'm, and the odor, and the amount of traffic.
- 11 That's one complaint my dad has, there is so much
- traffic, it is scaring all of his deer and animals
- away.
- MS. HERMSEN: Okay. I don't have any further
- 15 questions. Thank you.
- 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 17 Cross?
- 18 MS. HANSON: Yes, just a couple of questions.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MS. HANSON:
- 21 Q So do you recall your testimony where you described a
- site visit and you observed litter and tracks coming in
- and out from the site?
- 24 A Um-h'm.
- Q Was that --

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q -- Plummer 6, excuse me?
- 3 A It's both.
- 4 Q It was both Plummer 6 and Plummer 7?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And was that a single visit or multiple visits?
- 7 A Multiple. I mean we are up there constantly. Every
- 8 time we get a complaint or another concern, we have to
- 9 at least go out there and check it out.
- 10 Q Do you recall any dates or approximate dates of these
- 11 visits?
- 12 A There is several. I -- from the fall, to spring,
- 13 summer mostly.
- 14 Q Do you recall a year, 20 -- like this year or last
- 15 year?
- 16 A '19, '20, '21, '22.
- 17 Q So are you testifying that you have made visits spring,
- summer and fall to Plummer 6 and then Plummer 7, 2019
- 19 until the present year?
- 20 A All -- part of my job is monitoring the whole res,
- 21 looking to see what kind of -- if there is illegal
- dumping out there or not. So that's one of my routes.
- I am out that way to see because we get a lot of
- 24 complaints -- not only this, but illegal dumping,
- 25 people dropping tires, chairs.

- 1 And so we make our rounds to the whole res to
- find those sites to get them cleaned up. So that's a
- 3 routine route that I'm just checking because I'm out
- 4 that way.
- 5 Q But could you give me maybe an approximation of a
- 6 particular site visit?
- 7 A In '21, probably August, September.
- 8 Q August, September of 2021?
- 9 A Um-h'm.
- 10 Q Okay. And that is when you specifically recall seeing
- 11 the tracks coming in and out from one of the sites?
- 12 A Yep. Yes. The one site did get blocked off by a --
- some kind of equipment on six.
- 14 Q So you weren't able to access it?
- 15 A Yeah. I didn't want to trespass.
- 16 Q Can you tell me more about complaints of dumping other
- 17 waste in the area?
- 18 A Yeah. On that side of the res we get a lot of off-res
- 19 people dumping, coming on the res, because it is so
- 20 close, and there is these roads off there.
- 21 We probably have three, four open cases of
- 22 non-members that have dumped in those areas.
- 23 Q What are the types of things that they dump in the
- 24 area?
- 25 A A lot of like project shingles, wood debris. Like I

- said, there is tires, there is people's -- like they
- 2 clean their cabins out and whoever is -- just throws
- 3 their stuff out there.
- 4 Q So would you say -- is it fair to say that complaints
- 5 or instances of this type of dumping is a pretty common
- 6 occurrence in that part?
- 7 A You mean solid waste dumping?
- 8 Q Of the types of debris that you just described,
- 9 shingles, the wood debris.
- 10 A No, they're usually big sites. They'll come in with a
- 11 load, a big site, and we are out there to try to get
- 12 it -- if we can't find the names or the person, we're
- 13 out cleaning it up and documenting our time and the
- 14 cleanup because we don't want more to occur in those
- 15 areas.
- 16 Q You said you have three to four open cases of that kind
- of dumping right now?
- 18 A Well, yeah. The person is either in jail or they live
- in Chicago or Florida or somewhere else, and we are
- 20 still just waiting for them to come back around to get
- 21 them to tribal court.
- MS. HANSON: I don't have any further
- 23 questions. Thank you.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a second.
- 25 All right. Any --

- 1 MR. OLSON: No questions, Your Honor.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: No? Okay.
- 3 Anything else, any redirect?
- 4 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Go
- 6 ahead.
- 7 MS. HERMSEN: Thank you.
- 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 10 Q So, Ms. Allen, you testified about seeing waste or
- 11 garbage related to Howard Bros.' activities and then
- 12 also about the types of garbage you would find from, I
- think you called it, like dumps?
- 14 A Um-h'm. (Witness nodded head.)
- 15 Q How do you tell the difference between those?
- 16 A So those are, you know, just like littering. Litter
- 17 waste that you -- doesn't have a name tag to it,
- 18 doesn't -- it is just like pieces of debris, plastics,
- 19 latex, toilet paper.
- 20 Q But you have seen litter that you tied to Howard Bros.'
- 21 activities?
- 22 A There is litter along that roadway.
- 23 Q And you have received complaints related to litter tied
- to Howard Bros.' activities?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And is that different from that type of dumping that
- 2 you were referencing?
- 3 A Yes. I am talking major like 30-yard dumpster areas
- 4 that we have had to clean up.
- 5 Q That's the dumping?
- 6 A Yep.
- 7 Q But then what you see with Howard Bros., how is that
- 8 different?
- 9 A It is more littering. It is more, you know, just
- 10 smaller debris flying around.
- 11 Q Okay. And did you see that debris before Plummer 7 was
- 12 approved last year?
- 13 A Yes, on six.
- 14 Q And then have you seen it since then?
- 15 A I haven't gone out to that -- out on seven because I
- didn't want to get trespassing.
- MS. HERMSEN: Okay, thank you. I don't have
- any other questions.
- 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 20 Based on that question, is there any recross by either
- of the remaining parties?
- MR. OLSON: No.
- MS. HANSON: No.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- Thank you very much. I don't really need to take a

- 1 lunch, but I feel like people would be upset if we
- 2 didn't take a lunch. So is this an okay time to break?
- 3 Do you want to break or do you want to power through?
- What do you feel like doing?
- 5 MS. HANSON: How many further witnesses does
- 6 the Petitioner plan to call today?
- 7 MS. HERMSEN: We have --
- MR. ADAMS: We have a few.
- 9 MS. HERMSEN: Two people from the tribe, two
- 10 local residents, and our scientist. So that's five.
- 11 But I don't know if we will be able to get through them
- 12 all today.
- 13 MS. HANSON: We could perhaps try to get
- 14 through another witness before going to lunch.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Want to try
- one more witness and then take a lunch break?
- MR. OLSON: Sure.
- MR. ADAMS: Sure, Your Honor.
- 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Let's
- 20 do that. So who is your next witness?
- MS. HERMSEN: We are going to call Jerome
- Labarge.
- 23 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is he here?
- MS. HERMSEN: Yes.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'd ask you to

raise your right hand. Sir, do you swear or affirm 1 2 under penalty of perjury that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and 3 nothing but the truth? 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, great. Please state and spell your first and last name 7 for the record. THE WITNESS: Jerome Labarge. J-e-r-o-m-e. 9 10 L-a-b-a-r-q-e. 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. And as I have said to the past couple of witnesses, 12 make sure that you speak up so that people can hear 13 14 you. 15 All right. Who is going to handle his direct 16 examination? 17 MR. ADAMS: I'm going to ask him some 18 questions, Your Honor. 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right 2.0 great. 2.1 MR. ADAMS: All right. 22 JEROME LABARGE, 2.3 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 2.4

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2.5

- 1 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 2 Q Good morning, Mr. Labarge. Could you -- would you
- 3 please tell us what your job title is?
- 4 A Field technician for Land Management, Lac du Flambeau
- 5 Tribe.
- 6 Q Okay. And can you describe to us what the Land
- 7 Management Office does for the tribe?
- 8 A We do everything from -- we do all of the permitting
- 9 mostly for the tribe.
- 10 Q Okay. And does the Land Management Office keep track
- of like, say, the tribe's land, like the land that the
- 12 tribe owns, and land that like other folks might own?
- 13 A Yeah. We have got -- we follow the --
- 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I
- 15 can't hear you so I need you to speak up. And speak up
- 16 loudly so that everybody can hear you because even I'm
- 17 having trouble hearing you. Sorry to interrupt, but I
- just can't hear him.
- 19 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 20 Q Okay, yeah. And, Mr. Labarge, how long have you worked
- in the Land Management Office?
- 22 A I have worked there five years now.
- 23 Q Okay. And in your work, are you -- are you pretty
- familiar with lands that the tribe owns around the res?
- 25 A Yeah, I'm familiar with all of the tribal land.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A And a lot of the non-tribal land.
- 3 Q Okay. And the tribal land, do you get out and visit
- 4 that land? Do you --
- 5 A Yeah. Yes, I -- yeah, I'm constantly in the field
- 6 also, just like the other departments that have to be
- 7 in the field.
- 8 Q Okay. Great. Mr. Labarge, are you a Lac du Flambeau
- 9 tribal member?
- 10 A Yes, Lac du Flambeau tribal member.
- 11 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, have you grown up on the Lac
- 12 du Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 13 A Yes, I was born and raised in Lac du Flambeau.
- 14 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, as part of your job, are you
- 15 familiar with septage land applications that Howard
- Bros. has been doing on the reservation?
- 17 A Not entirely. We do a lot of permitting for tribal
- members only.
- 19 Q Okay. Are you generally aware that Howard Bros. is
- doing a land application of septage on the Lac du
- 21 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 22 A Yeah, I somewhat know what is going on with it.
- 23 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, the land that Plummer -- that
- 24 Howard Bros. owns at Plummer 6 and 7, would that be fee
- 25 simple lands?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And, Mr. Labarge, if you could get the binder that has
- 3 -- that says tribal exhibits on it. And if you would,
- 4 if you would flip to Exhibit No. 40.
- 5 So, Mr. Labarge, do you see -- do you see
- 6 like a map at that exhibit?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And does -- do you believe that that map accurately
- 9 shows the Howard Bros.' land parcels that make up
- 10 Plummer 6 and Plummer 7?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And so, Mr. Labarge, would the -- I believe the
- 13 parcel identification number for that particular map
- 14 starts with a 10, and then there is a dash after that.
- Would you agree that that would represent a
- parcel identification number for this map?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O Okay. And so would 10-3318, is that Howard Bros.'
- 19 land?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And do you believe that that accurately displays
- 22 Plummer 6, the north parcel?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. And then would 10-3338-01, would that represent
- 25 Plummer 7?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Thank you. And then, Mr. Labarge, would -- just
- 3 to the east of that is 10-3319. Is that land that's
- 4 owned by the tribe?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And is 10-3338 tribal land also?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And then just to the west of that, Mr. Labarge,
- 9 is 10-3282-01. Is that tribal land?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And, Mr. Labarge, is 10-3362, is that tribal land?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And so for the four parcels of tribal land that
- we have just discussed, have you ever physically been
- 15 out to those parcels?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, will you take a look at the
- next -- it would be Exhibit 41 in that same binder.
- 19 And so, Mr. Labarge, this -- does this look
- 20 like a -- like an aerial like/satellite photo of that
- 21 same area?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, like based on your knowledge
- 24 and your experience, what do tribal members do on those
- 25 tribal lands that are around Plummer 6 and 7?

- 1 A We definitely hunt and gather that area.
- 2 Q What type of animals are tribal members hunting?
- 3 A All animals are usually harvested and used.
- 4 Q Would white-tailed deer be part of those animals that
- 5 are harvested there?
- 6 A Yes. White-tailed deer are the main animal that's
- 7 harvested in that area.
- 8 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, have you -- have you ever
- 9 noticed any type of fence or obstacle that has been
- installed on Plummer 6 and 7 that would keep
- white-tailed deer from entering Plummer 6 and 7?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And, Mr. Labarge, are you a white-tailed deer hunter
- 14 yourself?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Mr. Labarge, have you ever seen a white-tailed deer eat
- 17 grass?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Have you ever seen a white-tailed deer eat shrubs?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Mr. Labarge, have you ever seen a white-tailed deer
- travel more than 500 yards?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Have you ever seen a white-tailed deer jump a fence?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. Mr. Labarge, do you know of any tribal members
- 2 that are harvesting plants on any of those lands around
- 3 Plummer 6 and 7?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Do you know what types of plants they may be
- 6 harvesting?
- 7 A A lot of medicines and edible plants.
- 8 Q And, Mr. Labarge, when you say medicines, those are --
- 9 those are medicines that are gathered and combined by
- 10 tribal members for consumption?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And, Mr. Labarge, from your perspective, is it
- important for the tribe to protect the lands and waters
- on the Lac du Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And why do you believe it is important to protect them?
- 17 A For future generations to be able to use and survive
- 18 on.
- 19 Q And, Mr. Labarge, in your personal opinion, do you
- 20 believe that the application of septage threatens the
- 21 lands and waters of the Lac du Flambeau Indian
- 22 Reservation?
- 23 A Yeah, personally I feel that large volumes of it does.
- 24 Q And, Mr. Labarge, have -- in the time that you have
- been working in your position, have you seen any

- 1 garbage or debris on any of those tribal lands that
- 2 border Plummer 6 and 7?
- 3 A Just I suppose normal litter, just like I quess
- 4 consumer litter.
- 5 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, will you -- will you flip to
- 6 exhibit -- in that same binder, Exhibit No. 39.
- 7 And, Mr. Labarge, on that first page of
- 8 Exhibit 39, where it says from and it is a
- 9 booj2149@gmail.com. Is that your email address?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And the two, the Jessie Peterson, the
- jpeterson@ldftribe.com, who is Jessie Peterson?
- 13 A She is the -- my supervisor, the Director of Land
- 14 Management Department.
- 15 Q Okay. Great, thank you. And will you flip to the next
- page, Mr. Labarge.
- 17 And these -- these photographs, did you
- happen to take these photographs?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And how did you go about taking these photographs from
- an elevated position?
- 22 A I fly a drone.
- 23 Q Okay. So you have a drone that you use for
- 24 professional purposes for the tribe?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And is it --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- for land surveying purposes?
- 4 A It is just for aerial view, yes. Surveys, yep.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, these photographs, do they --
- 8 they show Howard Bros.' equipment out on the property?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And are there any other descriptors related to
- 11 these photos that you would like to provide to the
- 12 Court?
- 13 Was there anything else that you saw out
- 14 there besides just the trucks and stuff? Did you see
- 15 any debris?
- 16 A Just that they were, yeah, dumping the sewage out in
- 17 the fields, and then it was just a shock that the
- 18 entire area was leveled out and that they were dumping
- 19 the sewage from the septic tank truck out.
- 20 O And, Mr. Labarge, will you flip back to Exhibit No. 41.
- 21 And I have -- I would assume, Mr. Labarge, that -- so
- in this photo, I think it's -- I think it's clear to
- 23 see where Plummer 6 has been set up for land
- 24 application because it doesn't look like there is a lot
- of vege -- or at least trees there, would you agree

- 1 with that?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q But then Plummer 7, it looks like at least when this
- 4 aerial or satellite photo was taken, would you agree
- 5 that it looks like there was still a lot of trees on
- 6 Plummer 7?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And based on your personal knowledge, Plummer 7
- 9 was clear-cut for the land application purposes?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Because I would assume it would be difficult if
- 12 trees were still there for them to be hauling all of
- this equipment back that way; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And, Mr. Labarge, will you turn to Exhibit 39, also,
- to -- will you turn back to that again?
- 17 And it would be the -- it would be page three
- 18 of Exhibit 39, that top photograph. And it appears
- 19 that the drone is kind of situated above Plummer 6 and
- 20 it is looking south to Plummer 7; is that -- would that
- 21 be a correct orientation?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And, Mr. Labarge, in this photo, is there any type of
- 24 buffer of trees or other natural obstacles between
- 25 Plummer 6 and Plummer 7?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q And so, Mr. Labarge, based on your knowledge of Plummer
- 3 6 and 7, is there any barrier that separates Plummer 6
- 4 and 7?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q So -- so again, based on your knowledge of these two
- 7 parcels, Mr. Labarge, it would be extremely easy for a
- 8 truck that is on Plummer 6 to access any part of
- 9 Plummer 7?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, can you just generally
- 12 describe where Plummer 6 and 7 are at? Like is it --
- 13 like where are they at on the reservation, like
- 14 northeast corner, southwest corner?
- 15 A East.
- 16 O East?
- 17 A East side.
- 18 Q And, Mr. Labarge, the land -- the tribal lands that are
- on each side of Plummer 6 and 7, are they used for
- 20 cultural purposes by tribal members?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And, Mr. Labarge, are those lands used for recreational
- purposes by tribal members?
- 24 A I suppose like hiking, scouting, recreational -- yeah,
- 25 recreational hiking, I suppose, and biking.

- 1 Q Yeah.
- 2 A Yeah.
- 3 Q If a tribal member wanted to camp on any of those
- 4 lands, would they have the ability to set up a camp on
- 5 one of those tribal lands?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And, Mr. Labarge, are those lands used for subsistence
- 8 purposes?
- 9 A Yes. That's what they are mostly used for.
- 10 Q And, Mr. Labarge, have you yourself ever used those
- 11 lands for subsistence purposes?
- 12 A Yeah. Yes, I have used that area for subsistence
- 13 hunting and gathering since -- my whole life.
- 14 Q And, Mr. Labarge, when was the last time you harvested
- 15 a deer from those tribal lands?
- 16 A Probably this past summer.
- 17 Q Okay. And, Mr. Labarge, have you received any
- 18 complaints from either tribal members or non-tribal
- 19 members related to the land application at Plummer 6
- 20 and 7?
- 21 A I haven't received any complaints in the office, just
- 22 personal complaints of people, friends and relatives
- talking about the crooks out that way.
- 24 MR. ADAMS: That's all of the questions I
- 25 have for Mr. Labarge, Your Honor, on direct.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Just a
- 2 second. I am going to wrap up my notes here. There is
- going to be some more questions for you, so just a
- 4 second. Thank you. Okay. Cross-examination?
- 5 MS. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.
- 6 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. BURKE:
- 9 Q Mr. Labarge, you stated you're not familiar with the
- 10 Howard Bros. specifically as a business; is that right?
- 11 A Can you repeat the question?
- 12 Q You stated that you are not familiar with their
- business personally, is that right, the Howard Bros.?
- 14 A Personally, no. I have never used Howard Bros., I
- 15 guess. I'm not sure what the question is, I guess.
- 16 Like --
- 17 Q Have you ever talked to Kyle Howard or any of the other
- 18 staff?
- 19 A I know Kyle from coaching wrestling.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A So, yeah, I have seen him up there at Lakeland
- 22 wrestling.
- 23 Q Okay. Have you ever talked to any of the Howard Bros.'
- 24 staff about what's going on at the Plummer sites?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q And have you ever seen septage being spread before you
- 2 took the photos at Plummer 7?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q So you're not familiar with what septage spreading
- 5 should look like?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay. And I believe you stated you saw some normal
- 8 consumer litter near the Plummer sites; does that sound
- 9 right?
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you see normal litter on other parts of the
- 12 reservation ever?
- 13 A Yeah. I see -- I mean, normal litter everywhere.
- 14 That's why I said normal.
- 15 Q Okay. So do you feel like there was anything out of
- 16 the ordinary around the Plummer sites that you've seen?
- 17 A Just the smell.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A The smell and then I suppose the heavy traffic on the
- 20 road -- I mean the heavy mud on the road, I guess.
- 21 Q Okay. And you stated the personal complaints you have
- received are mostly about the traffic?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 MS. BURKE: That's all of my questions, Your
- 25 Honor. Thank you.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a second.
- Okay. Any cross-examination by Howard Bros.?
- 3 MR. OLSON: Just a couple of questions,
- 4 Mr. Labarge.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. OLSON:
- 7 Q When Mr. Adams was asking you questions, he asked you
- if you believed that spreading septage on Plummer 6 and
- 9 7 would negatively impact the surrounding land, and you
- answered large volumes of it would. Do you remember
- 11 that?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Do you know what volume would? How large does the
- 14 volume have to be?
- 15 A Tanker trucks emptying --
- 16 Q How many? Go ahead.
- 17 A Tanker trucks emptying an entire field worth of it.
- 18 Q But you couldn't put that in gallons?
- 19 A No. I -- I could say millions of gallons. I don't
- 20 know how much it would take to cover the field. I just
- 21 know that it covers that entire field.
- 22 Q Do you have any special education or training on the
- impacts of septage land spreading on surrounding lands?
- 24 A No.
- MR. OLSON: Okay. No more questions.

1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect? 2 MR. ADAMS: No, Your Honor. 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Thank you very much for your time, sir. 5 THE WITNESS: Thanks. THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. 7 We're going to take a lunch break now. And let's do a healthy hour so that we'll be back here at 1:15-ish. I 9 would like to get started at around 1:20, 1:25. Okay? 10 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 12 MR. OLSON: Sounds good. 13 (Lunch recess taken from 12:15 to 1:20 p.m.) 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So we left off with one of the Petitioner's witnesses. 1.5 So 16 do you have another witness? 17 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor. We are going 18 to call Ms. Jean Gorski. 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Please 2.0 raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm under 2.1 penalty of perjury that the testimony you are about to 2.2 give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 2.3 2.4 THE WITNESS: I do.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.

2.5

- 1 Please state and spell your first and last name for the
- 2 record. And speak up, make sure that you are speaking
- 3 in the direction of the microphones so that you are
- 4 actually picked up.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Jean Gorski. J-e-a-n.
- G-o-r-s-k-i.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 8 Who will be doing the questioning?
- 9 MS. HERMSEN: I will, Your Honor.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Go
- 11 ahead.
- MS. HERMSEN: Thank you.
- 13 JEAN GORSKI
- 14 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 15 testified as follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 18 Q Ms. Gorski, thank you for being here today. And can
- you tell us what your profession is?
- 20 A I'm a retired special education teacher.
- 21 Q Okay. And where do you live?
- 22 A I live at 8971 North Seneca Road, Bayside, Wisconsin.
- It's a suburb of Milwaukee.
- 24 Q And do you spend some of your time residing on the
- reservation, as well?

- 1 A Yes, I do.
- 2 Q And where is that home?
- 3 A 2153 Lilac Lane. It is on Wishow Lake or Wishow.
- 4 Q Okay. How long have you lived there?
- 5 A Sixty-two years.
- 6 Q And how much of the year do you spend in that house?
- 7 A Maybe three months or a little more.
- 8 Q Okay. What part of the year are you usually there?
- 9 A Summertime.
- 10 Q And what do you like about living on the reservation?
- 11 A Well, it is incredibly beautiful, and there is so many
- 12 pretty lakes. And, of course, I love my little lake,
- Wishow Lake.
- 14 Q Are you familiar with the Howard Bros. as a company?
- 15 A Yes, I am.
- 16 Q And what do you know about them, generally?
- 17 A Well, my son had them come out about two years ago to
- 18 give an estimate on putting in a gas hot water heater.
- 19 And when he told me that, I said, well, those
- 20 are the people that are dumping in our backyard. It's
- about 1,000 feet away.
- 22 Q So your backyard is about 1,000 feet from the Plummer 6
- and Plummer 7?
- 24 A Right --
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A -- roughly.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What is that
- 3 noise coming from, do we know?
- 4 MS. HANSON: It could be the speaker. The
- 5 whirring sound?
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.
- 7 MS. HANSON: I think it is the speaker.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is this
- 9 helping the amplification at all? No? I see mixed
- 10 reviews.
- 11 (Discussion off the record.)
- 12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay, let's
- 13 try it now.
- MS. HERMSEN: Okay.
- 15 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 16 Q So I was just asking you a couple of questions about
- Howard Bros.
- 18 Do you know about when they started doing
- 19 septage land application on the reservation?
- 20 A I think it was January 2018. I'm not positive of that,
- 21 but that's the date I heard.
- 22 O Okay. And you're familiar with the parcels of land
- they own? If I refer to them as Plummer 6 and Plummer
- 7, do you understand --
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q -- what that is?
- 2 A I do.
- 3 Q And initially was Howard Bros. spreading septage on
- 4 both parcels of land or just one?
- 5 A Just one.
- 6 Q And then eventually it became both?
- 7 A Well, it's my understanding that they have it
- 8 clear-cut, the second site, Plummer 7; but I don't
- 9 think they are dumping yet, not that I know of.
- 10 Q But do you know them to be actively doing septage land
- application on the res?
- 12 A Yes, very active.
- 13 Q Okay. Have you had any concerns about Howard Bros.'
- land application of septage on the reservation?
- 15 A Yes, very serious concerns.
- 16 Q When did the concerns start?
- 17 A Well, I first heard about the dumping the summer of
- 18 2018. And then the summer of '29 [sic] and on, I
- 19 really got concerned. And my daughter and I took a
- 20 ride over there. And there was all stuff littered all
- over the road, like used toilet paper, feminine hygiene
- 22 products that were used. And my daughter took a
- 23 picture of it with her camera. And she has a camera
- 24 that tells you -- you know, it stamps it like the time
- and the location.

- 1 And then two months later, we came back and
- 2 it was still all there and she took another picture.
- 3 And the reason I know it was the same stuff, because
- 4 the one applicator was green and you don't usually see
- 5 that color and it was in that right same place. So
- 6 that's one of my concerns.
- 7 But really, more major is the little lake
- 8 that we're on, it has always been a pristine lake.
- 9 There is only nine people on that lake, nine homes.
- 10 Two of them live there year-round. So it has just been
- 11 this wonderful little quiet hideaway. And all of a
- sudden, the water was tested and every year from 2019
- on, it has risen, the E. coli measurements.
- 14 And I have a granddaughter, and she swims in
- 15 that water, and both of my adult kids do, and it's like
- 16 why spoil something so gorgeous, do you know what I
- 17 mean? It doesn't have to be. So, yes, I am very
- 18 concerned about it.
- 19 Q So when you talk about the garbage, like the used
- 20 toilet paper, the tampon applicator, have you noticed
- 21 problems with that prior to Howard Bros. starting their
- land application?
- 23 A No, not really.
- 24 Q And have you noticed -- is that the only time that you
- 25 have seen garbage out there in that area?

- 1 A No. We take a ride by, I don't know, maybe every
- 2 month, six weeks or something, you know. And there is
- 3 berries back in that area and, you know, just kind of
- 4 riding around.
- 5 Q And so when you do those regular ride-bys, do you see
- 6 garbage usually now?
- 7 A Well, this year it's different because here we are at a
- 8 hearing; right?
- 9 But the other years, it was very steady.
- 10 And, you know, like you see kids riding by on a bicycle
- or people walking their dog, you know. Who wants to
- 12 take your dog home and then he has got that on the paws
- and in your house, you know?
- 14 Q What do you mean they have that on the paws?
- 15 A Well, you know, like if there is used toilet paper or
- 16 all of this stuff they're walking on that they
- 17 shouldn't be walking on, that's a big concern for
- 18 people, you know.
- 19 Q Do you see anything else on the roads that you think
- are caused by Howard Bros.?
- 21 A Excuse me. Potholes. I noticed there is a lot of
- 22 potholes because these are very heavy trucks that dump.
- 23 And like the side of the roads are just kind of crumbly
- like. I mean, it's not like it used to be like when
- 25 this wasn't happening.

- 1 Q What about actual septage or that type of material, do
- 2 you ever see that on the road?
- 3 A I can't honestly say I have seen it on the road, no.
- 4 Q Okay. And have you ever reported concerns about Howard
- 5 Bros. to any authorities?
- 6 A Well, let me think. I did talk to somebody at the town
- 7 hall, and they were really unfriendly and they did not
- 8 want to talk about that subject. And that's the only
- 9 person really in authority.
- 10 Q And are you part of any neighborhood groups or
- associations where you talk about these problems?
- 12 A Definitely. Because, you know, like one person on one
- lake knows someone on another lake. And when we really
- 14 got concerned about this, people came together. And
- 15 like I was -- I was assigned to do Wishow Lake and
- 16 Warrior Lake.
- 17 And last summer my granddaughter and I passed
- 18 out information about this that someone's son had
- 19 written up. And this last summer, I passed out a copy
- of the hearing, a map to the dump site and information
- about what's going on.
- 22 And this has been duplicated by people like
- on Crawling Stone, Fence Lake, Bolton Lake, Plummer
- 24 Lake, different lakes. So it's a real outreach of the
- community trying to stop this.

- 1 Q And what sort of actions have you taken as a community
- 2 group to try to stop this?
- 3 A Well, other than contacting people, as I said, finally
- 4 my neighbor was walking on the road and she met someone
- 5 who suggested that the tribe be contacted.
- See, and that was the big mystery because we
- 7 thought, well, why would the tribe allow this to be
- 8 dumped on their reservation? And it didn't make any
- 9 sense, and so that's what happened.
- 10 Q So is it fair to say that within your community group,
- 11 you all have shared concerns about Howard Bros.' land
- 12 application?
- 13 A Definitely, yes.
- 14 Q And does everyone seem to agree that Howard Bros.' land
- application is causing garbage to be dumped?
- 16 A Well, there is litter on the roads, and so much has
- been dumped on the septage.
- 18 And then even in the wintertime, the rules
- 19 were supposed to be that when the ground froze over,
- 20 the dumping would stop. But then I read that they got
- 21 emergency permission to dump even more and more and
- 22 more in the wintertime. So, yes, it has been a real
- 23 topic of conversation, yes.
- 24 Q Are there any other concerns you have about Howard
- Bros.' land applications that I haven't asked you

- 1 about?
- 2 A Well, one thing I found out from my brother, he owns
- 3 the place right next door to where we are, and I hadn't
- 4 talked to him about this issue.
- 5 So he called all around to get -- he needed
- 6 his septic tank cleaned out. So he said to me, guess
- 7 what? Howard Bros. is 20 bucks less than anybody else
- 8 so I got them. And I said, well, guess what, what you
- 9 paid for is now 1,000 -- or 1,200 feet behind us, right
- through.
- So, yeah. I mean it's -- they have an --
- 12 excuse me, allegedly they have an unfair advantage over
- other people in that business because they can charge
- 14 less, you know.
- 15 MS. HERMSEN: Okay. I don't have any other
- 16 questions for you. Thank you, Ms. Gorski.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Cross-
- 19 examination?
- 20 MS. BURKE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MS. BURKE:
- 23 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Gorski.
- 24 A Good afternoon.
- 25 Q Thank you for joining us today. I just have a few

- 1 questions.
- 2 You talked about I think it was your daughter
- 3 took pictures of litter on the side of the road; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Did you provide those pictures to the tribe or to
- 7 anyone in the town hall?
- 8 A To Andrew Adams.
- 9 Q Okay. And did you ever see litter coming off of Howard
- Bros.' trucks or you just saw it after the trucks went
- 11 by?
- 12 A I just -- there was one place where they pulled in and
- one where they pulled out, and it was like in between
- 14 those two spots. But I have never seen a truck
- 15 actually there doing it.
- 16 Q Okay. And does your home on Wishow Lake also have a
- 17 septic tank?
- 18 A Yes, it does.
- 19 Q So septic tanks are common in the area?
- 20 A Yes.
- MS. BURKE: That's all. Thank you, Your
- Honor.
- 23 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Give me a
- second.
- MR. OLSON: Yep.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 2 Cross-examination by Howard Bros. Counsel?
- MR. OLSON: All right. Thank you, Your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. OLSON:
- 7 Q Ms. Gorski, thank you for being here today.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q I live nearby in Whitefish Bay, so we're almost
- 10 neighbors back in Milwaukee.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q You said you first had concerns about this land
- spreading in 2018; is that correct?
- 14 A I first heard about it then, yes.
- 15 Q Yeah. And are you aware that Howard Bros. wasn't in
- the septage business in 2018?
- 17 A I don't really know that one way or the other.
- 18 Q Okay. And you said in 2019, that summer you got a
- 19 little more concerned, and that's when you and your
- 20 daughter went out to the site and she took the pictures
- 21 you referenced; right?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q Are you aware of the fact that Howard Bros. wasn't in
- the septage business in 2019?
- 25 A I don't really know when they started.

- 1 Q Okay. When you talked about --
- 2 A Could I take that back? It wasn't 2019 when she took
- 3 the pictures. I think it was last year, 2022. Yeah.
- 4 Q Are you sure about that?
- 5 A I am pretty positive, yes.
- 6 Q Okay. All right. You mentioned that you sometimes go
- 7 back into that area to gain access to berries. Do you
- 8 pick those berries?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Are you on tribal land when you do that?
- 11 A I think so.
- 12 Q Do you know?
- 13 A I don't know.
- 14 Q Do you have permission to do that?
- 15 A It has never been pointed out that we needed
- 16 permission, you know.
- 17 Q You never saw any evidence that told you, you couldn't
- go on those parcels?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q No signage?
- 21 A Nothing, no.
- 22 Q Okay. You said there has been some E. coli testing on
- your lake; is that correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And you also said that your granddaughter and your

- 1 adult children still swim in the lake; is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Have you ever received any notice that said the E. coli
- 4 levels were so high that swimming was dangerous?
- 5 A My daughter is going to testify later. I think she
- 6 could cover that a little better than I.
- 7 But I have not received an official
- 8 confirmation, but I have talked with the neighbor that
- 9 had the testing done. And every year it has gone up.
- I had that in an email.
- 11 Q You talked earlier, I think you said you distributed a
- 12 flyer that somebody else had put together?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did you do any research to determine whether or not the
- things stated in that flyer were true?
- 16 A I talked things over with the people that lived there
- 17 full-time and had more knowledge about it. And, yes,
- 18 we did check it out.
- 19 Q How did you check it out?
- 20 A Because I talked to people that were actually there and
- 21 keeping track.
- Can you repeat that again? I'm sorry.
- 23 Q Sure. Did you do anything to check the accuracy of the
- 24 flyers?
- 25 A The information?

- 1 Q Yeah.
- 2 A It was common knowledge what was written on there.
- 3 Q Did you ever talk to anybody from Howard Bros. about
- 4 it?
- 5 A No, I'm not too happy that I want to talk to them.
- 6 Q Okay. And you said a few minutes ago that you have
- 7 also heard that Howard Bros. got permission to do
- 8 emergency winter spreading; is that correct?
- 9 A That's right.
- 10 Q And who did you hear that from?
- 11 A A neighbor of mine.
- 12 Q Was this one of the same neighbors you fact checked the
- mailer against?
- 14 A No, that was a different neighbor.
- 15 Q Okay. Would you be surprised to learn that Howard
- 16 Bros. does not now and never has had authorization to
- do winter spreading?
- 18 A Well, that's the information that I was provided.
- 19 MR. OLSON: All right. Thank you. I don't
- 20 have any other questions.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- Just a second. Okay. Any redirect?
- MS. HERMSEN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Thank
- you very much for your time.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you have another witness? 3 MS. HERMSEN: Yes, Your Honor. We have 5 Jennifer Stephenson. THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jennifer 7 Stephenson, okay. Raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that the testimony you 9 10 are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 11 12 THE WITNESS: I do. 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. 14 Please state and spell your name for the record, and 15 make sure that you're speaking into the microphone. 16 THE WITNESS: Jennifer Stephenson. 17 J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r. S-t-e-p-h-e-n-s-o-n. 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Can everybody 19 hear her in the back? Still maybe tilt it up just a 2.0 tad and then speak up a little bit louder and hopefully 2.1 that will help. 2.2 THE WITNESS: Is that better? THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 2.3 2.4 Who will be doing her questioning?

MS. HERMSEN: I will, Your Honor.

2.5

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead.
- MS. HERMSEN: Thank you.
- 3 JENNIFER STEPHENSON
- 4 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 5 testified as follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. HERMSEN:
- 8 Q Ms. Stephenson, thank you for coming today. Can you
- 9 tell us what your profession is?
- 10 A I am a tax accountant.
- 11 Q And where do you currently live?
- 12 A I live in Mequon.
- 13 Q And do you live there all year?
- 14 A For the most part. I spend as much time as I can at
- our place up here during the summer.
- 16 Q Okay. So is that a place on the reservation?
- 17 A Yes, it is the place on Wishow Lake Lane.
- 18 Q The same as your mom, Ms. Gorski?
- 19 A Yes, who just testified, um-h'm.
- 20 Q Okay. When did you start coming to that home on the
- 21 reservation?
- 22 A I was less than three months old.
- 23 Q Okay. And how old are you now?
- 24 A Fifty-one.
- 25 Q All right. So several decades of coming and spending

- 1 time up here?
- 2 A Half a century.
- 3 Q And are you familiar with the Howard Bros. as a
- 4 company?
- 5 A Vaguely. They are -- I know where their building is
- 6 and things like that.
- 7 Q And are you aware of their septage land application on
- 8 the reservation?
- 9 A Yes, somewhat. Not in detail, but yes.
- 10 Q And you know the location of where they are doing that?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
- 12 Q Can you describe how close that location is to your
- home on the reservation?
- 14 A I believe it is east and a little bit north of us.
- 15 There is our property, and then there is a piece of
- 16 land that belongs to the reservation, I think it is
- 17 about 80 acres. And then this property is on the other
- 18 side of that. Or the Howard Bros.' property is on the
- 19 other side of that.
- 20 Q Have you had any concerns with Howard Bros.' septage
- 21 land application on the reservation?
- 22 A Many concerns.
- 23 Q Can you tell us what some of those are?
- 24 A Well, the location that they chose is an
- environmentally fragile location. There is a lot of

wetlands, it's surrounded by lakes, there is little streams all over the place.

2.1

2.2

2.4

Our lake is a seepage lake which means water that comes into it is either rainwater or groundwater. It doesn't have like a river flowing into it. And it just seems like a very dangerous location to put something like that. You know, Lac du Flambeau, the northwoods, it is a beautiful location. But no one would come up here if you didn't have the lakes. You know, we would be Nebraska and you would drive by to Minnesota to go to their 10,000 lakes.

And I would hate to see what really draws people up here be destroyed. Because once you get forever chemicals and you get medications that people flush or go through their system into the groundwater and bacteria, and who knows what else -- I'm not a scientist, but I know that that is not something that turns around quickly. I mean, you are destroying kind of the cash cow of this area. People come here for that. Why would you ruin it? So I mean that's part of it.

And, you know, and personally, my family, there has been five generations of my family on that property. And I remember being little and my great grandpa would -- we'd walk on the beach and there used

to be a spot where there was a boat landing. And we would pick up like beer cans and things that people who had come on the lake had dumped into the water.

And, you know, he made it very clear to me that it was all of our responsibility to keep that place as beautiful as when we got it. And, you know, the last few summers, I have a niece, I have been trying to explain to her -- she's excited some day she's going to own it, and I'm like, well, you're really more a steward, like you're not here that long. And it's your responsibility so that your kids and grandkids have it as nice as we do.

And, you know, we walk up and down the beach or sometimes on the road and pick up garbage. And, you know, she can be a little messy. I make her pick up stuff that she'll kind of leave outside. And I don't want our place to be destroyed. We have spent over 60 years trying to keep it nice. I don't -- you know, it is my favorite place on earth. I don't want it to disappear.

- 21 Q And in the past, let's say since 2020, have you seen
 22 any garbage or litter on the area around Plummer 6 and
 23 Plummer 7 that concerns you?
- 24 A Oh, absolutely. You know, there is always some garbage 25 on every road. There is a beer can and there is like

1 yesterday we drove down the road there was a card -2 like small cardboard box.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

But what you're seeing in the area around that location is very disturbing. And I do have pictures of it, too. There is thousands of black marks on the pavement that appear to be feces. Some of them are quarter sized. And like I have got pictures of them. Some of them are, you know, bigger than my fist or bigger than a baseball. There is hundreds of pieces of toilet paper stuck on the road, and kind of like in the brush on the sides of the road.

And to be really grossed out, in July of last year, I took a picture of a green two-piece tampon, like plastic tampon applicator. And that was July. And then in September, I took a picture of the same green tampon applicator still on the road. And there were like the string from tampons. I've got a picture of that.

It is not some redneck who threw out his beer can on the side of the road; it's dangerous waste, you know. It is -- it will pollute the environment.

People -- cars are driving through it, people are walking through it and biking through it. Animals are walking through it, they're spreading, you know, whatever bacteria, chemicals, forever chemicals,

- 1 medications, whatever is there is getting spread
- 2 around. And it is a much bigger deal than some idiot
- 3 throwing a beer can out their window.
- 4 Q So you said that was last year that -- last summer that
- 5 you saw the tampon --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- applicator?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Have you seen garbage since then that you attribute to
- 10 Howard Bros.?
- 11 A You know, I did not spend much time here this summer,
- 12 and I did not go down the road, so I could not -- I
- can't give you an answer on that one.
- 14 Q Okay. Have you made complaints about anything related
- to Howard Bros.' land application?
- 16 A I have not. What I was told by other people who had
- 17 made complaints is no one calls you back. And I should
- have called, and I didn't.
- 19 Q Are you speaking about other local residents you talked
- 20 to?
- 21 A Other local residents who had called like, is that
- 22 Ashland, the DNR up there. Is that where? Yeah, that
- person wouldn't return your phone calls.
- 24 And actually, maybe I did -- I'm not
- 25 100 percent sure. I think I maybe did call once and

- didn't hear back a couple years ago. And that sort of
- 2 dissuaded me from continuing to waste my time.
- 3 Q And have you heard from other folks around there who
- 4 have made complaints?
- 5 I know you said that you have heard from
- other people who made complaints, but can you describe
- 7 that more?
- 8 A Well, I know -- you know, my mom went and tried to talk
- 9 to the town of Flambeau. And she said that she was
- 10 treated very poorly. I actually went into the town of
- 11 Flambeau. I -- there was this misconception about
- 12 where the Howard Bros.' property was in relationship to
- ours, if there was that piece of tribal land in between
- or not.
- 15 So I went in -- because I'm old school, the
- last time I looked at it, it was like big maps that you
- 17 would look at. I thought they would probably still
- 18 have that. And it was all computerized. But when I
- 19 walked in, there was a gentleman who was really nice to
- 20 me. And then there was a woman who actually screamed
- 21 at me because she's like, I know what property you are
- 22 talking about.
- 23 And I was like, well, I just wanted to know
- 24 who is directly -- who is my neighbor behind me. And
- she is like, yeah, sure you do. I know you want -- you

- 1 are interested in that other property. I was like
- 2 okay. And the other guy -- the man was very nice to
- 3 me. But she really jumped on me, and she wasn't even
- 4 part of the conversation. I was talking to the man,
- 5 and she jumped in.
- 6 Q Have you ever communicated directly with anyone from
- 7 Howard Bros.?
- 8 A I have not. But can I go back one second?
- 9 I have actually called the police and the
- sheriff multiple times for noise violations. Evenings,
- 11 weekends. There would be -- this was last year, there
- 12 would be pounding like this metal on -- loud because I
- mean there is 80 acres between them and us. I mean, it
- is not like I can look in their bathroom window, you
- 15 know.
- 16 And it was pounding. It sounded like someone
- 17 was digging a well or like an oil field pounding kind
- 18 of a thing. And so I did call at least three times,
- 19 maybe four or five. One time I actually -- it was
- 20 after midnight. And I called and I was waiting for the
- sheriff to come, and no one was coming and no one was
- 22 coming. And I was listening to this and I just got
- 23 really angry.
- 24 So I drove over to the site. And I watched a
- 25 pickup truck leaving at 12:25 in the morning. And

- 1 that's when the noises stopped. And then when I got
- 2 back to my place, a couple minutes later, the sheriff
- 3 -- the Vilas County Sheriff arrived. And, of course,
- 4 there was no noise any more.
- 5 But so I have filed noise complaints,
- 6 repeated noise complaints. And it wasn't during like
- 7 normal business hours. Like 12:30 in the morning or
- 8 8:00 at night or 10:30. So I have done that. So it
- 9 wasn't like pollution complaints, but it was noise
- 10 complaints. But no, I have not spoken to Howard Bros.
- 11 Q Did anyone ever ask for your consent or opinion on this
- 12 land application going on?
- 13 A No, we were never notified. We have been -- we were on
- 14 the list to be notified for all variance requests and
- 15 we always have been. But we did not receive any
- notification for this project.
- 17 Q What type of variance requests are you talking about?
- 18 A Someone wants to build closer to the lake than statute
- 19 allows or closer to a lot line or things like that.
- 20 But we did -- or -- yeah, I mean there really
- 21 hasn't been like a change in like going from
- residential to, you know, usage, that I am aware of.
- But usually it was something like, you know, a setback
- 24 variance type of thing. But we were supposed to be on
- 25 the list for everything.

- 1 And that's one of the reasons we were really
- 2 surprised, because you always get these little
- 3 postcards, and you're like, oh, neighbor so and so
- 4 wants to put their shed six inches short, you know,
- 5 closer than they're supposed to. But when something
- 6 big like this happens, then you don't hear anything.
- 7 Q And are you part of a community group or organization
- 8 up in the reservation area?
- 9 A Kind of on the fringe. I went to a meeting.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A And I read their newsletter before -- or their little
- letter that they were going to send out before they
- send it out. But that would be the extent.
- 14 Q Do you have any other concerns about this septage land
- application that I haven't asked you about yet?
- 16 A What else did I want to say?
- 17 You know, I love swimming there. Like that's
- 18 my favorite thing on earth. And the -- in the last --
- 19 last year, I got -- and I have a picture of it, like a
- 20 nasty -- it wasn't even a sinus infection. My entire
- 21 face swelled up. It was bright red, like my nose was
- 22 all twisted. It felt like someone had like kicked the
- inside of my cheek bones from, you know, the inside
- 24 out.
- 25 And I had to go to urgent care and get

- antibiotics because I had this infection in my face.
- 2 And it happened -- that was last summer. And it
- 3 happened one other time, it was either '20 or '21 that
- 4 it happened, and it also required antibiotics.
- 5 So like this summer, I never put my face in
- the water. And it sucks, because I goof around with my
- 7 niece and we throw each other around. And I didn't do
- 8 any of that because I did not want to end up with
- 9 another nasty infection. And those two infections are
- 10 the only infections I have ever had up here in 51
- 11 years.
- 12 MS. HERMSEN: Okay. I don't have any other
- 13 questions. Thank you.
- 14 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Cross-
- 15 examination?
- 16 MS. BURKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. BURKE:
- 19 Q Good afternoon. Thank you for joining us. Just a few
- 20 questions for you.
- You stated you tried to call a DNR service
- 22 center a couple of years ago. Do you have any idea of
- 23 how many years ago that might have been?
- 24 A It would have -- '21 or '22.
- 25 Q Okay. And then what -- I think you said it was summer

- 1 last year that was the noise complaints. Do you have
- 2 like a month?
- 3 A Yeah. It was around the Fourth of July. So July of
- 4 2022.
- 5 Q So after the Fourth of July?
- 6 A Well, I came up for the Fourth. So sometime in July.
- 7 Q Okay. And these photos that you have taken, have you
- 8 provided them to anyone?
- 9 A I don't know that I have, but I will.
- 10 Q And you also talked about the -- that you get notified
- of different variance requests.
- Do you know, do those come from the town or
- the county or who sends those?
- 14 A I don't know. My mother signed up for the -- to be
- notified. I just would see the postcards.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A They are usually neon and hard to miss.
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 MS. BURKE: I don't have anything further.
- Thank you.
- 21 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel for
- Howard Bros.?
- 23 MR. OLSON: I don't have any questions.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any redirect?
- MS. HERMSEN: No, Your Honor.

1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you for 2 your time. 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you have another witness? 5 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor. We intend to call Andre Virden. 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is Mr. Virden here? MR. OLSON: Could we take a five-minute break 9 10 real quick? 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sure. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. We are back on the record. I don't know if I need my 14 15 microphone. 16 We are back on the record so we are going to 17 get back to some testimony here. Please raise your right hand. Would you --18 do you swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that 19 2.0 the testimony that you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 21 2.2 THE WITNESS: I do. 2.3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 2.4 Please state and spell your first and last name for the

record. Speak loudly and clearly so that everybody can

25

- 1 hear you.
- THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. My name is
- 3 Andre Virden. A-n-d-r-e. V as in Victor, i-r, D as in
- 4 David, e-n.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Perfect. All
- 6 right. Who will be doing his questioning?
- 7 MR. ADAMS: I will, Your Honor.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 9 Thank you, go ahead.
- 10 ANDRE VIRDEN
- 11 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 12 testified as follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 15 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Virden. And thank you for being
- here today.
- 17 Can you tell us what your job title is?
- 18 A Yep. I am the GLRI and habitat manager for the Lac du
- 19 Flambeau Tribe.
- 20 Q Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 21 A Great Lakes Restoration Initiative for those
- 22 unfamiliar.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. So I
- 24 will need you to slow down and pronounce the --
- everything, because I could hear you trailing off at

- 1 the end there and I didn't catch all of it.
- 2 So I heard habitat manager. So why don't you
- 3 take it from there?
- 4 THE WITNESS: All right. I am the habitat
- 5 manager for the Lac du Flambeau Tribe, as well as GLRI
- 6 program manager, which is Great Lakes Restoration
- 7 Initiative.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Got it. Thank
- 9 you very much.
- THE WITNESS: Yep.
- 11 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 12 Q And Mr. Virden, is the Great Lakes Restoration
- 13 Initiative, is that a tribal program or is that like a
- program that the tribe participates in?
- 15 A Both. It's a tribal program and one that we
- participate in through the federal government.
- 17 Q Okay. And do you know what agency of the federal
- 18 government?
- 19 A Bureau of Indian Affairs.
- 20 Q Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. Virden, what is your
- educational background from, say, high school on?
- 22 A I have a degree in water resources from the University
- of Wisconsin-Stevens Point. I took several courses in
- 24 wildlife, GIS, soils science.
- 25 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, do you have any -- like based

- on your job or any professional licenses that you have,
- 2 do you have any obligation for continuing training or
- 3 education for your job or any professional licenses you
- 4 may have?
- 5 A I'm sorry, could you repeat that, please?
- 6 Q Yeah. So do you possess any professional licenses?
- 7 A Just different trainings that have popped up. Hazmat
- 8 certification, any kind of thing required by the
- 9 bureau.
- 10 Q So with your job, there is an expectation that you have
- 11 to be certified in certain things and there is some
- trainings that you have to attend?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And are there any other relevant qualifications
- 15 that you have related to the work that you do for the
- 16 tribe?
- 17 A I mean, I guess it depends on the definition of
- 18 relevancy. For me, you know, hunting, fishing,
- 19 gathering all play an important purpose in that,
- 20 whether traditional ecological knowledge from our
- 21 elders, who have helped teach me throughout my entire
- 22 career at the tribe, yeah.
- 23 Q And with that, Mr. Virden, are you a tribal member of
- the Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa
- 25 Indians?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, do you currently live on the
- 3 reservation?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And how long have you been a -- like a resident
- or a community member of the reservation?
- 7 A My family moved back here back in 2003. And I guess I
- 8 would say since then.
- 9 Q Okay, yep. And, Mr. Virden, can you just kind of give
- 10 us like an explanation of what your professional
- 11 responsibilities are, like what your job duties are for
- 12 the tribe?
- 13 A With the habitat manager, I work with the Wildlife
- 14 Department on maintaining wildlife habitat, creating
- 15 wildlife corridors, restoring wildlife habitat areas.
- 16 And other, like say if there is erosion or like an
- 17 invasive species kind of issue, in restoring those
- 18 areas and things like that.
- 19 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, why -- from your -- I guess
- 20 your personal and your professional standpoint, why is
- 21 it -- why is there a need for habitat restoration on
- the Lac du Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 23 A A lot of the times it is land that we've picked back up
- and reacquired that was left in a state that was
- 25 unusable for the tribe for hunting, gathering, fishing

- 1 purposes, things like that. And so I am there to help
- 2 fix it back so our membership can get use out of it.
- 3 Q And, Mr. Virden, when you say get use out of it, do you
- 4 mean for like hunting and gathering purposes?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And for potentially even just the enjoyment of
- 7 the outdoors, like say through recreation or just like
- 8 quality time in the woods?
- 9 A Yeah. I mean, I'm pretty sure in our state, hunting,
- 10 fishing, gathering is -- I mean, as well as being a
- 11 place of subsistence for us, it is also a recreational
- 12 activity at the same time. That -- I mean, there is
- 13 not much else to do in the Lac du Flambeau reservation,
- 14 so.
- 15 Q And, Mr. Virden, so in your experience as a habitat
- 16 restoration manager, are you aware of the land
- 17 application of septage that's occurring on the Lac du
- 18 Flambeau Indian Reservation, like presently now?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And is it fair to say that your knowledge of
- 21 that is what Howard Bros. is doing at the Plummer 6 and
- 22 7 site?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O Okay. And are you familiar with other instances of
- land application that's occurred on the Lac du Flambeau

- 1 Indian Reservation?
- 2 A Yes. A few years ago, the Lac du Flambeau Tribe picked
- 3 up an old septic spreading site owned by LaFrenier at
- 4 the time. I'm not sure if that was A-1 Septic or
- 5 whatever it was in the past.
- But we spent the last several years restoring
- 7 that area. It's still an ongoing process that we are
- 8 in the middle of.
- 9 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, when you say that the -- that
- 10 the tribe is restoring the old LaFrenier site, what do
- 11 you mean by restoring it? Like what -- like I guess
- 12 what -- in your professional and personal opinion, like
- 13 what happened to it because of the septage that
- 14 LaFrenier was doing? And then what do you have to do
- to like fix it, if that's the right word?
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 MR. OLSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
- This is the second time we've gone into a different
- 19 company's land spreading on a different parcel of land,
- 20 where it appears we are trying to draw an analogy as if
- 21 every company operates in the same manner and all soils
- 22 are the same. I think it's irrelevant and highly
- 23 prejudicial.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, it's
- 25 relevant only to his experience in this area. So I

mean, we earlier had an objection on foundation
grounds. This is the foundation of his expertise in
this area.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

You can cross-examine and point out that that's a different site, it has nothing to do with this site, it's very different from this site. Those are all of the sorts of objections and questions that I expect would come out in cross-examination. That's the purpose of cross-examination, to help clarify the evidence.

But in terms of his experience and knowledge about this sort of practice, I think this is fair game. So I will allow the question. But, you know, I think I have said enough on it. So you can go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Say this one, too?

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So he's asked you to sort of describe what happened at the LaFrenier site with the septage operation that was there, and the fallout from it.

THE WITNESS: Yes. As far as like relevance to me, the site is less than a quarter mile down the road from the Howard Bros.' application. But I just —funnily enough, anyways. So at the LaFrenier site, so they didn't have any kind of pickup of any of the septage coming out of their trucks. And so anything

and everything you could think of that's in your
bathroom at home was spread out into these fields.

2.2

2.4

And so when the tribe reacquired the land, you know, we were tasked to make it because East Boundary again is one of our -- where the sites are is one of our most heavily used hunting and gathering areas in the reservation. So we were tasked with restoring it to be -- to continue to be used for that purpose.

And so again, everything on there, anything in your bathroom you could think of, you know, was still in the field itself. So the beginning of the practice, we began tilling up the field to try to pull everything. And for the last three, four years now, it has been -- we still pull up all kinds of different toiletry items, feminine hygiene products, toilet paper, needles, literally anything you can think of that's in your bathroom, we still pull up till now.

We are starting to see less of it now, but it is still an ongoing process probably for the next three, four, five years or so.

And then in doing so, we are restoring that area with different beneficial plants and for -- and for pollinators, for pollinator habitats as well as for the various different game species. So deer, grouse,

- 1 turkey, rabbit, bear, yeah.
- 2 Q Okay. Great. And so, Mr. Virden, I would assume if
- 3 you are a habitat specialist for the reservation, you
- 4 are generally familiar with the reservation itself in
- 5 terms of its boundaries and its size?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And the various different types of habitat that makes
- 8 up the reservation?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And would it be safe to assume that being here in
- 11 Northern Wisconsin, with all of these lakes and woods
- 12 and stuff like that, that the reservation is a pretty
- 13 biodiverse environment?
- 14 A Yes. In some papers referring to the reservation by
- 15 the University of Wisconsin, it has us declared as one
- 16 of the -- some of the most high biodiversity in the
- 17 state.
- 18 Q And so, Mr. Virden, the -- so you have got different
- 19 types of habitats on the reservation, so that's kind of
- 20 like the natural world.
- But then you've got this system of land
- 22 ownership on the reservation where those different
- 23 types of habitats kind of cross that different stuff.
- 24 And so here with the Plummer 6 and 7 site, when I say
- 25 the land is fee simple, do you know what that means

- 1 when I say fee simple?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Yes? And so from your standpoint, fee simple land
- 4 ownership on the reservation is private land ownership;
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And then land that the tribe owns, the tribe also can
- 8 own fee simple private lands within the reservation;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And then there is a large -- there is a large
- 12 percentage of land that the United States Government
- 13 owns but holds for the beneficial use of the tribe, and
- that's called trust land; correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q So, Mr. Virden, the binder that has -- that's labeled
- 17 tribal exhibits, would you please flip that to Exhibit
- number -- Exhibit No. 40, please?
- 19 A I just had hand surgery. It's a little tough, such a
- 20 hefty binder here.
- 21 Q Yeah, a lot of paper.
- 22 A Yep, I have it.
- 23 Q All right. And so, Mr. Virden, based on your
- 24 understanding of the tribe's land tenure on the
- reservation, those parcels that are labeled in green

- where it says LDF Tribe, is it your understanding that
- 2 those lands are owned by the United States Government
- and held in trust for the benefit of the Lac du
- 4 Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And for parcel ID number 10-3318, that is labeled
- 7 Howard Bros., Incorporated, is it your understanding
- 8 that that is what we have been referring to as Plummer
- 9 6?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And the tax ID -- or parcel ID number 10-338-01, that
- that represents their southern 40 acres that we have
- been referring to as Plummer 7?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O Okay. And so, Mr. Virden, can you -- can you give us
- 16 just like from 30,000 feet, those tribal parcels -- and
- 17 I would assume that the big kind of squares on that
- 18 parcel map represent -- is that a 40-acre parcel?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 MS. HANSON: I am going to object as to
- 21 cumulative. I think all of this evidence has already
- been entered through Mr. Labarge.
- 23 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: It is true it
- 24 was covered with Mr. Labarge, but I don't -- I don't
- know how much time you planned on spending on this,

- 1 but --
- 2 MR. ADAMS: Well, I was going to move off of
- 3 this pretty quickly, Your Honor.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So the
- 5 objection is overruled. I assume counsel is time
- 6 sensitive as well, so we can continue with this.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You know, if
- 9 we are getting to witness three, four and five covering
- 10 the same ground, I think at that point it may be
- 11 cumulative, but I don't think we're there yet.
- MR. ADAMS: And, Your Honor, not to bicker,
- 13 but I did not ask Mr. Labarge if those were 40-acre
- parcels.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's fair.
- 16 That's fair. So please continue.
- MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
- 18 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 19 Q Mr. Virden, from your standpoint, can you just like
- 20 quickly describe when it comes to the tribal parcels,
- 21 what type of animals may, you know, naturally occur on
- those types of lands?
- 23 A Yeah. Various different types of animals. Like I
- 24 mentioned earlier, white-tailed deer, roughed grouse,
- 25 turkey, snowshoe hare.

- 1 Let me see if I am forgetting anything.
- 2 Black bear, plenty of black bears throughout the
- 3 reservation, but especially East Boundary.
- 4 O Any cats?
- 5 A Yeah. We have bobcat and occasionally we get a
- 6 mountain lion in the area, but that's occasionally, but
- 7 bobcat pretty regularly.
- 8 Q Okay. What type of -- so of those animals, what --
- 9 would it be safe to assume that tribal members are
- 10 harvesting deer and some of the birds?
- 11 A Yeah. Deer, turkey, roughed grouse, rabbit, snaring
- 12 rabbits. I believe Mr. Johnson stated that earlier
- about doing that, pretty -- all pretty common activity
- out there.
- 15 Q And what type of plants from like based on your
- 16 experience are out there that are harvestable?
- 17 A So we have medicinal plants in the area as well as like
- 18 berries out there, blueberries, blackberries, berries
- 19 not necessarily for people but for the animals, june
- 20 berries, things like that.
- 21 But you know, that will be taken up by
- 22 wildlife that a tribal member potentially would
- 23 consume. And then I'm not -- I don't know all of my
- 24 medicinal plants but, you know, things out there like
- 25 chaga mushroom, sweet fly, sweet fern, and mullein

- 1 plants.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A All stuff that tribal members would, you know, gather
- 4 and then consume in like a tea or some kind of
- 5 medicine.
- 6 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, again, based on your job as a
- 7 habitat manager for the tribe, is this area used by
- 8 tribal members at a high frequency, the lands that are
- 9 owned by the tribe here?
- 10 A I would say this is the most heavily used area of our
- 11 tribal recreational folks as far as hunting and
- 12 gathering as well.
- 13 Q And is it common knowledge -- based on your experience,
- based on your knowledge, is it common knowledge to
- 15 tribal members that this area is for use for hunting
- 16 and recreation?
- 17 A Yes, it is common knowledge to it. All of our like
- 18 wildlife corridors are posted by us. I have tribal
- 19 members that will wait for me at the gas station to see
- 20 if their favorite area has been opened up again for
- 21 them this year, yeah.
- 22 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, why is it -- why is it
- important -- from your standpoint, why is it important
- 24 for the tribe to protect these areas?
- 25 A As has been mentioned before, we only have one

- 1 reservation. We can't pick up and move. Our
- 2 reservation is 50 percent wet. So that leaves very
- 3 little land for hunting and for just places for people
- 4 to live.
- 5 And hunting and gathering being an important
- 6 part of our culture, of our way of life. It is
- 7 something that we very strongly try to protect for what
- 8 little lands we have left on our reservation, and where
- 9 we don't even own all of the land within it.
- 10 Q Right. Can you flip to Exhibit No. 47, Mr. Virden, in
- 11 that same binder?
- 12 MR. OLSON: I don't think it is in there.
- MR. ADAMS: Oh.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm only seeing 46.
- MR. ADAMS: That's all right.
- 16 MS. HERMSEN: Is there not one up there
- 17 either?
- 18 THE WITNESS: No.
- 19 MS. HANSON: Your Honor, we have a copy.
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. First
- 21 confirm with Counsel.
- MR. ADAMS: Yep, yep, same one. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Oh. Thank you very much.
- 24 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What are we
- looking at here?

- 1 THE WITNESS: So --
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a second.
- 3 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 6 Q So, Mr. Virden, this exhibit, this Tribal Exhibit 47,
- 7 can you describe to me what that photo is?
- 8 A So that's a photo of one of our postings on -- this one
- 9 is on one of the trails, wildlife corridors near
- 10 Plummer 6 and 7.
- 11 We -- so part of it is we maintain that area.
- 12 Every year we go back in there and make sure it is
- 13 accessible for the membership, make sure that also like
- 14 emergency vehicles can get back down into these areas
- in case of fire, in case if somebody gets hurt or
- 16 something out there, but we make sure to post up each
- 17 one.
- 18 Every now and then they do get ripped down
- by -- for whatever reason, but we check these
- 20 repeatedly. Our surveys bring us back to these areas
- 21 weekly. So if we see that it is down, we keep a big
- 22 stack of them in our work truck and post them back up
- to the area.
- 24 Q And, Mr. Virden, are -- when you say lands near Plummer
- 6 and 7, are you referring to those parcels that we

- just discussed that neighbor -- that are adjacent and
- 2 contiguous to Plummer 6 and 7?
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q Okay. And so will you flip to -- I don't know -- yeah,
- 5 will you flip to 48, the next one, please?
- 6 So, Mr. Virden, is that an example of another
- 7 type of sign that's posted on the lands near Plummer 6
- 8 and 7? On tribal land, not on -- not on Plummer 6 and
- 9 7, but on the tribal lands near six and seven?
- 10 A Yes. This is another example of some of the many and
- different signs we have that are posted near Plummer 6
- 12 and 7.
- 13 Q And, Mr. Virden, when you said trails, when you said
- 14 maintaining trails on those lands, what do you mean by
- 15 trails on those lands?
- 16 A So our wildlife corridors serve a dual purpose of being
- for wildlife, but also being trails that our membership
- 18 can access, walk down and get berries, camp if they
- 19 really wanted to. Yeah. I think hopefully that
- answers that.
- 21 Q Yes. And, Mr. Virden, is there an exhibit labeled 49
- in that packet?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can you explain what is going on in that photo,
- 25 Mr. Virden?

- 1 A So every year, me and the guys under me in my
- department go out to each of these wildlife corridors,
- 3 we're actually doing that right now as far as this year
- 4 goes. And we maintain each of these corridors and
- 5 trails and such for our membership.
- And in that picture there, we are putting --
- you know, we're mowing the tall grass and stuff so
- 8 things don't catch on fire from vehicles coming down
- 9 there from hitting underneath the exhaust.
- 10 But then we also -- something I just did not
- 11 have a picture of, but we also have a little tiller
- where we will rough up the ground and then also plant
- beneficial things for, you know, grouse, deer, turkey
- 14 and such.
- 15 Q And so, Mr. Virden, in the time that you have been out
- 16 there, have you -- have you yourself seen white-tailed
- deer moving in the area?
- 18 A All of the time, yeah. I have never been to court
- 19 before. I was hoping, but -- I have a substantial
- amount of pictures I could show of deer, yeah.
- 21 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, have you seen deer eating
- 22 plants in that area?
- 23 A Of course.
- 24 Q Okay.
- MS. HANSON: Do you have any further

- 1 questions about the supplemental exhibits? If not, I
- 2 would like to get them back.
- MR. ADAMS: No, I don't. Thank you.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you so much.
- 5 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 6 Q So, Mr. Virden, again, based on your personal and
- 7 professional, I guess, knowledge and experience, do you
- 8 believe that the land application of septage at Plummer
- 9 6 and 7 threatened the natural environment of the Lac
- 10 du Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 11 A Yeah, I believe so. Deer especially like habitat known
- 12 as the edge, big open like, you know, open spaces, the
- 13 field, the corridor that we created with some cover on
- 14 the sides, trees, whatever. They really enjoy that.
- 15 They like the openness because there is potential for
- 16 things to graze on, but then there is also cover if
- they need to dart into the woods.
- 18 Then, I'm sorry, could you -- I forgot the
- 19 first part of the question.
- 20 Q I was just asking if you -- if you thought it was
- impactful to the environment of the reservation?
- 22 A Yeah, as far as -- yeah, as far as, you know, deer
- 23 uptaking whatever is being spread out there. As well
- as, you know, when you are adding lime to the fields,
- we have got berries out there that like acidic soil

- 1 types. You know, you're adding lime, neutralizing the
- 2 acidity in the soils.
- 3 Q And, Mr. Virden, do you know -- well, I guess I will
- 4 ask you this question personally.
- 5 Like has -- have you -- do you know of any
- tribal members where they are reluctant to utilize that
- 7 area because of the land application that's occurring
- 8 at Plummer 6 and 7?
- 9 A I -- yeah, we have tribal members who have brought it
- 10 up as a concern where they have seen a couple of weird
- animals out there. And -- and I guess to me it is
- 12 concerning because tribal members, they really don't
- talk to me for the most part. So when one does come
- 14 and seek me out specifically, I take it pretty -- as
- 15 something significant to them, that they don't want to
- 16 go out there or they're going to stay away from that
- 17 area and only use the very southern portion or
- 18 something, something along those lines.
- 19 Q And as part of your job, Mr. Virden, do you -- have you
- 20 heard any complaints from non-tribal members concerning
- 21 the septage application?
- 22 A Several. Mainly about the odor out there from the
- septage being spread, but also some concerns about
- 24 septage also being spread on the roads in the
- 25 surrounding area.

- 1 Q Okay. And have you ever gotten any complaints about
- 2 garbage in the area?
- 3 A Yeah. Yes, complaints about garbage in the area.
- 4 Specifically more toiletry items, which is something
- 5 that we don't really -- you know, again, mentioned
- 6 earlier, we do find garbage in the area, but that's
- 7 more like your shingles, your tires, your contractor
- 8 supplies. So the toiletry ones are more uncommon than
- 9 -- well, I should say very uncommon, yeah.
- 10 Q And, Mr. Virden, do you -- do you believe that it is
- 11 your responsibility or anyone else's responsibility to
- pick up toiletry garbage that happens to be on the
- 13 roadway?
- 14 A No. I mean, it is just kind of a dangerous thing in
- 15 general, you know. If you get like the old -- our old
- 16 site, on -- some of the toiletry items, you know, if
- 17 people are diabetic and things like that, so you get
- 18 needles, some stuff that's really dangerous in there.
- 19 Q And, Mr. Virden, I am just going to take just a couple
- just quick steps backwards to just ask one or two
- 21 clarifying questions.
- 22 So from your standpoint, what is the purpose
- of the signs that I asked you to take a look at that
- 24 were at exhibits -- that were at Exhibits 47 and 48,
- 25 the posted signs? Like what is the purpose of those

- 1 signs?
- 2 A So because Lac du Flambeau Reservation is what is
- 3 called a checker boarded reservation, where there is,
- 4 you know, we have a reservation but we don't own all
- 5 the property within there, there is a lot of potential
- 6 for trespass.
- 7 So we put up the signs so that our membership
- 8 aren't going into other parcels and trespassing
- 9 potentially because there are a couple other trails
- from people that own larger parcels nearby. And we
- don't want them to get confused and be trespassing when
- 12 they thought they were on a tribal road that, you know,
- we have all over the place out there so.
- 14 And that's why we make it a point to check
- our signs regularly and make sure everything is good to
- 16 go on there, contact information, and so on and so
- forth.
- 18 Q And, Mr. Virden, would it also be safe to say that the
- 19 signs also serve a purpose as showing non-tribal
- 20 members that without explicit permission, they should
- 21 not be hunting on those pieces of property?
- 22 A Yeah. I don't have the -- obviously, I don't have it
- in front of me any more, but it does say right on
- there. On each and every one it says no hunting and
- 25 fishing and trapping by non-members by Tribal

- Ordinance, whatever that ordinance was, along with
- 2 contact for Fish and Game.
- 3 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, do you have any -- are there
- 4 any concerns about the land application that's
- 5 occurring at six and seven that I haven't asked about
- 6 that you may -- like any concerns or comments that you
- 7 want to make regarding it?
- 8 A I think you have covered everything, thank you.
- 9 Q Okay, thank you.
- 10 MR. ADAMS: I don't have any further direct
- 11 questions, Your Honor.
- 12 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Any
- 13 cross-examination?
- 14 MS. HANSON: Yes, Your Honor, just a few
- 15 questions.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. HANSON:
- 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Virden. I just have, I think, two
- 19 questions to clarify for you.
- 20 A No problem.
- 21 Q Okay. I believe you testified that there is the
- 22 potential for wildlife to graze in open spaces. Do you
- 23 recall that?
- 24 A Yep.
- 25 Q And what does grazing mean to you?

- 1 A Consuming of whatever, you know, to sustain themselves.
- 2 Q And what kind of animals do you associate with grazing?
- 3 A Deer, turkey, grouse. I mean really -- just kind of
- depends. I mean, bears graze on berries. Deer can
- 5 graze on a multitude of things, hay grass, berries,
- 6 different tree shrubs, things like that if you want to
- 7 get into the real technical nitty-gritty.
- 8 Q So the wildlife that's naturally found in the area?
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q Thank you. And then a final question or topic of
- 11 questions.
- 12 I believe you also testified that adding lime
- can neutralize the acidity of soils. Do you recall
- 14 that?
- 15 A Yep.
- 16 Q Have you observed negative impacts to berry plants on
- 17 lands surrounding Plummer 6 and 7?
- 18 A I can't see it directly. I mean, we do check all of
- 19 our areas, but I'm not specifically looking for
- 20 berries. But it is a well known fact that blueberries
- 21 like acidic soils and tend not to do well in calcified
- 22 soils.
- 23 Q But you haven't observed that directly on the land
- surrounding the two sites?
- 25 A Not at the moment, no.

- 1 MS. HANSON: Okay, thank you. I have no
- 2 further questions.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yep.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a minute.
- 5 Okay. Counsel for Howard Bros.?
- 6 MR. OLSON: Sure. Thank you.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. OLSON:
- 9 Q Mr. Virden, thank you for your time today.
- Just a minute ago you were asked by the
- 11 attorney's for the department a couple of questions
- 12 about grazing. Do you recall that?
- 13 A Yep.
- 14 Q And I just want to make sure I am clear, you're
- involved in the habitat management on the reservation;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q I assume then you are familiar with the related term
- 19 browsing?
- 20 A Yeah.
- 21 Q And I'm assuming you are also familiar with the fact
- that grazing almost exclusively refers to livestock and
- browsing is the term for wildlife?
- 24 A Splitting hairs there. You can twist it whichever way
- you want, but tomato/tomatoe.

- 1 Q Okay. You don't think there is any significance to the
- 2 fact that the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
- 3 consistently uses those two words differently?
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Objection, he is essentially
- 5 asking for a legal conclusion.
- 6 MR. OLSON: I am asking for his opinion if he
- 7 thinks it is of significance.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yeah. I mean,
- 9 I will allow it. I don't -- I understand he is not a
- 10 member of the DNR -- of the Wisconsin State DNR. So
- 11 this might be a better question for a witness from the
- DNR -- the Wisconsin DNR, but, you know, you can ask
- your question. You can answer it, and then let's move
- 14 on.
- MR. OLSON: Sure.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry, could you repeat your
- 17 question?
- 18 BY MR. OLSON:
- 19 Q Sure. So do you believe there is any significance in
- 20 the fact that the Wisconsin DNR consistently uses
- 21 browsing to refer to wildlife and grazing to refer to
- 22 livestock?
- 23 A I'm not an employee of the DNR, and I don't have the
- ordinances so I'm not -- you know, I'm not going to
- 25 say.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. So
- there is your answer. Now, you can move on.
- 3 MR. OLSON: Yep.
- 4 BY MR. OLSON:
- 5 Q The signs that you saw pictures of earlier, do you
- 6 recall those pictures?
- 7 A Yep.
- 8 Q You took those pictures?
- 9 A Yep.
- 10 Q When did you take them?
- 11 A I took those ones in October -- or maybe it was
- 12 September, sometime the end of summer.
- 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just to be
- 14 clear, we are looking at?
- 15 MR. OLSON: 47 and 48.
- 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 47 and 48; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 MR. OLSON: Do you need to see them again?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I have got them.
- 20 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just for the
- 21 record, okay. Go ahead.
- 22 BY MR. OLSON:
- 23 Q So you took those in October of this year?
- 24 A No. I took them sometime during either the last few
- 25 months or so, but I can't remember specifically.

- 1 Q Okay. So you took them after Howard Bros.' application
- for septage land spreading had been approved by the
- 3 DNR?
- 4 A Yep.
- 5 Q And I believe you testified earlier that those signs
- 6 are on the trails that your department has cut through
- 7 the LDF land; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. So they are not out on the perimeter along the
- 10 roads for any reason?
- 11 A No, they are out along the roads at the beginning of
- 12 the trails.
- 13 Q How many signs per acre do you hang?
- 14 A I mean, again, we put them at the start of the trails,
- 15 start and the end. So if they come back out on the
- 16 road, there is also signs there as well. Wherever
- 17 there is an entrance and exit. I don't know the number
- off the top of my head.
- 19 O Were you here earlier when Ms. Gorski testified?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you find it surprising that she apparently goes and
- picks berries on LDF land and hasn't ever seen a sign
- telling her she can't?
- 24 A No. You know, we have bathrooms, public bathrooms at
- our Natural Resource Department. And there is a sign

- 1 like that hanging up in front that says public
- 2 bathrooms, and people walk right by it all of the time
- and say, where are the public bathrooms?
- 4 Q You talked earlier about a different land application
- 5 site that was previously owned by a company called
- 6 LaFrenier. Do you recall that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Is LaFrenier the same company as Howard Bros.?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Is the parcel that LaFrenier used to land spread on the
- same parcels we're referring to as Plummer 6 and 7?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Do you have any first-hand knowledge as to the
- differences in the operational styles between Howard
- 15 Bros. and LaFrenier?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Okay. So the condition of the LaFrenier site may have
- 18 very little to do with the condition of Plummer 6 or 7;
- isn't that true?
- 20 A Again, you get like toiletry items around the area. I
- 21 can't say for sure, but I mean it -- if it looks like a
- 22 duck, tastes like a duck, along those kinds of lines;
- but no, I couldn't be sure.
- 24 Q Sometimes a duck isn't a duck, though, right, sometimes
- it's a coot?

- 1 A True, but I guess --
- 2 MR. OLSON: I don't have any other questions.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just a second.
- 4 All right. Any redirect?
- 5 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Go
- 7 ahead.
- 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 10 Q Mr. Virden, Attorney Olson just asked you questions
- about when those photographs were taken of the signs.
- 12 A Yep.
- 13 Q Based on your knowledge, has that -- has the tribal --
- 14 the tribal lands where those signs are at, have they
- been posted for quite some time?
- 16 A They have been posted since -- me speaking directly,
- 17 they have been posted ever since I started my job
- 18 five-and-a-half years ago. That's something we are
- 19 asked to do regularly. And when I did start, the signs
- 20 were already out there, so I can assume it has been
- done in the past as well.
- 22 Q Okay. And, Mr. Virden, when it comes to this issue of
- grazing versus browsing, would it surprise you that
- 24 neither term is defined in NR 113?
- 25 A No, I believe I said so, tomato/tomatoe.

- 1 Q And, Mr. Virden, would it surprise you that animal is
- 2 not defined in NR 113?
- 3 A Nope.
- 4 MR. ADAMS: That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Just a
- 6 second. Okay. Any recross?
- 7 MS. HANSON: No, Your Honor.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any recross?
- 9 MR. OLSON: No, Your Honor.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you so
- 11 much for your time, sir.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you have
- 14 another witness?
- 15 MR. ADAMS: We have one more, Your Honor.
- 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 17 MR. ADAMS: Stephanie Finamore.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All
- 19 right. Then is Ms. Finamore here?
- 20 MR. ADAMS: Yes, she is, Your Honor.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, she can
- 22 come on up. Have a seat.
- Raise your right hand, please. Do you swear
- or affirm under the penalty of perjury that the
- 25 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the

- 1 whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
- THE WITNESS: I do.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
- 4 Please speak up. Please state and spell your first and
- 5 last name for the record. And throughout your
- 6 testimony, keep trying to speak up.
- 7 THE WITNESS: All right. My name is
- 8 Stephanie Finamore. S-t-e-p-h-a-n-i-e.
- 9 F-i-n-a-m-o-r-e.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel, your
- 11 witness.
- MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
- 13 STEPHANIE FINAMORE
- 14 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 15 testified as follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 18 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Finamore. Thank you for being here
- 19 today.
- 20 Can you please tell us your current job title
- and who you work for?
- 22 A Sure. I'm the Environmental Manager and Senior
- 23 Scientist at Mi-Tech Services. It's a consulting firm.
- 24 Q Okay, thank you. And, Ms. Finamore, can you provide to
- us an explanation of your educational background?

- 1 A Sure. I have a bachelor's degree in geology from the
- 2 University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, and a master's degree
- in geology from Laurentian University. And that's in
- 4 Sudbury, Ontario.
- 5 Q Okay, great. And, Ms. Finamore, do you currently
- 6 possess any specific like technical professional
- 7 licenses?
- 8 A I do. I'm a licensed professional geologist in
- 9 Wisconsin, and I am also a Wisconsin certified soil
- 10 tester.
- 11 And then I have additional ones. I'm an
- 12 endangered species reviewer, an ERR. And I have
- 13 several certifications regarding endangered species,
- 14 and I am also a trained wetland delineator.
- 15 Q Okay, great. And, Ms. Finamore, how long have you been
- in your current position?
- 17 A 2006 I began working in this position.
- 18 Q Okay, great. And can you provide us just with kind of
- 19 an overview of your professional responsibilities?
- 20 A It is a broad range of things. The work we do is
- 21 primarily related to permits and, you know, kind of as
- 22 a liaison with the DNR. We do a lot with wetlands. We
- do a lot with septage spreading and approvals. Phase I
- and Phase II contamination assessments.
- 25 And then a lot of just general environmental

- 1 reviews. We do that for a variety of companies, like
- 2 utility companies and private individuals.
- 3 Q And, Ms. Finamore, can you -- the binder that is
- 4 labeled tribal exhibits, will you please turn it to
- 5 Exhibit 46.
- 6 A Yes, looks familiar.
- 7 Q And can you tell me what Exhibit 46 is, please?
- 8 A It is my personal resume -- or my professional resume.
- 9 Q Okay, thank you. So, Ms. Finamore, as part of your
- 10 profession, are you familiar with the DNR regulations
- and rules related to the land application of septage?
- 12 A Yes, I am.
- 13 Q And have you assisted or consulted on other
- applications or projects related to the land
- application of septage in Wisconsin?
- 16 A Yes, I have.
- 17 Q And do you have like any type of ballpark figure in
- 18 terms of how many applications you have assisted with?
- 19 A At my division, my environmental division, we have
- 20 probably done I would say maybe 30.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A Somewhere in that range.
- 23 Q And is it -- have they been kind of spread across the
- 24 entire state or in certain areas of the state?
- 25 A The majority of them are in the northern half of the

- 1 state, primarily because of the lack of wastewater
- 2 treatment plants in which septage haulers can take
- 3 their wastes.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A So the southern parts, usually they don't typically
- 6 spread in the southern part of the state.
- 7 Q And why is that?
- 8 A Because there is usually a wastewater treatment plant
- 9 close enough that it can be disposed of there instead
- of land spreading.
- 11 Q And, Ms. Finamore, are you generally familiar with like
- where the closest, say, facility that would be suitable
- for accepting this type of septage like to the Lac du
- 14 Flambeau Indian Reservation?
- 15 A I don't know that information.
- 16 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, are you -- in your
- 17 experience, have you had to work with the Wisconsin
- 18 Department of Natural Resources related to these types
- 19 of projects, to these land application of septage
- 20 projects?
- 21 A Yes, many times.
- 22 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, can you explain to us like
- generally the main requirements under Wisconsin law
- that the DNR considers when they are approving a site
- for the land application of septage?

- 1 A I think probably -- I mean, I have a copy of NR 113
- 2 right here. And it is -- the purpose statement kind of
- 3 says it all, which is 113.01.
- 4 And it just says the beneficial use of
- 5 septage and its recycling to the land as a fertilizer
- 6 or soil conditioner is encouraged. So I think the
- 7 overall use is that septage is providing a source of
- 8 nutrients to a crop that is being grown.
- 9 So then additional things that are reviewed,
- 10 it is all about protecting the environment and human
- 11 health. So making sure that the septage moves through
- 12 the soil at such a rate that the groundwater is
- 13 protected, and also that, you know, any microbes in the
- soil can break it down, it's available to the roots of
- the plants that are planted, the crop.
- 16 And then also there is things such as slope
- is a big deal. Making sure that septage does not run
- off too quickly and also that it doesn't pond. So it's
- 19 all regarding how that septage moves once it is spread
- 20 onto the land.
- 21 Q And, Ms. Finamore, from your experience, is there a
- 22 requirement that there has to be a beneficial use?
- 23 A The way I read the NR 113, I would say yes. The term
- 24 disposal is defined in NR 113 as -- disposal means the
- controlled discharge of septage to a POTW, a private

- on-site treatment facility for treatment or storage
- 2 lagoon or to an agricultural field for the purpose of
- 3 recycling nutrients back into the environment.
- 4 So the purpose is recycling nutrients back to
- 5 the environment. So it is not spreading septage for
- 6 the sake of spreading septage, it's serving a use.
- 7 Q And so, Ms. Finamore, under the definitions under
- 8 agricultural land, could you read real guickly under
- 9 that definition what agricultural land means? It's the
- 10 first defined term.
- 11 A Oh, yes. It means land on which a food crop, a feed
- 12 crop, or a fiber crop will be grown within 12 months
- 13 after septage is applied to the land. This includes
- range land and land used as pasture.
- 15 Q And, Ms. Finamore, have you -- have you provided
- 16 professional advice to other clients concerning
- demonstrating of beneficial use?
- 18 A Yes, I have.
- 19 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry,
- 20 what was the cite for that last --
- 21 MR. ADAMS: That, Your Honor, is -- it is NR
- 22 113.03(1).
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
- MR. ADAMS: Definitions.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thanks.

- 1 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 2 Q So, Ms. Finamore, when it comes to the requirements
- 3 under Wisconsin law for -- that the DNR has to follow
- 4 in terms of processing an application for land applying
- 5 septage, are you generally familiar with like assessing
- 6 the considerations when it comes to soil type, the
- 7 slope of the land, and then the nature of the
- 8 surrounding areas?
- 9 A Yes. We provide that service to our clients, so
- 10 evaluating a property for compliance with NR 113 prior
- 11 to it being submitted as an app for spreading.
- 12 Q Ms. Finamore, from your professional standpoint, why is
- 13 it important that the considerations embodied in
- 14 Wisconsin law are strictly followed?
- 15 A I think the reason the DNR exists is to protect human
- 16 health and the environment, and I think that's the
- 17 reason the rules are there.
- 18 They are speaking to different practices and
- 19 how those practices protect human health and the
- 20 environment.
- 21 Q And, Ms. Finamore, can you -- can you describe the work
- 22 that you have done in the region and area surrounding
- 23 the reservation?
- 24 A Yes. So I have provided a soil evaluation on the
- adjacent parcels to Plummer 6 and 7, which involves,

- 1 you know, taking soil samples, excavating soil pits,
- and that's in compliance with a DSPS Code.
- 3 And then I have also reviewed all of the
- 4 exhibits and information as part of this hearing
- 5 provided by the tribe.
- 6 Q And, Ms. Finamore, can you explain your past experience
- 7 in this area of land -- like the land application of
- 8 septage? I mean, have you been working in this field
- 9 for a short amount of time, a long amount of time?
- 10 A I have been involved in septic waste and site
- 11 approvals, I would say, about seven years. Something
- along those lines, seven or eight years.
- 13 I'm a member of the Wisconsin Liquid Waste
- 14 Carriers Association, the WLWCA. And through that I
- 15 have been quite involved in approvals of these -- this
- 16 nature.
- 17 Q And, Ms. Finamore, would it be safe to say that most of
- 18 your clients in this field are the actual commercial
- 19 operators?
- 20 A That's true, yes.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, to the extent that it
- is allowable under Wisconsin law, I would like the --
- this proceeding to consider Ms. Finamore as an expert.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Since we are

- not operating under Chapters 901 to 911, the one you
- 2 are referring to, I think is -- it has been awhile --
- 3 there's statutes on experts. We don't have to do that
- 4 for these proceedings.
- 5 MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: But I mean
- 7 clearly the questions have laid a foundation for
- 8 further questions about her opinion on things. So I
- 9 shouldn't be hearing objections on lack of foundation.
- 10 So please go ahead.
- MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 13 Q Ms. Finamore, have you visited the Lac du Flambeau
- 14 Indian Reservation?
- 15 A I have, yes.
- 16 Q And, Ms. Finamore, have you visited the tribal lands
- that neighbor the Plummer 6 and 7 site?
- 18 A Yes, I have.
- 19 Q And you are generally familiar with the location of
- 20 Plummer 6 in terms of the relation of the reservation
- 21 itself?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. Did you conduct any testing of soil on the sites
- 24 that are adjacent to --
- MR. OLSON: Your Honor, you just issued a

- 1 Motion in Limine on this on Friday, saying she was not
- 2 allowed to testify to this topic.
- 3 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's true.
- 4 That's true. But she can testify about Plummer 7 in
- 5 particular since that's the site that we're having the
- 6 hearing about.
- 7 She can be asked questions about whether or
- 8 not she has conducted any testing or made any
- 9 observations of that particular site and the soils at
- 10 that site. But she will not be a conduit for other
- 11 sites because this hearing is not about the other
- sites, it is about Plummer 7.
- 13 BY MR. ADAMS
- 14 Q Ms. Finamore, you have been out to the tribal lands
- that surround Plummer 6 and 7?
- 16 A I have, yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And based on what you saw, do you believe that
- 18 Plummer 6 and 7 are co-dependent with one another?
- 19 A I -- from what I can see looking at maps, that seven
- 20 has to be accessed through six. There is no separate
- access to Plummer 7.
- 22 And then I have also seen some aerial photos
- 23 that indicate that they are spread on continuously so
- 24 as if it was one site. It looks like I can see tracks
- going from six to seven. So from what I can tell, they

- are spread as a single site, which is fine because they
- are adjacent properties, owned by the same property
- 3 owner.
- 4 Q Okay. So with that being said, Ms. Finamore, because
- 5 they are adjacent sites that are owned in fee simple by
- 6 the same owner, there is no need for a buffer between
- 7 those two sites?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Okay. So from your standpoint, then -- and feel free
- 10 to correct me if you believe I am wrong -- but do you
- 11 -- is it -- would it be fair to consider it one
- 12 operational site, six and seven, from a business and
- operational and logistical standpoint?
- 14 A I am -- I would say that -- I mean they have separate
- approvals, six and seven, as two separate sites. And
- 16 they are both reported on an annual basis, the gallons
- 17 that are spread, from what I can tell, are reported
- 18 individually.
- 19 But again, as I am able to observe from
- 20 aerial photographs, it looks as if, you know, they are
- 21 spread contiguously. So it is not like -- it doesn't
- 22 look to me like a truck makes a loop around Plummer 6
- and then a separate loop around Plummer 7, that they go
- 24 from one to the other.
- 25 Q And, Ms. Finamore, will you turn to -- in the tribal

- exhibit binder, will you turn to Exhibit No. 8. And
- 2 flip to page 10, please.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q And is there a Howard Bros.' letterhead at the top of
- 5 that page?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And do you see numbered paragraphs?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Will you look at number three, please?
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q And will you read number three, please?
- 12 A "Total proposed acreage for non-winter spreading is
- 13 39.92 acres. This is the area inside of the proposed
- 14 setback area, including the area of Plummer 6 field
- that can be cleared for the width of proposed Plummer 7
- 16 field now that both properties are owned by Howard
- 17 Bros., Inc."
- 18 Q And, Ms. Finamore, will you flip to page 16 of that
- 19 same exhibit?
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q And at the top of that page, I believe it says Vilas
- 22 County planning and zoning map. Are you on that same
- page?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And, Ms. Finamore, would it be safe to assume that this

- 1 particular page of this exhibit like clearly shows that
- 2 Plummer 7 is going to be accessed via Plummer 6?
- 3 A Yes. I see a note on this map saying as much. Access
- 4 to Plummer Number 7 shall be from Plummer Number 6.
- 5 Q Okay. And now, Ms. Finamore, will you turn to Exhibit
- 6 39 in that same binder?
- 7 A Okav.
- 8 Q And will you flip to page three of that exhibit,
- 9 please?
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q And can you generally explain -- I have never been to a
- 12 -- I know nothing about a land -- I have never been on
- 13 a land application field. I wouldn't be able to -- I
- 14 wouldn't be able to tell you like what's going on here.
- 15 But I see a lot of lines that are going up and down
- this -- the top photo.
- 17 Is that -- is that kind of the direction that
- 18 the trucks are going on or is that tilling or in your
- 19 opinion what would those lines kind of demarcate?
- 20 A In my opinion, those lines would be tracks from
- 21 spreading. Basically, the septage is spread -- the
- 22 valve is opened and it is spread along the field. When
- that truck ends, there is typically a way to mark where
- that end was, and the next truck will pick up at that
- location.

- 1 Q And --
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just for
- 3 clarification, we are looking at the top photo?
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: On page three,
- 6 because there are two photos.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Yeah, the top photo.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I just wanted
- 9 to be clear for the record. Okay. Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 11 Q To that point, Ms. Finamore, on the bottom photo of
- that same page, there is at the very top of the -- of
- 13 that photo, there appears to be a circle. I mean, does
- it -- would it be safe to say that that's a pond?
- 15 A I believe there is -- there is a mapped wetland on
- 16 Plummer 6, and I believe that's what we're seeing
- there.
- 18 I know looking at aerial photos that at times
- of the year that is standing water and at other times
- it's surface saturation, so a wetland.
- 21 Q Okay. And would -- with a surface saturation pond like
- 22 that, would it be safe to assume that that -- the water
- 23 level in that pond is pretty much dependent on
- rainwater and water seeping into the pond?
- 25 A Most likely. I would say yes.

- 1 Q Okay. And so, Ms. Finamore, based on your review of
- 2 the exhibits, do you believe it was known to the DNR
- 3 and to Howard Bros. that these parcels would be
- 4 codependent sites?
- 5 A Yes. I think that's -- was stated obviously on the
- 6 application.
- 7 Q And again, Ms. Finamore, in your opinion, how should
- 8 that have affected the DNR's consideration of the
- 9 application for Plummer 7?
- 10 A In my opinion, at the time of the Plummer 7 approval,
- there shouldn't be any, you know, outstanding issues
- with Plummer 6 or with Howard Bros.; that everything is
- 13 well and good on Plummer 6 so that Plummer 7 can be
- 14 approved, assuming it is the same company doing the
- work.
- 16 Q But if there were problems on either one, would it
- impact the other?
- 18 A I would say, yes, it would.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, Ms. Finamore, will you turn to Exhibit
- No. 3 in that same binder?
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q And let's flip to page three of Exhibit 3.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 MS. HANSON: I would like to object to the
- 25 relevance of this document. This exhibit is addressed

- to Arbor Vitae Septic Systems. This is not addressed to the Howard Bros.
- I believe this regards a site that the Howard Bros. did not yet own.

5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Counsel, is
6 that true? It is just a different business that was
7 running it at the time that this letter was drafted? I
8 think we -- it does remind me of a conversation we did
9 have at the final pre hearing that Howard Bros. took
10 over the operation, I believe, in twenty --

MR. OLSON: '21. This letter is about two
years prior to Howard Bros. owning Plummer 6.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, I am only asking that Ms. Finamore look at just specifically page three, not the letter, but to page three, for the topography.

I would -- I would assume that the general topography of the earth in that time has not changed dramatically. There haven't been any earthquake or volcanic, you know, activity, in this area, in that time?

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Sure, sure, okay. Well, with that qualification then, it is really not page one that you are concerned with, you are concerned with page three?

MR. ADAMS: With page three, yes, Your Honor.

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you for
- 2 the clarification.
- MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So
- 5 there is a limited, I suppose, relevance, of the
- 6 document as to page one and I guess page two. But page
- 7 three is the relevant portion.
- 8 So you guys can go ahead with your questions.
- 9 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 10 Q And, Ms. Finamore, that -- so the -- it would be the
- 11 East Boundary of that kind of square, there is a --
- 12 there is some text there that says ROC. Can you see
- 13 that?
- 14 A Yes, I can.
- 15 Q Does ROC mean anything to you from a soil technician
- 16 standpoint?
- 17 A It does. It is -- I have that here. ROC is the
- 18 Rubicon sand soil series, six to 15 percent slopes.
- 19 O And, Ms. Finamore, will you turn to Exhibit 36 in that
- same binder?
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q And the top says, Vilas County, Wisconsin, and RoC,
- 23 Rubicon sand. Can you -- so again, I know what sand is
- but I don't know what Rubicon sand is.
- 25 So can you just explain to me from -- I get

just, you know, treat me as a total lay person when it comes to soil technician and geology stuff. What does Rubicon sand, six to 15 percent slopes mean?

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. OLSON: Your Honor, I am going to I guess renew the DNR's relevancy objection. I realize we are not talking about the operations when the predecessor company was in place, but we now seem to be delving into a discussion about the sand on the border of a site that is not the subject of this hearing.

This -- that map we just looked at was

Plummer 6. The Rubicon sand designation was on the

border, the eastern border of Plummer 6. And now we

are about to get educated on what Rubicon sand is. And

I haven't heard the word Plummer 7 in about 20 minutes.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I did hear, and I -- I believe -- correct me if I'm wrong -- but I did hear testimony that, at least from this witness, that the application for Plummer 7 would be potentially affected if there are any issues with Plummer 6 because the application, I believe she said, explicitly says that this new application sort of covers both tracts of land because it explicitly says that.

MR. OLSON: Your Honor, I believe her testimony was they would be -- the issues of Plummer 6 would be considered because it was being operated by

- 1 the same company.
- 2 I believe she was referring to operational
- 3 issues, not to the different soil compact. When we get
- deeper into this, you'll see that a 40-acre parcel is
- 5 going to have many different kinds of soil and the
- 6 spreading allowability based on the different soils is
- 7 different within the same 40 acres. So this is wholly
- 8 irrelevant to whether or not Plummer 7 is an
- 9 appropriate site.
- 10 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Well,
- I'll allow it at this point.
- 12 If it turns out that it is irrelevant, I am
- sure that Counsel for the DNR as well as for Howard
- 14 Bros. will clarify that within their cross-examination.
- 15 I will allow it at this point. So go ahead.
- 16 MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 18 Q So I'll restate my question. So what -- why is -- what
- is Rubicon sand in this slope percentage?
- 20 A As shown in Exhibit 36, that is the NRCS, the Natural
- 21 Resources Conservation Services definition of that
- 22 soil. So a soil series is a particular set of soils.
- 23 So it has particular textures, particular colors, and
- soil properties that define it as a discrete unit.
- 25 And the USDA also separates it by slope. So

- 1 you can have, you know, multiple Rubicon sands. You
- 2 can have a six to 15 percent slope one, you can have a
- 3 zero to five, or zero to six percent, depending on the
- 4 property.
- 5 Q And in your opinion, Ms. Finamore, is this type of soil
- 6 appropriate for land applications of septage?
- 7 A All I know about the Rubicon sand is what I can see
- 8 here on this Exhibit 36. I have not worked with it.
- 9 But I can see that the typical profile from zero to
- 10 79 inches is sand. There is no loam or silt shown
- 11 here. So in my opinion, a soil that is sand from top
- to bottom would not be appropriate.
- 13 Q And why is slope important?
- 14 A Slope is important because if it is too steep, septage
- 15 will run off and not soak in. Basically, it is not
- 16 soaking in as it needs to, to serve the roots of
- 17 plants.
- 18 So you lose that beneficial use of the
- 19 septage and you also increase a risk for runoff.
- 20 Q And the runoff being that it could potentially impact
- 21 surrounding lands?
- 22 A Potentially, yes.
- 23 Q And, Ms. Finamore, have you reviewed any documents that
- 24 disprove the NRCS web soil survey in relation to
- 25 Plummer 6?

- 1 A I have not seen any. From what I -- I have not seen
- 2 any specific documents. I have seen the soil report
- for Plummer 6 and 7. But those are typically not
- 4 associated with a particular soil definition.
- 5 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, just based on your knowledge
- of that particular type of soil, and the NRCS survey
- 7 information that you have about Plummer 6, I mean, does
- 8 it raise any concerns or does it raise -- does it lead
- 9 you to any type of conclusions?
- 10 A As far as the Rubicon sand is concerned?
- 11 Q Yeah, and the application of septage to that land.
- 12 A I know that if it says it is six to 15 percent slope,
- 13 which would disqualify it for -- well, we can look at
- 14 that.
- 15 NR 113 Table 3 is where that comes from.
- 16 Maximum allowable slope for septage spreading in
- 17 non-frozen non-snow covered conditions is six percent.
- 18 So anything greater than six percent cannot be spread
- on in accordance with Table 3.
- 20 Q Ms. Finamore, are you aware of any inspections the DNR
- 21 has conducted since Plummer 6 was approved?
- 22 A I am, yes.
- 23 Q And, Ms. Finamore, I don't know if that binder has it,
- 24 but I am going to -- do you guys have a copy of our
- 25 Exhibit 55?

- 1 MS. BURKE: Yes.
- MR. ADAMS: Okay. Do you have a copy?
- MR. OLSON: Thank you.
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Do you have a copy, Judge DéFort?
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm looking
- for it.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I do. I have
- 9 a copy.
- MR. ADAMS: Do you need a copy, Your Honor?
- 11 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I have a copy.
- MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And this is 52
- 14 and 55?
- MR. ADAMS: I am going to speak about 55
- first, if you are -- if that's okay with you, Your
- Honor.
- 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yep, I have
- 19 got that up. Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 21 Q So, Ms. Finamore, do you have Exhibit 55 with -- that
- says case activity report on the top right-hand corner?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. And have you reviewed this exhibit before
- 25 today's trial?

- 1 A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q Okay. And what do you notice as being areas of concern
- 3 in the case activity report?
- 4 A I see several, well, issues, that are in -- that are
- 5 not in accordance with NR 113.
- 6 The things that I had noted was that neither
- 7 truck had a standard operating procedure. Neither
- 8 truck had lime onboard. Neither truck had a log book
- 9 of past activities. There was one service ticket
- onboard at the time of the inspection.
- 11 And then this statement that prior to leaving
- the shop in the morning, 100 pounds of lime is mixed
- 13 with water and added to each truck. And then this
- 14 statement that checking the pH of the load prior to
- 15 spreading was not a regular practice. And above that
- 16 was that the morning service tickets are dropped off
- 17 and then the afternoon ones are picked up, so they are
- 18 not retained in the vehicle.
- 19 And then I saw multiple concerns with the
- 20 work ticket. I saw there was no written certification
- 21 by the operator in charge, and the vehicle operating
- 22 statement was missing. I don't see the pathogen
- 23 reduction and vector attraction reduction information.
- 24 So I saw multiple issues, compliance issues.
- 25 Q And, Ms. Finamore, why are those concerns or why are

- 1 those violations of NR 113?
- 2 A These -- all of these things are in place to protect
- 3 human health and the environment. The pathogen
- 4 reduction and vector attraction reduction is a very
- 5 important one. That has to do with the lime and taking
- 6 readings of lime, the pH readings, and documenting
- 7 that.
- It is making sure that the septage is at the
- 9 proper pH prior to spreading, and then that it is
- spread properly and documented properly.
- 11 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, can you go back to the front
- page of that exhibit?
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q And what is the date of the activity?
- 15 A 8-10-2021.
- 16 Q And what does it say under the activity in that table?
- 17 A I'm sorry?
- 18 Q Second line down, where it says activity under case
- 19 number.
- 20 A Oh. Site visit, Plummer 6.
- 21 Q Okay. Thank you. I am going to bring to you Exhibit
- 22 52.
- 23 A Thank you.
- 24 Q Yep. Oh, and I am sorry, before we move to 52,
- Ms. Hanson -- I'm sorry, not Ms. Hanson, Ms. Finamore.

- 1 Here is -- will you take a look at the very
- top left-hand corner of Exhibit 55, please?
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q What -- the top left-hand corner of that exhibit, does
- 5 it say State of Wisconsin Department of Natural
- 6 Resources Law Enforcement?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And so, Ms. Finamore, in your professional experience,
- 9 a document like this -- again, this is the first time I
- 10 have ever seen a DNR case activity report.
- 11 Would it be -- would it be fair to say that
- folks internal to the DNR would have access to this
- 13 type of document?
- 14 MS. HANSON: Objection to lack of foundation.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would she
- 16 know?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 20 Q Is it fair to say that this is a DNR document?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And will you turn to the last page of Exhibit 55?
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q And what is the name of the reporting officer?
- 25 A Christopher Bartel -- Bartelt.

- 1 Q I think that's the supervisor's name, but the name to
- 2 the left of that.
- 3 A Oh, I apologize.
- 4 O Oh, that's fine.
- 5 A Warden Timothy Ebert.
- 6 Q And the supervisor's name again?
- 7 A Christopher Bartelt. Sorry, I don't know how to
- 8 pronounce his last name.
- 9 Q That's fine. That's fine. Okay.
- Now, will you turn to Exhibit 52, please?
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q And in the top left-hand corner of that document, does
- it say Wisconsin State Patrol?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And the code in the box in the middle of that document,
- can you tell me what that says?
- 17 A E, septage and industrial waste.
- 18 Q And, Ms. Finamore, did you review Exhibit 52 before
- 19 today's trial?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And what do you notice as being areas of concern in
- 22 Exhibit 52?
- 23 A They were the same areas of concern as I had noted in
- the other document. In particular, the lack of SOPs,
- logs and lime onboard were called out. That was a

- 1 concern of mine.
- 2 And then he had noted, I did not see an SOP
- in writing, pH of each truck is not generally checked
- 4 prior to dumping. And truck did not have any log
- 5 information, had noted as issues of concern.
- 6 Q And on -- I am -- I apologize for this. Will you turn
- 7 back to Exhibit 55 quickly and go to the last page?
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q The page that has the reporting officer information.
- 10 A Um-h'm.
- 11 Q What is the date of the report?
- 12 A August 23rd, 2021.
- 13 Q Okay. Now, will you turn back to Exhibit 52?
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q And the end of -- let's see, on page -- it would be the
- 16 second page of Exhibit 52. There is a three at the --
- I am sorry, the third page, my apologies.
- 18 But can you read the date of those last
- 19 entries from Tim Ebert?
- 20 A The very latest date I see is August 23rd, 2021.
- 21 Q And the entry for August 10th of '21 at 12:16 p.m. that
- day, where it says Canniff, do you know, is Canniff
- 23 significant to you at all?
- 24 A Yes, that's -- I would assume that would be Alison
- 25 Canniff with the DNR.

- 1 O And what does she do for the DNR?
- 2 A She's a wastewater specialist.
- 3 Q Okay. So it would be safe to say that the WW in that
- 4 entry from August 10th means wastewater?
- 5 A That would be my assumption as well, yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And Ms. Canniff is an employee of the Wisconsin
- 7 DNR?
- 8 A As far as I know, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Thank you. And in your opinion, Ms. Finamore,
- 10 and based on the exhibits that you have reviewed in
- 11 this case, has Howard Bros. demonstrated the required
- 12 competency in terms of its application of its land
- application of septage?
- 14 A All I know is these two documents. And based on these
- 15 two documents, no. There are multiple compliance
- issues that are stated here.
- 17 Q And assuming that the DNR had this information
- 18 regarding Plummer 6 at the time that they were
- 19 reviewing the Plummer 7 application, should they have
- 20 granted the application for Plummer 7?
- 21 A I can't speak for the DNR. But in my opinion, if these
- 22 were all outstanding at the time, there is some
- serious, you know, concerns that I would have. So in
- 24 my opinion, I would say, no, that these needed to be
- addressed first.

- 1 Q Okay. Thank you. And then I am going to turn to this
- issue of beneficial use under NR 113.
- 3 And can you -- again, can you explain to me
- 4 why that's important, like why is a beneficial use
- 5 under NR 113 important?
- 6 A I think that goes back to the whole purpose of NR 113,
- 7 going back to that purpose statement at the very
- 8 beginning, which is the purpose of the chapter is to
- 9 establish standards for the servicing of private sewage
- 10 systems including septic and holding tanks to provide
- for the use and disposal of wastewater. And then the
- 12 beneficial use of septage and its recycling to the land
- as a fertilizer or soil conditioner is encouraged.
- 14 And that could be -- is it okay if I refer to
- 15 an exhibit?
- 16 Q Yes.
- 17 A There in the land application site request, Exhibit
- 18 200, there are several of those in the list of
- 19 exhibits. But within that there is several pages that
- 20 talks about what's supposed to be listed. Let's see
- 21 here.
- 22 So in Exhibit 200, page seven, I see Item G,
- proper disposal, beneficial reuse, and/or land
- 24 treatment of applied waste requires a harvested crop
- 25 that is grown and removed from the site so --

- 1 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry,
- 2 what page are you on?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Page seven.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
- 5 THE WITNESS: So I quess my -- what I would
- 6 say is that NR 113 was -- the purpose for allowing
- 7 septage to be spread is that it is providing nutrients
- 8 to a crop. It is not being spread just for the sake of
- 9 being spread. It has a purpose, it is reducing the
- 10 need for additional fertilizer.
- 11 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 12 Q Okay. And on that Exhibit 200 that you are referring
- 13 to, page seven of the Howard Bros. exhibits, under that
- sub G on that same page, why is it important that it
- 15 says that the harvested crop that is grown and why does
- it have to be removed from the site?
- 17 A Well, a lot of crop will -- there is an uptake of
- 18 nitrogen, sometimes phosphorus that's going into that
- 19 crop. And removing it from the site prevents over -- a
- 20 build-up of those nutrients.
- 21 So the purpose is that you are planting this
- crop, you are feeding it with the septage. But then it
- is being harvested and used for something. So again,
- 24 not only is that crop removing those nutrients from the
- 25 site so that you can add additional nutrients from

- 1 future septage loads, but it is also serving a purpose.
- 2 So that crop is being consumed by an animal
- 3 most likely. And you're not just mowing the crop and
- 4 leaving it to decompose.
- 5 Q Okay. And in your experience, is that ever verified?
- 6 A In my experience, I have worked with clients and we
- 7 have had to prove that that is the case, that that crop
- 8 is being taken off-site and utilized for a beneficial
- 9 purpose.
- 10 Q And at the end of the day, is it the DNR's
- 11 responsibility to verify that that crop is being
- 12 removed from the site?
- 13 A Well, I can't speak for the DNR. I would say -- I was
- looking through the certification statement on the land
- 15 application site request, and I would say that that --
- in signing this application, the applicant is saying
- 17 that they are following all of the -- that they will
- 18 provide, you know, an NR 113 compliant practice.
- 19 So I would say the onus is on the applicant.
- 20 Q And in this particular case with that application, did
- 21 Howard Bros. describe their beneficial use?
- 22 A I believe it is shown as permanent hay land.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there an
- 24 exhibit number that's associated with the document that
- she is referencing?

- 1 THE WITNESS: 200.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Still on 200?
- 3 MR. ADAMS: Yes, 200.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What page are
- 5 you on?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Two.
- 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right,
- 8 thank you.
- 9 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 10 Q And, Ms. Finamore, if -- I guess in your opinion, what
- is a permanent hay land or hayfield, or whatever --
- 12 however they describe it?
- 13 A From what I know, a permanent hay land would be
- 14 something that -- so it is planted typically with an
- 15 alfalfa or a clover. Something that, you know, it
- 16 grows, it's mowed and it's removed. It is not
- 17 replanted multiple times. It just is constantly being
- 18 used as a source.
- 19 So typically, I would say alfalfa and clover
- 20 are pretty common, from what I have seen. Kind of
- 21 something that grows, you know, up to probably two feet
- high because you want to get a decent amount of crop
- off of that when you mow it.
- 24 O And to your knowledge, based on the exhibits that you
- 25 have had access to, has that been verified by anyone?

- 1 A Not that I have seen, no.
- 2 Q And do you know of any information that would negate
- 3 their assertion that this is a permanent hay land?
- 4 A I don't know of any information. The only thing I can
- 5 see is some aerial photos. And it looks like the field
- 6 might be planted in a low growing grass. I can't see
- 7 any tall vegetation, but I have no real knowledge or
- 8 information about that.
- 9 Q And again, this is related to Plummer 7, Ms. Finamore,
- but in your opinion, based on what you have reviewed,
- does the site meet the requirements under the NR Code
- 12 for beneficial use?
- 13 A I can't say one way or the other. It -- I would hope
- 14 that it does. By saying that the site is in compliance
- 15 with NR 113, the applicant is saying that it does. But
- 16 as far as I know, that has not been verified.
- 17 Q And, Ms. Finamore, again, based solely on your
- opinion -- well, actually, strike that.
- 19 In terms of -- backing up, did you say that
- 20 you're some type of endangered species -- you have some
- 21 type of certification related to animals?
- 22 A I do, yeah.
- 23 O Okay. Are you familiar with white-tailed deer?
- 24 A Just to the degree that they are in my backyard quite
- often.

- 1 Q Okay. Do you have any personal or professional
- 2 knowledge that white-tailed deer appreciate alfalfa as
- 3 a food source?
- 4 A I don't know that specifically. I would assume that
- 5 they do. I really can't say one way or the other.
- 6 Q Okay, thank you. So, Ms. Finamore, what does the
- 7 code -- what does NR 13 [sic] require in terms of
- 8 adjacent and surrounding land uses?
- 9 Are there any restrictions in NR 113 that
- 10 would impact an operator concerning the status or the
- 11 state of surrounding lands?
- 12 A Yes. I would look at Table 3 of NR 113. Let's see.
- 13 So distance to a community well or a private well.
- Distance to a residence, business or recreational area.
- 15 Distance to rural schools and healthcare facilities.
- 16 And then distance to natural resources like a stream,
- 17 river, pond, lake, sinkhole, flowage ditch or wetland.
- 18 And those each have a specific setback that is required
- 19 per 113.
- 20 Q And, Ms. Finamore, does the -- does the application
- 21 itself ask for some type of description or
- identification of what the surrounding lands are?
- 23 A Yes, it does. Page two, there is an area to check the
- 24 appropriate boxes for the land use types adjacent to
- 25 the site. It's kind of in the middle of the page.

- 1 Q And on this particular application, what is the -- what
- 2 are the boxes that are checked?
- 3 A It looks like residential, forest, and other, which is
- 4 specified as Indian land.
- 5 Q And in your professional opinion, what is Indian land?
- 6 A Well, I don't know that that's a technical term that
- 7 I'm familiar with. I would say it would be land owned
- 8 by a tribe would be my assumption there.
- 9 Q And, Ms. Finamore, the document that you are looking
- 10 at, this is a document that was signed off on by --
- this is what was submitted by Howard Bros.; correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 O To the DNR?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q In your opinion, Ms. Finamore, does an applicant like
- 16 Howard Bros. have a duty to accurately report adjacent
- 17 land use?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q So do they have -- does essentially the applicant have
- 20 a duty to do some diligence to ascertain what
- 21 surrounding land is being used for?
- 22 A Yes, they do.
- 23 Q And, Ms. Finamore, is there any significance to them
- 24 checking the box residential? Is land -- is the land
- application of septage something that would happen like

- in a -- like a suburban subdivision?
- 2 A No. There is a setback -- that's that Table 3 again.
- 3 Minimum distance to a residence is 500 feet, without
- 4 permission from the owner or occupant.
- 5 And if there is permission granted, that
- 6 setback is reduced to 250 feet. And that's for
- 7 spreading specifically of septage, no incorporation or
- 8 injection.
- 9 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, when Howard Bros. checked the
- 10 other box and said Indian land, do you believe that
- that prompts some type of duty of the Wisconsin DNR to
- 12 verify what that land is being used for, if other is
- 13 checked and it says Indian land?
- 14 A I can't speak for the DNR. I would say yes. I
- 15 suspect, yes.
- 16 Q And, Ms. Finamore, based on your knowledge, what do you
- 17 know about the use of the adjacent land to Plummer 7?
- 18 A I have been on the land on either side that is owned by
- 19 the tribe. And I have been on -- there is recreational
- 20 trails that have been cleared. We were able to get our
- 21 equipment back in there for our soil work.
- 22 Those trails were well maintained. And from
- conversation with the tribe, I have been told that that
- 24 land is prized hunting land. And I could see there was
- 25 quite a -- quite a large amount of blueberries back in

- 1 there, sweet fern and other useful, I would say,
- 2 plants. It was -- I would say that was prime
- 3 forestland --
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A -- from what I could see.
- 6 Q And did you see any signage on those lands?
- 7 A I did. The first thing I saw where I parked on the
- 8 road was one of the signs. I don't know if it was the
- 9 sign that was brought up earlier, but it was one of
- 10 those signs. And the trails had signage throughout.
- 11 That was in the beginning of October that I was out
- 12 there.
- 13 Q Okay. And, Ms. Finamore, based on your -- your
- 14 knowledge of NR 113, would those lands fit the -- and I
- don't even know if the term is actually defined in NR
- 16 13 [sic].
- I know NR 13 [sic] mentions recreational
- 18 lands, but in your professional opinion, would you
- 19 consider those lands recreational lands?
- 20 A Yeah. And I was looking at the definition of
- 21 recreational land as defined in NR 113. And it just
- says a designated area clearly identified and
- 23 maintained for the purpose of providing an opportunity
- for recreational activity.
- I don't know. I know a lot of hunters that

- would consider hunting a recreational activity. I
- 2 consider hiking a recreational activity.
- 3 Q Do you consider camping a recreational activity?
- 4 A Absolutely, absolutely.
- 5 Q How about harvesting berries or wild plants?
- 6 A I would say, yeah.
- 7 Q So assuming that those lands are recreational, how
- 8 would that affect Howard Bros.' ability to land spread
- 9 on Plummer 6 or 7?
- 10 A On Table 3, again, which is the setbacks, of NR 113,
- 11 the setback would be 500 feet for spreading. So not
- incorporation or injection, just for spreading.
- 13 500 feet. Without permission from the
- owner-operator -- owner or occupant, I apologize. That
- 15 distance could be reduced to 250 feet with a written
- 16 permission.
- 17 Q Ms. Finamore, would you turn to Exhibit 42 in the
- 18 tribal binder?
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q And, Ms. Finamore, have you reviewed this exhibit prior
- 21 to today's trial?
- 22 A I did see this exhibit when I was reviewing the
- provided materials, yes.
- 24 Q And based on your opinion, if the lands were considered
- recreational, would that red rectangle essentially be

- 1 some type of approximate area that would be suitable
- 2 for a land application of septage if Howard Bros. could
- 3 not obtain the consent of the adjacent landowners?
- 4 A Assuming that polygon is drawn with a 500-foot setback
- 5 from the property line, then yes, that would be a
- 6 suitable depiction of that.
- 7 Q And in your opinion, based on what we -- or what you
- 8 have reviewed, does the site meet the requirements
- 9 under the NR code for setbacks based on surrounding
- 10 land uses?
- 11 A As we have discussed multiple times today, that
- 12 recreational site component, I have a hard time seeing
- 13 that as anything but recreational land. So in my
- 14 opinion, I would say, no, it does not meet that
- 15 requirement.
- 16 Q Ms. Finamore, will you turn to Exhibit 31, please?
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q And does the top of Exhibit 31 say Hegeman, Frederick J
- 19 dash DNR?
- 20 A Yes. And does this look like an email -- like
- 21 essentially like a printoff of a Microsoft Outlook type
- email with a "from" and a "to"?
- 23 A Yes, it does.
- 24 Q And is this from Canniff, Alison E dash DNR, to Kyle at
- Howard Bros. Inc?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And, Ms. Finamore, have you reviewed Exhibit 31 before
- 3 today's trial?
- 4 A Yes, I have seen this email.
- 5 Q Why is this -- why is this exhibit important?
- 6 A This is the approval. So this was the kind of the
- 7 cover email that went with the approval for Plummer 7
- 8 when the DNR approved the site.
- 9 Q Okay. And would it be safe to say that in Exhibit 31
- and -- can you turn to Exhibit 32, also, please?
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Is that also an email exchange?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And would it be fair to say that in Exhibits 31 and 32,
- the DNR is wanting further verification of the slope at
- 16 the site?
- 17 A That is a fair assumption, yes.
- 18 Q And will you turn to Exhibit 30, please?
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q And at the top of this document, does it say Soil
- 21 Evaluation Report?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And will you turn to pages five and six of this
- document or this Exhibit 30?
- 25 A Okay.

- 1 Q On pages five and six, is there any elevation or survey
- 2 data that's included in this Soil Evaluation Report?
- 3 A I do not see any, no.
- 4 Q Is it -- would it be -- in your experience and based on
- 5 your training, is it -- would it be proper or
- 6 appropriate or reasonable for this type of soil report
- 7 to have evaluation or survey data?
- 8 A It is probable. I know that elevation -- soil pit
- 9 elevations are required as part of the Soil Evaluation
- 10 Report, and those were not shown. And the map is
- supposed to be to scale, which I am not seeing.
- 12 Typically, you would not see any slope
- 13 analysis on a report of this nature. That would be a
- 14 separate document.
- 15 Q In this -- in this document at all, in Exhibit 30, is
- there any indication that the DNR confirmed the slope
- or verified the slope?
- 18 A In Exhibit 30?
- 19 O Yeah.
- 20 A No, there is no slope data in Exhibit 30. So, no, not
- in Exhibit 30, there is nothing there to verify the
- 22 slope.
- 23 Q And slope is important when it comes to the land
- 24 application of septage; correct?
- 25 A It is, yes. There are different slopes for regular

- 1 summer spreading and winter application.
- 2 Q So again, Ms. Finamore, based on the documents, the
- 3 exhibits that you have had access to and that you have
- 4 reviewed, is Howard Bros. in conformance with the slope
- 5 requirements under NR 113 at Plummer 7?
- 6 A At Plummer 7, based on the soil survey and the data
- 7 provided in the soil survey, they are in compliance for
- 8 summer spreading. As far as I know, I recall that they
- 9 have requested winter spreading and that has not been
- granted, to the best of my knowledge.
- 11 And they would not be in compliance with
- winter spreading based on the soil survey.
- 13 Q And, Ms. Finamore, what does the -- what does the code
- or the regs require or consider in regard to the
- 15 protection of human health in terms of animals grazing
- and adjacent land use?
- 17 A Let's see here. One of the -- well, there is several
- 18 components to that.
- 19 Animals are not allowed to graze within 30
- 20 days of a septic application. And I can't recall the
- 21 actual citation for that. Then there is, of course,
- 22 the vectors and making sure that it is applied -- it is
- not over applied and that it has a chance -- the
- 24 septage has a chance to be taken up by the roots of the
- vegetation that's planted there, and the microbes in

- 1 the soil have a chance to break down the septage. And
- 2 there is the risk to the groundwater, groundwater
- 3 contamination is reduced.
- 4 Q And based on your opinion and your knowledge of NR 13
- 5 [sic], Ms. Finamore, do you know if there is any type
- of clarification or interpretation in the use of the
- 7 word animal in NR 113?
- 8 A I am not aware of a definition of the word animal.
- 9 Q So it is just safe to assume that when it says animals,
- it means any animal that's under the sun?
- 11 A I agree. That is -- it is not defined, to the best of
- my knowledge, in here.
- 13 Q So in this situation, Ms. Finamore, the use of the
- 14 surrounding land would be important given the fact that
- 15 white-tailed deer are extremely prevalent in Northern
- 16 Wisconsin?
- 17 A I would agree.
- 18 Q And the specific uses here, the hunting, the
- 19 subsistence and the recreation make this a particular
- importance; would you agree with that statement?
- 21 A Yes, I would agree.
- 22 MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, I see that we are at
- 3:55. I have got some other -- so I want to be
- 24 respectful of --
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I appreciate

- 1 that.
- 2 MR. ADAMS: -- of your declarations that you
- 3 wanted to adjourn close to 4:00 p.m.
- 4 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yep. I
- 5 appreciate that. And the only reason why we need to
- 6 adjourn by 4:00 is because the Clerk of Courts said
- 7 that we only have until 4:00.
- 8 So, yeah, we have to be respectful of that as
- 9 well. So why don't we retake this then tomorrow
- 10 morning? We will start a little bit earlier at 8:30.
- I know that the building opens at 8:00. So, you know,
- we should probably get here close to 8:00, set up and
- 13 get going at 8:30.
- MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.
- 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. So
- 16 at this time, is there any other issue that needs to be
- discussed before we recess for today?
- 18 MS. BURKE: Could we get an update on how
- 19 many people were wishing to provide public comment.
- THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. So by my
- count, there were eight, but Jean Gorski was one of
- them, and she already testified.
- 23 I don't know if it was her intent to also
- make more comment at the end of the hearing. But
- assuming that's not the case, that reduces the number

- 1 to -- oh, and I also see Jennifer Stephenson was listed
- 2 as well. So I don't know if they both intend on --
- 3 MS. STEPHENSON: I may possibly add something
- 4 on Thursday. I'm not sure at this point.
- 5 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okav. All
- fight. And is that also the case with Ms. Gorski?
- 7 MS. GORSKI: Yes.
- 8 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, then it
- 9 looks like at this point we have eight folks. Well,
- 10 wait a minute. It looks like someone scribbled out
- 11 number three.
- MR. OLSON: So seven-and-a-half?
- 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So seven. We
- have seven folks. So if we were to give each ten
- 15 minutes, including whatever brief statement they have
- 16 and maybe some follow-up questions, you know, that's 70
- minutes' worth, a little over an hour.
- 18 I think we could easily accommodate that on
- 19 Thursday afternoon, assuming we wrap up with the other
- 20 parts of the case. Of course, what could blow this all
- 21 out of the water is if we go very late on Thursday,
- 22 then we go into Friday. But that's more within your
- control rather than my control.
- 24 MR. ADAMS: And, Your Honor, we -- I believe
- we don't have any other direct witnesses to call after

1	we wrap up our questioning of Ms. Finamore.
2	THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All
3	right. Well, that's good to know. So it looks like we
4	are on track to perhaps finish this up on Thursday
5	afternoon.
6	MR. OLSON: Great.
7	THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We'll see.
8	All right. We'll see you in the morning.
9	* * * *
10	(Proceedings recessed for the day at 4:00 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN
 1
 2
     COUNTY OF MARQUETTE )
          I certify that this transcript, consisting of pages 1 -
 3
     243 is a complete, true, and correct transcript of the
 4
     proceedings and testimony taken in this case on October 23,
 5
 6
     2023.
 7
 8
                         Sandra A. Larson, CSR-2916, RMR
     Date
 9
                         Northern Reporters
                         P.O. Box 27
10
                         Marquette, MI 49855
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```